	Page 344	Page 346
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION JEFFERY WEISMAN AND STRATEGIC BIOMEDICAL, INC., Plaintiffs, Cause No. 4:19-CV-00075-JAR vs. BARNES JEWISH-HOSPITAL, BJC HEALTHCARE, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, DR. ALEX EVERS, DR. RICHARD BENZINGER, AND DR. THOMAS COX, Defendants. VOLUME II VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. Taken on behalf of the Defendants September 14, 2022 Jo Ann Dickson, CCR 1085 (Whereupon, the deposition commenced at 9:06 a.m.)	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION JEFFERY WEISMAN AND STRATEGIC BIOMEDICAL, INC., Plaintiffs, Cause No. 4:19-CV-00075-JAR vs. BARNES JEWISH-HOSPITAL, BJC HEALTHCARE, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, DR. ALEX EVERS, DR. RICHARD BENZINGER, AND DR. THOMAS COX, Defendants. CONTINUED VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D., produced, sworn, and examined on the 14th day of September, 2022, between the hours of 9:06 a.m. and 3:25 p.m. of that day, at 191 West Port Plaza Drive, St. Louis, Missouri, before JO ANN DICKSON, Certified Court Reporter within and for the State of Missouri, in a certain cause now pending before the United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division, wherein Jeffery Weisman, et al. are the Plaintiffs, and Barnes Jewish-Hospital, et al. are the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	QUESTIONS BY: Cross-Examination by Mr. Nolan Cross-Examination by Ms. Rutter INDEX OF EXHIBITS DEFENDANT'S DEFENDANT'S PAGE MKD. NO. Exhibit B1 Interrogatories Exhibit B2 Supplemental interrogatories Exhibit B3 JPEG images Exhibit B4 Brief Exhibit B5 Memorandum of appointment Exhibit B6 Acceptance of memorandum of appointment Exhibit B7 Email Exhibit B8 Email from NRMP Exhibit B9 Email from NRMP 537 Exhibit B9 Email from NRMP Exhibit B10 NRMP application (Exhibits were attached to the transcript.)	Page 347 APPEARANCES For the Plaintiffs: Henry P. Elster, Esquire Elster Law Office, LLC 225 South Meramec Avenue, Suite 325 St. Louis, Missouri 63105 (314) 727-0868 Henry@elsterlawfirm.com For the Plaintiffs: Rachel Rutter, Esquire Sherman Marek, Esquire Marek Weisman, LLC S5 East Monroe Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Rrutter@marekweisman.com Smarek@marekweisman.com For Defendants Washington University, Evers, Benzinger & Cox: Kevin Anthony Sullivan, Esquire Shands, Elbert, Gianoulakis & Giljum, LLP 48235 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63105 (314) 241-3963 Ksullivan@shandselbert.com For Defendants Barnes-Jewish Hospital and BJC Healthcare: Michael P. Nolan, Esquire Husch Blackwell, LLP 19 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600 St. Louis, Missouri 63105 (314) 480-1500 Michael.nolan@huschblackwell.com

1 (Pages 344 to 347)

Pltf. Ex. 5

	Page 348	Page 350
1	APPEARANCES	1 BY MR. NOLAN:
2	The Court Reporter:	2 Q Would you please state your name.
3	Ms. Jo Ann Dickson	3 A Jeffery Weisman.
	Lexitas Legal	4 Q Mr. Weisman, we met yesterday for the first
4	711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101	5 time. You understand I'm an attorney representing BJC
5	(314) 644-2191	6 Healthcare and Barnes-Jewish Hospital in the lawsuit that
6	The Videographer:	you filed against them and other defendants, correct?
7	John Niehaus	8 A Correct.
0	Lexitas Legal	9 Q Okay. You're an attorney, correct?
8	711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101	10 A I am an attorney.
9	(314) 644-2191	11 Q You went through law school?
10	ALSO PRESENT:	12 A Yes, I did.
11	CHRISTINE RAMATOWSKI	13 Q Graduated?
	LISA WOOD	14 A I graduated law school.
12 13	MARISSA ISRAEL	15 Q And you practice as an attorney, don't you?
14		16 A Well, at the moment I do some medical/legal
15		17 consulting work.
16		18 Q So you understand that this deposition is my
17		19 opportunity to ask you about the facts upon which you base
18		20 your claims, right?
19 20		21 A Tunderstand that's the purpose of a
21		' '
22		' '
23		
24 25		24 directly about the facts upon which you base your claims, 25 right?
2 0		25 ngiki
	Page 349	Page 351
1	Page 349 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and	Page 351 1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading.
1 2	_	
	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading.
2	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants,	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for
2	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion.
2 3 4	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too.
2 3 4 5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. 5 But you can answer subject to that.
2 3 4 5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. 5 But you can answer subject to that. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to
2 3 4 5 6 7	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved.	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. 5 But you can answer subject to that. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to 7 talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved.	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. 5 But you can answer subject to that. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to 7 talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to 8 talk with me and things like.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. 5 But you can answer subject to that. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to 7 talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to 8 talk with me and things like. 9 BY MR. NOLAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. 5 But you can answer subject to that. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to 7 talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to 8 talk with me and things like. 9 BY MR. NOLAN: 10 Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. 5 But you can answer subject to that. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to 7 talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to 8 talk with me and things like. 9 BY MR. NOLAN: 10 Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? 11 It's filed in your name, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter	1 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for 3 a legal conclusion. 4 MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. 5 But you can answer subject to that. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to 7 talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to 8 talk with me and things like. 9 BY MR. NOLAN: 10 Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? 11 It's filed in your name, correct? 12 A My my I'm sorry, can what what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital,	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes? A Yes, I am a plaintiff in a lawsuit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division.	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes? A Yes, I am a plaintiff in a lawsuit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division. This deposition is being held at Sheraton	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes? A Yes, I am a plaintiff in a lawsuit. Q As an attorney you know what that involves, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division. This deposition is being held at Sheraton Westport Hotel in Saint Louis, Missouri. The reporter's	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes? A Yes, I am a plaintiff in a lawsuit. Q As an attorney you know what that involves, right? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division. This deposition is being held at Sheraton Westport Hotel in Saint Louis, Missouri. The reporter's name is Jo Ann Dickson. My name is John Niehaus. I am the	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes? A Yes, I am a plaintiff in a lawsuit. Q As an attorney you know what that involves, right? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division. This deposition is being held at Sheraton Westport Hotel in Saint Louis, Missouri. The reporter's name is Jo Ann Dickson. My name is John Niehaus. I am the legal videographer. We are with Lexitas Legal.	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes? A Yes, I am a plaintiff in a lawsuit. Q As an attorney you know what that involves, right? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Can can can you please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. **** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division. This deposition is being held at Sheraton Westport Hotel in Saint Louis, Missouri. The reporter's name is Jo Ann Dickson. My name is John Niehaus. I am the legal videographer. We are with Lexitas Legal. Would you please swear in the deponent.	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes? A Yes, I am a plaintiff in a lawsuit. Q As an attorney you know what that involves, right? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Can can can you please specify what you're looking at? It's a very open-ended
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants, that the continued videotaped deposition of JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D. may be taken in shorthand by Jo Ann Dickson, a certified shorthand reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is expressly reserved. ***** THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. Today's date is September 14th, 2022 and the time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the continued video recorded deposition of Jeffery Weisman, M.D. in the matter of Jeffery Weisman, et al. versus Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al, Case Number 4:19-CV-00075-JAR, in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division. This deposition is being held at Sheraton Westport Hotel in Saint Louis, Missouri. The reporter's name is Jo Ann Dickson. My name is John Niehaus. I am the legal videographer. We are with Lexitas Legal. Would you please swear in the deponent. JEFFERY WEISMAN,	MR. MAREK: Objection, leading. MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for calls for a legal conclusion. MR. MAREK: Yeah, interrogatories too. But you can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, you'll have to talk to my attorneys on the times and dates to be able to talk with me and things like. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Right. But you filed the lawsuit, right? It's filed in your name, correct? A My my I'm sorry, can what what is the question? Q You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit, yes? A Yes, I am a plaintiff in a lawsuit. Q As an attorney you know what that involves, right? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Can can can you please specify what you're looking at? It's a very open-ended question.

2 (Pages 348 to 351)

Page 352	Page 354
1 you questions about the facts upon which you base your	1 think, but
2 claims, yes?	2 MR. NOLAN: Right. I'm asking you
3 A In in general, in a deposition you get to	3 MR. RUTTER: that's not how it's going to
4 ask questions and the person being deposed answers those	4 go.
5 questions.	5 MR. ELSTER: You guys can
6 Q Yeah. Right. In other words, I'm not asking	6 MR. NOLAN: I'm asking you who is representing
you about where you went on vacation. I'm asking you about	7 the witness. I'm not going to sit here and argue with
8 the facts upon which you base your claims, right?	8 three people.
9 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for speculation,	9 MR. MAREK: We're not going to argue with you
10 form of the question.	10 either.
11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I again, I'm	11 MR. NOLAN: You're already arguing.
not sure what you're asking me to speculate on or	MR. MAREK: Are you going to answer the
13 BY MR. NOLAN:	13 deponent's questions or not?
14 Q Well well, let me ask you this, did you	14 MR. NOLAN: I'm just asking you who is
prepare for today's deposition?	15 representing the witness today.
16 A I I met with my attorneys and	16 MR. MAREK: Nobody's going to answer your
Q How long did you meet with your attorneys?	17 questions.
18 A I met with my attorneys for, we had a I'm	18 MR. NOLAN: Are you instructing your witness
19 trying to think of the exact day. We had a Teams meeting	19 not to answer that question?
20 last week.	20 MR. RUTTER: Yeah. You can ask him a question
21 Q How long?	about how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. If
22 A I don't recall the exact time. I think it was	you're asking him what he did to prepare, I'm instructing
23 a couple of hours.	him not to answer that question, yes.
24 Q Any other meetings with your attorneys to	MR. NOLAN: I already asked that question and
25 prepare for today's deposition?	you let him answer.
Page 353	Page 355
-	· ·
, , , , , ,	
2 meetings or just talking with them or because I have 3 lots of communications with my attorneys in general.	2 MR. NOLAN: He didn't completely answer it. 3 BY MR. NOLAN:
4 Q Sir, I'm asking you about what you did to	4 Q Other than that Teams meeting with your
5 prepare for today's deposition. And I asked you if you had	5 attorneys, what other times did you meet with your
6 any other meetings than the one you just described which	attorneys, what other times did you meet with your
	6 attorneys to prepare for today's denosition?
	attorneys to prepare for today's deposition?
7 was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the	7 A I met with them on Monday.
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question?	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting?
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative.	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the	7 A I met with them on Monday. 8 Q Was that the Teams meeting? 9 A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams 10 meeting was last week for a couple hours.
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay.
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. And I met with them on Monday for a few hours
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss.
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications.	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition?
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him what he did to prepare, that's improper.	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him what he did to prepare, that's improper. MR. NOLAN: No, it's not.	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls for privileged information. Other than communications with
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him what he did to prepare, that's improper. MR. NOLAN: No, it's not. MR. RUTTER: It is improper.	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls for privileged information. Other than communications with attorneys.
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him what he did to prepare, that's improper. MR. NOLAN: No, it's not. MR. RUTTER: It is improper. MR. NOLAN: And I would ask that you guys	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls for privileged information. Other than communications with attorneys. THE WITNESS: I the only other things I did
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him what he did to prepare, that's improper. MR. NOLAN: No, it's not. MR. RUTTER: It is improper. MR. NOLAN: And I would ask that you guys limit your objections to one attorney. I'd like to know	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls for privileged information. Other than communications with attorneys. THE WITNESS: I the only other things I did to prepare for the deposition, I skimmed my interrogatories
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him what he did to prepare, that's improper. MR. NOLAN: No, it's not. MR. RUTTER: It is improper. MR. NOLAN: And I would ask that you guys limit your objections to one attorney. I'd like to know who's representing your witness in today's deposition. And	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls for privileged information. Other than communications with attorneys. THE WITNESS: I the only other things I did to prepare for the deposition, I skimmed my interrogatories and I skimmed the complaint.
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him what he did to prepare, that's improper. MR. NOLAN: No, it's not. MR. RUTTER: It is improper. MR. NOLAN: And I would ask that you guys limit your objections to one attorney. I'd like to know who's representing your witness in today's deposition. And whoever that person is, they're the only person can make	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today's deposition? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls for privileged information. Other than communications with attorneys. THE WITNESS: I the only other things I did to prepare for the deposition, I skimmed my interrogatories and I skimmed the complaint. BY MR. NOLAN:
was a Teams meeting. And now you want to know what the definition of meetings is, is that your question? MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. MR. RUTTER: Also objection to the form of the question in that the beginning part of that question is you're asking him what he did to prepare for his deposition, which is clearly framed and highly likely to go into attorney/client privileged communications. So if you want to ask him for how long he met with his attorneys, that's fine. But if you're asking him what he did to prepare, that's improper. MR. NOLAN: No, it's not. MR. RUTTER: It is improper. MR. NOLAN: And I would ask that you guys limit your objections to one attorney. I'd like to know who's representing your witness in today's deposition. And	A I met with them on Monday. Q Was that the Teams meeting? A That wasn't the Teams meeting. The Teams meeting was last week for a couple hours. Q Okay. A And I met with them on Monday for a few hours to discuss. Q Okay. All right. Other than those two meetings, did you do anything else to prepare for today' deposition? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls for privileged information. Other than communications wit attorneys. THE WITNESS: I the only other things I did to prepare for the deposition, I skimmed my interrogatories and I skimmed the complaint.

3 (Pages 352 to 355)

	Page 356	Page 358
1	a deposition.	1 THE WITNESS: I've given all the documents and
2	Q And the reason I'm asking you this is because	2 materials that I had to my attorneys.
3	I sat through your deposition yesterday when codefendants	3 BY MR. NOLAN:
4	counsel asked you questions, and there were a number of	4 Q Well, the reason that I ask is because
5	very simple, basic questions which you said I don't know,	5 yesterday you were saying well, I have to refer to my notes
6	I'd have to go back and look at my notes.	6 and think about that. Do you have notes that relate to the
7	Do you remember that line of questioning?	7 facts of this case?
8	MR. ELSTER: Objection, argumentative, form.	8 A I don't have any notes.
9	THE WITNESS: I was asked lots of questions	9 Q Well, then why yesterday were you telling
10	yesterday.	10 Wash U's counsel that you'd have to look at your notes?
11	BY MR. NOLAN:	11 A Well, there's a lot of documents where I wrote
12	Q And there were lots of time where you would	12 things going on. For example, as Wash as Attorney
13	answer I don't know, I'd have to think about that. Do you	13 Sullivan showed yesterday, there was some emails that I
14	remember that?	14 wrote to myself at the time of. I don't have any notes
15	A There were there were many times when I	15 and that I produced for this.
16	said that these events happened years ago and it would be	16 Q Why would you write notes on documents?
17	very helpful to look at documents and refresh my memory.	
18	Q Okay. So other than your complaint and your	17 A I didn't say I wrote notes on documents. 18 You're misconstruing what I said. What I stated was,
19	interrogatory answers, did you look at any of those other	, ,
20	documents to prepare for today's deposition? A That's what I looked at for that's what I	20 wrote at that time even to myself. I stated that I would
21		have to look at emails or other documents to refresh
22	looked at for preparation.	22 myself. I have no notes that I've created for this
23	Q That's it?	23 deposition, sir, with all due respect.
24	A I believe that's what I looked at for	Q Yesterday you told Wash U's counsel that you
25	preparation.	would need to check your case logs to answer a question or
	Page 357	D 250
	1 age 557	Page 359
1	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that	Page 359 1 two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that?
1 2	_	
	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that	1 two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that?
2	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you?	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my
2	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence.	 two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs.
2 3 4	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way	 two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs?
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there	 two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single	 two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did.	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it?	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered.	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition.	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN:	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you believe relate to your claims in this case that have not	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second. But but more or less as far as there's case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you believe relate to your claims in this case that have not been produced?	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second. But but more or less as far as there's case logs that we write and we we enter our cases when we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you believe relate to your claims in this case that have not been produced? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that's	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second. But but more or less as far as there's case logs that we write and we we enter our cases when we're going through them. Sometimes you enter them that day,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you believe relate to your claims in this case that have not been produced? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that's for attorney/client information or work product	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second. But but more or less as far as there's case logs that we write and we we enter our cases when we're going through them. Sometimes you enter them that day, sometimes you enter them later, later in the month or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you believe relate to your claims in this case that have not been produced? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that's for attorney/client information or work product information.	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second. But but more or less as far as there's case logs that we write and we we enter our cases when we're going through them. Sometimes you enter them that day, sometimes you enter them later, later in the month or the year. But I believe I entered my case logs into the ACGME
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you believe relate to your claims in this case that have not been produced? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that's for attorney/client information or work product information. You can answer subject to that.	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second. But but more or less as far as there's case logs that we write and we we enter our cases when we're going through them. Sometimes you enter them that day, sometimes you enter them later, later in the month or the year. But I believe I entered my case logs into the ACGME portal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you believe relate to your claims in this case that have not been produced? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that's for attorney/client information or work product information. You can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: I mean, you would you know,	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second. But but more or less as far as there's case logs that we write and we we enter our cases when we're going through them. Sometimes you enter them that day, sometimes you enter them later, later in the month or the year. But I believe I entered my case logs into the ACGME portal. I that was per protocol and per the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. You didn't review the documents that you contend are defamatory of you? A There's over 60,000 pieces of evidence. They're they're Bates stamped documents. There's no way for me to go review every single document. So there there was no point in trying to review every single document. I read the interrogatories. I scanned the interrogatories, I scanned the complaint, and I prepped with my attorneys. That that's all that I did. Q That's it? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That's what I did to prepare for this deposition. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are there any documents that you have that you believe relate to your claims in this case that have not been produced? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that's for attorney/client information or work product information. You can answer subject to that. THE WITNESS: I mean, you would you know, I've I have given all the documents and materials I had	two that he had posed to you. Do you remember that? A I believe I stated I would have to look in my case logs. Q What case logs? A Well, when you're a resident at Washington University, Saint Louis/Barnes, the consortium of them, when you do cases, you very often will log them. The ACGME has a case logger and you go in there and you log them. Also, Washington University/Barnes, the consortium, Barnes Hospital, your client, will send us schedules on a regular basis of where we're to rotate in the hospital, and those schedules can be changed last minute, so there's lot of activity and changes on them. Additionally I'm just trying to think I'm sorry, I lost my thought for a second. But but more or less as far as there's case logs that we write and we we enter our cases when we're going through them. Sometimes you enter them that day, sometimes you enter them later, later in the month or the year. But I believe I entered my case logs into the ACGME portal. I that was per protocol and per the requirements of the residency to entire your cases. So

4 (Pages 356 to 359)

	Page 360		Page 362
1	date and the case that you did and who you did it with.	1	MR. RUTTER: Objection, argumentative.
2	Q Are you in possession of any of those case	2	MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative.
3	logs?	3	THE WITNESS: I guess I would like to know
4	A I've given all those case logs to my	4	what when you say bullied, I'd like to know what you
5	attorneys.	5	mean by that, sir.
6	Q And do you know if they've produced them?	6	BY MR. NOLAN:
7	A I believe they have produced every you	7	Q I said physically abused, and you asked me
8	know, I can't I was not in charge of the production. I	8	what I meant by physically abused.
9	gave all the documents to my attorneys, and I you know,	9	A Yes. Can you please tell me your definition
10	that's that's all I can do as, you know, as a plaintiff	10	of physically abused so I can make sure that I answer the
11	in a lawsuit, I give all the material and documents to my	11	question.
12	attorneys.	12	Q Did anyone ever strike you?
13	Q You gave them to your attorneys because you	13	A Nobody ever struck me.
14	knew they were responsive to the document request that were	14	Q Did anyone ever touch you inappropriately?
15	propounded to you in this lawsuit, yes?	15	A Nobody ever touched me inappropriately.
16	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	16	Q Did anyone ever threaten to do bodily harm to
17	conclusion.	17	you?
18	THE WITNESS: I you know, as I stated, I	18	A I felt threatened at times by Joe Cras yelling
19	gave my I gave all the documents my attorneys requested	19	at me in my face.
20	to them.	20	Q Did anyone ever threaten to do bodily harm to
21	BY MR. NOLAN:	21	you?
22	Q Yesterday you stated that back in February of	22	A Nobody ever threatened nobody verbally ever
23	2017 you were working to obtain information that you	23	threatened to harm me. There was lots of physical
24	believe related to fraud and you sent those to an attorney.	24	intimidation with certain faculty members that were yelling
25	Do you recall that testimony?	25	at me.
	Page 361		Page 363
1	A Well, Mr. Sullivan interrupted and	1	Q Did anyone ever invade your personal space and
2	misconstrued it. I said that in February I retained an	2	yell at you?
3	attorney.	3	A Yes.
4	Q Who was that attorney?	4	Q What do you consider personal space?
5	A I returned I retained Sherman Marek, the	5	A Well, personal space would depend on the
6	Marek Law Office.	6	situation. But certainly when somebody is inches away from
7	Q And why did you retain an attorney back in	7	your face yelling at you, I would consider that a violation
8	February of 2017?	8	of personal space, and I think many many would.
9	MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that	9	Q Who did that? Who was inches away from your
10	calls for privileged information. So don't disclose	10	face yelling at you?
11	anything attorney/client communications.	11	A Joseph Cras.
12	THE WITNESS: I retained an attorney because I	12	Q Anyone else?
13	was being bullied and harassed and I had seen illegal	13	A I have to think on it, but right now I recall
14	activity at the hospital and I wanted to protect myself.	14	Joseph Cras doing it.
15	So like most people do that are being bullied, abused,	15	Q Okay. As you here today, the only person that
16	harassed to that level, I retained I retained an	16	you can think of that was within inches of your face
17	attorney.	17	yelling at you was Joseph Cras, yes?
18	BY MR. NOLAN:	18	A Right now that's what my memory recalls. I'm
19	Q You said bullied, abused and harassed?	19	more than happy to think of the hundreds of interactions
20	A Yes.	20	and hundreds of faculty members, but right now that's what
21	Q Okay. You weren't physically abused, were	21	comes to mind.
22	you?	22	Q Okay. How many times did Dr. Cras get within
23	A Can you explain what you mean by physically	23	inches of your face and yell at you?
24	abused?	24	A vveii, if you worked with nim. ne in my case
24 25	abused? Q You really need a definition for that?	24	A Well, if you worked with him, he in my case when I worked with him

5 (Pages 360 to 363)

Page 364 Page 366 Q I just asked how many times. 1 look at notes and materials to refresh my memory. 1 2 A Okay. Give me a moment to think. I worked 2 Q Did you make any notes regarding these 3 with him for several days and he was consistently in my 3 interactions with Dr. Cras? 4 face yelling at me and harassing me. It was -- it was 4 A I believe mister -- I believe Attorney 5 ongoing and continuous. 5 Sullivan showed me one of those notes that I wrote 6 He would -- he'd come in and out of a room. yesterday, talking about him behaving inappropriately. 6 7 He'd -- I'd go to preop and talk with him, I'd go to 7 Q Did those notes that Mr. Sullivan showed you, 8 post-op and talk with him. That was just, you know, did they say anything about him being inches, within inches 8 9 something that's open and notorious. I don't know if 9 of your face and yelling at you? you -- you know, I'm just trying to think of a number. I'd 10 10 A Well, I'd like to take a look at the documents 11 have to think on it. It happened a long time ago and it 11 right now. Let's go through them. 12 happened multiple times. 12 Q Well, you just looked at it yesterday. Do you 13 Q Well, okay, you said multiple times. I'm 13 remember anything in there about inches away from your face 14 asking how many. 14 velling at you? A Well, I -- I'd have to think on it again. 1.5 15 A I looked at hundreds of pages of documents 16 Q Over 10? 16 yesterday in seven hours of deposition. If you want me to 17 A Again, I'd have to think on it to give you an 17 quote those -- those documents, we'd have to go pull them exact number sir. 18 18 up. I'm -- I'm very happy to do so, to go through them 19 Q Over 20? 19 20 A Again, I'd have to think on it to give you an 20 Q Did you make a complaint to anyone about exact number, sir. 2.1 2.1 Dr. Cras getting within inches of your face and yelling at 22 Q Over 20? 22 A Again, I'd have to think on it to give you an 2.3 23 A I believe I -- I'm thinking who I spoke with. 24 exact number, sir. 24 I had told Richard Benzinger about Dr. Cras' behavior. I'm Q Was anyone else ever present when Dr. Cras was 25 25 trying to think if I -- I'm trying to think if there's an Page 365 Page 367 within inches of your face yelling at you? 1 email of it as well. I'd have to again go through 1 2 A There were other -- depending on the location. 2 documents to see. 3 Sometimes he spoke with me in an empty operating room. 3 But Dr. Benzinger and I believe anybody else I Other times it was in preop or post-op. There's lots of would have spoken with has just said that's just Dr. Cras, 4 nurses and techs that float around the hospital that -- so his behavior, you're just going to have to deal with it, 5 5 6 he's known to yell at people. You can go talk to your 6 and oh, that's Joe. client and other people at the hospital and get that There may very well be an email from 8 8 Dr. Benzinger in there, but, again, there's tens of thousands of emails in discovery I'd have to go through to 9 Q Please give me the names of anyone that was 9 10 ever present when Dr. Cras was within inches of your face 10 see if I can find that, sir. Q Did you ever make a written complaint to 1 1 11 12 A Well, I would need to get -- it would be very 12 anyone about Dr. Cras' behavior? helpful if you give me a staff list of who the nurses were 13 A As I said, I don't recall if it was in 13 writing. I -- I do recall that I did talk with others 14 that day. I don't -- at this time I don't recall the names 14 of the nurses that were in the hospital and preop, post-op 15 about Dr. Cras' behavior, and I'm sure I talked with some 15 of my co-residents at the time. We would check, again, 16 during days I worked with Joe Cras. 16 17 And to be completely honest, without looking 17 out -- the other co-residents. There's 20 people in a 18 at my case logs or records, I don't even remember years 18 class. They'd, you know, go out for lunch or dinner on the later from 20 -- from fall of 2017 what exact days I worked weekends and they talk. I'm very sure that I've told 19 19 20 with Dr. Cras. 20 others about his behavior. 21 Q So as you sit here today, you can't give me 21 Q There is a formal complaint system at BJH, 22 the name of any witness to this alleged action by Dr. Cras 22 correct? 23 where he was within inches of your face yelling at you, is 23 MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the 24 24 question. If you're asking him if there's a formal 25 A Right now I can't remember it. I'm happy to 25 complaint system now, I don't think he has -- I mean, maybe

6 (Pages 364 to 367)

Page 368	Page 370
1 he does know, but you're asking him when he worked there	MR. RUTTER: Objection, argumentative.
2 BY MR. NOLAN:	2 MR. MAREK: Objection.
3 Q Let me withdraw that question and ask you	3 MR. ELSTER: Objection, argumentative.
4 another question.	4 BY MR. NOLAN:
5 When you were at BJH in the residency program,	5 Q I want to know what you heard, what you saw.
6 you were aware that there was a formal complaint system	, 6 Do you understand?
7 yes?	7 A I I'm going through and talking about it.
8 A I don't recall if there was one specific	8 If your hospital wants to have doctors
9 formal complaint system. I tried to complain multiple	9 Q Do you understand that?
10 times to multiple people. For example, I met with I	10 A that sexually harass patients there and not
emailed Nicole Erter that I wanted to meet with her	do anything and make them ethics directors for a department
12 (Reporter clarification.)	and then have them go around saying they've been accused
13 THE WITNESS: Nicole Erter, E-R-T-E-R. And	multiple times, that's Barnes Hospital's problem.
14 then Nicole, N-I-C-O-L-E.	1 4 Q You stated that you retained Mr. Marek back in
And I emailed Nicole Erter that I wanted to	February of 2017. What were the terms of that engagement?
16 meet with her and Attorney Ramatowski. And I believe	16 MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that
there's an email of that floating around.	17 calls for privileged communication.
1 8 I I would assume, like most academic	18 MR. NOLAN: It doesn't.
centers, there's many complaint processes that can be	19 MR. ELSTER: Well, hold on.
20 considered formal. Many many academic centers have tip	20 Well, to the extent it calls for privileged
21 hotlines. Many academic centers, I believe, have office of	21 communications regarding the subject matter of the
access and equity. Many academic centers have offices for	22 representation and where do you find the
ADA compliance. A big I am quite sure that a big center	23 THE WITNESS: Are you asking for the are
24 $$ like Barnes has multiple ways to complain or say something.	24 you asking to see the retainer agreement?
25	25
Page 369	Page 371
1 BY MR. NOLAN:	1 BY MR. NOLAN:
2 Q Multiple formal channels through which you can	2 Q Was it on a contingency fee basis or hourly?
3 complain, correct?	3 A You'll have to talk to my attorneys on that.
4 A There's multiple formal channels that you can	4 Q I'm not talking to your attorneys. I'm
5 complain, and most people don't use them because they're	5 talking to you.
6 terrified of retaliation and what will happen if if you	6 A Okay. I told you, you'll have to talk to my
7 complain and you say things about powerful people. Look at	7 attorneys.
8 what happened who tried to	8 Q Are you refusing to answer my question?
9 Q I would appreciate it if you would not	9 A The terms of my representation with an
speculate about what other people do and don't do and the	10 attorney is privileged attorney/client information, sir.
reasons therefore. Do you understand?	Q Your attorney's not making that objection.
12 MR. MAREK: Objection.	12 MR. ELSTER: I have made the objection. I
13 MR. NOLAN: Do you understand that?	asked him to the extent it calls for
14 MR. MAREK: Objection.	14 MR. NOLAN: Are you instructing your witness
	15 not to answer that question?
THE WITNESS: Well, I'm merely stating i	
15 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm merely stating i 16 think that's very factual. It's very well-known. I've	16 MR. ELSTER: When you say terms, what do you
	16 MR. ELSTER: When you say terms, what do you 17 mean?
think that's very factual. It's very well-known. I've	
think that's very factual. It's very well-known. I've talked to people that say I don't they they don't	17 mean?
think that's very factual. It's very well-known. I've talked to people that say I don't they they don't want to complain against powerful doctors.	17 mean? 18 MR. NOLAN: I just asked him was it
think that's very factual. It's very well-known. I've talked to people that say I don't — they — they don't want to complain against powerful doctors. BY MR. NOLAN:	17 mean? 18 MR. NOLAN: I just asked him was it 19 contingency or hourly.
think that's very factual. It's very well-known. I've talked to people that say I don't — they — they don't want to complain against powerful doctors. BY MR. NOLAN: Q I'm here to ask you questions about your	17 mean? 18 MR. NOLAN: I just asked him was it 19 contingency or hourly. 20 Are you directing him not to answer?
think that's very factual. It's very well-known. I've talked to people that say I don't they they don't want to complain against powerful doctors. BY MR. NOLAN: Q I'm here to ask you questions about your personal knowledge that relate to the facts of this case.	17 mean? 18 MR. NOLAN: I just asked him was it 19 contingency or hourly. 20 Are you directing him not to answer? 21 MR. ELSTER: I am directing him not to answer.
think that's very factual. It's very well-known. I've talked to people that say I don't they they don't want to complain against powerful doctors. BY MR. NOLAN: Q I'm here to ask you questions about your personal knowledge that relate to the facts of this case. Do you understand?	17 mean? 18 MR. NOLAN: I just asked him was it 19 contingency or hourly. 20 Are you directing him not to answer? 21 MR. ELSTER: I am directing him not to answer. 22 BY MR. NOLAN:

7 (Pages 368 to 371)

	Page 372	Page 374
1 my representation with my lawyer. T	hat's very personal	1 THE WITNESS: I'm saying that I retained my
2 MR. ELSTER: I'd also lodge	e an additional	2 attorneys because I felt I needed legal representation,
3 objection to discoverability. That's n	ot proportional with	3 just like many other Americans do.
4 the needs of this case.		4 BY MR. NOLAN:
5 MR. NOLAN: Because you	know it's not a valid	5 Q Did you feel that there was fraud going on
6 attorney/client privilege objection.		6 back in February of 2017?
7 BY MR. NOLAN:		7 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal
8 Q All right. You claim that	you retained	8 conclusion.
9 Mr. Malek [sic] back in February o	f 2017. That was roughly	9 THE WITNESS: You'd have to talk to my
10 several months into your residence	y program, correct?	attorneys. I retained my attorneys because I felt there
11 A Well, I started the residency	y program in June 1	was improper activities going on and I needed legal
of 2016, so Mr. Marek was so as I	· · · -	12 representation.
counsel in February of 2017, so that	-	13 BY MR. NOLAN:
14 several months into it.	· ·	14 Q Did you believe some of the improper
15 Q Okay. And did you retain		15 activities were fraud?
16 you believed there was fraud goin		1 6 A Again, you'll have to talk to my attorneys as
17 A I retained Mr. Marek for f	-	17 I've already told you.
18 felt that I needed to have legal repres		18 Q I'm not talking to your attorneys. I'm
19 Q Okay. That doesn't response		talking to you. This is my opportunity to depose you. You
20 Did did you retain him because	* *	20 understand that, right?
21 was fraud going on?	· -	21 MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative.
22 A I did think there was fraud o		22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I completely understand
23 hospital.	, ,	23 this is my opportunity to be deposed by you.
24 Q And is the basic nature o		24 BY MR. NOLAN:
25 basically what you talked to Mr. S	-	25 Q Did you believe there was fraud going on back
	Page 373	Page 375
1 A Could you specify? I talked	to Mr. Sullivan	in February of 2017?
2 about a lot yesterday.		2 MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for a legal
3 Q Right. The subject matte	r of the lawsuit.	3 conclusion.
4 MR. RUTTER: Objection, c	alls for a legal	4 THE WITNESS: I retained my legal counsel
5 conclusion, form of the question, vag	jue?	5 because I felt that I needed legal counsel because of
6 MR. ELSTER: Objection.		6 activities going on in the hospital.
7 MR. NOLAN: Come on.		7 BY MR. NOLAN:
8 THE WITNESS: You'll have	to talk to my	8 Q That didn't answer my question. Listen very
9 attorneys if you want to know the t	he reason I retained	9 carefully, please. Did you believe there was fraud going
10 them .	1	10 on back in 2017?
11 BY MR. NOLAN:	1	11 MR. RUTTER: Objection, asked and answered,
BY MR. NOLAN:Q I'm asking you.		MR. RUTTER: Objection, asked and answered, calls for a legal conclusion.
	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
12 Q I'm asking you.	my attorneys 1	calls for a legal conclusion.
12 Q I'm asking you. 13 A I'm I'm saying I retained r	my attorneys 1 ounsel. That is my 1	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my
12 Q I'm asking you. 13 A I'm I'm saying I retained r 14 because I felt I needed to get legal or	my attorneys 1 ounsel. That is my 1 1	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going
12 Q I'm asking you. 13 A I'm I'm saying I retained r 14 because I felt I needed to get legal or 15 answer.	my attorneys ounsel. That is my 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel.
12 Q I'm asking you. 13 A I'm I'm saying I retained r 14 because I felt I needed to get legal of 15 answer. 16 Q You already told me 10 m	my attorneys ounsel. That is my initiates ago that you omething about fraud and	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel. BY MR. NOLAN:
12 Q I'm asking you. 13 A I'm I'm saying I retained r 14 because I felt I needed to get legal or 15 answer. 16 Q You already told me 10 m 17 retained them because you said so	my attorneys 1 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 4 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you understand my question? I'm not asking
12 Q I'm asking you. 13 A I'm I'm saying I retained r 14 because I felt I needed to get legal of 15 answer. 16 Q You already told me 10 m 17 retained them because you said so 18 illegal activity. Do you remember	my attorneys ounsel. That is my ininutes ago that you omething about fraud and that? gumentative.	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you understand my question? I'm not asking you about why you retained your attorneys. I'm asking you
12 Q I'm asking you. A I'm I'm saying I retained r because I felt I needed to get legal of answer. Q You already told me 10 m retained them because you said so illegal activity. Do you remember MR. MAREK: Objection, arg	my attorneys ounsel. That is my ininutes ago that you omething about fraud and that? gumentative. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you understand my question? I'm not asking you about why you retained your attorneys. I'm asking you did you believe there was fraud going on back in February
12 Q I'm asking you. A I'm I'm saying I retained r because I felt I needed to get legal of answer. Q You already told me 10 m retained them because you said so illegal activity. Do you remember MR. MAREK: Objection, arg	my attorneys ounsel. That is my ininutes ago that you omething about fraud and that? gumentative. 2 e you're not going to 1 1 2	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you understand my question? I'm not asking you about why you retained your attorneys. I'm asking you did you believe there was fraud going on back in February of 2017.
12 Q I'm asking you. A I'm I'm saying I retained r because I felt I needed to get legal of answer. Q You already told me 10 m retained them because you said so illegal activity. Do you remember MR. MAREK: Objection, arg BY MR. NOLAN: Q And now you're telling me	my attorneys ounsel. That is my ininutes ago that you omething about fraud and that? gumentative. e you're not going to 1 1 2 2	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you understand my question? I'm not asking you about why you retained your attorneys. I'm asking you did you believe there was fraud going on back in February of 2017. MR. MAREK: Same objections.
12 Q I'm asking you. A I'm I'm saying I retained r because I felt I needed to get legal of answer. Q You already told me 10 m retained them because you said so illegal activity. Do you remember MR. MAREK: Objection, arg BY MR. NOLAN: Q And now you're telling me answer my questions?	my attorneys ounsel. That is my alinutes ago that you omething about fraud and that? gumentative. e you're not going to to answer your 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you understand my question? I'm not asking you about why you retained your attorneys. I'm asking you did you believe there was fraud going on back in February of 2017. MR. MAREK: Same objections. THE WITNESS: I retained my attorneys because
12 Q I'm asking you. A I'm I'm saying I retained r because I felt I needed to get legal of answer. Q You already told me 10 m retained them because you said so illegal activity. Do you remember MR. MAREK: Objection, arg BY MR. NOLAN: Q And now you're telling me answer my questions? A I'm not saying I'm not going	my attorneys ounsel. That is my alinutes ago that you omething about fraud and that? gumentative. e you're not going to to answer your 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: As I've said, I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activity going on at the hospital and I needed legal counsel. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you understand my question? I'm not asking you about why you retained your attorneys. I'm asking you did you believe there was fraud going on back in February of 2017. MR. MAREK: Same objections. THE WITNESS: I retained my attorneys because I felt there was improper activities of the hospital and I

Page 376	Page 378
1 that the witness is refusing to answer my question and	1 MR. ELSTER: Okay.
2 we'll be moving for sanctions.	2 THE COURT REPORTER: yeah, you have to kind
3 BY MR. NOLAN:	of go one at a time here. Thank you.
4 Q What illegal activity did you believe was	4 BY MR. NOLAN:
5 going on back in February of 2017?	5 Q Did you provide any documents that you
6 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	6 obtained during your residency to your attorneys?
7 conclusion.	7 A Per discovery orders I provided documents to
8 THE WITNESS: As I said, I saw activities that	8 my attorneys.
9 I thought were illegal and I wanted to get legal counsel to	9 Q How about before the lawsuit was filed?
10 represent me and my interests.	10 A Well, to to file a lawsuit most attorneys
11 BY MR. NOLAN:	want to see documents and evidence and then they will go
12 Q What what activities did you think were	write a complaint and file a lawsuit. So clearly I would
13 illegal?	have given evidence and proof to my attorneys of my
A As I said, I retained legal counsel to protect	14 contention for a lawsuit before it was filed.
15 myself and my interests.	15 Q So your answer is yes?
Q That's not what I'm asking you. What	16 A Did I have I given documents to my
activities did you see that you thought were illegal?	17 attorneys, yes, I have.
8 A As I said, you're asking me to give a legal	18 Q Before the lawsuit was filed?
9 conclusion. I retained counsel to protect my interests	19 A Yes, I've given documents
because I thought there was improper activities going on at	20 Q Yes.
the hospital.	A to my attorneys before a lawsuit was filed.
Q And I'm asking you you telling me you saw	22 Q Thank you. You know, this process will go a
what you thought were illegal activities. I'm asking you	lot quicker if you just give a yes or no answer.
2.4 what they were.	MR. RUTTER: It would also go a lot quicker if
And I'm answering. I said I hired legal	25 you wouldn't make those types of comments that are
counsel because I felt there were improper activities going	1 completely unnecessary.
on at the hospital to protect my interests.	2 BY MR. NOLAN:
3 MR. NOLAN: Again, let the record reflect that	3 Q Do you understand that I'm limited to five
4 the witness is refusing to answer my questions and we'll be	4 hours with you today?
5 moving for sanctions.	5 A Yes, and you're limited because you guys tried
6 BY MR. NOLAN:	to do this deposition at a workers' comp one hour
7 Q Back in February of 2017, did you gather any	deposition, which is why you're limited.
8 documents or data that you thought related to fraud or	8 Q I would appreciate it if you would limit your
9 illegal activity?	9 responses to the scope of my question so that this will go
0 A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I	9 responses to the scope of my question so that this will go 10 a lot quicker. Do you understand that?
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at	9 responses to the scope of my question so that this will go 10 a lot quicker. Do you understand that? 11 A And I would appreciate it if your client
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point.	9 responses to the scope of my question so that this will go 10 a lot quicker. Do you understand that? 11 A And I would appreciate it if your client 12 stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions.
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017?	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to reflect Marek and Malek back and forth. So you might want	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that program?
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to reflect Marek and Malek back and forth. So you might want to ask about the correct name if you want to use this	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that program? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to reflect Marek and Malek back and forth. So you might want to ask about the correct name if you want to use this record.	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that program? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that calls for privileged communications.
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to reflect Marek and Malek back and forth. So you might want to ask about the correct name if you want to use this record. THE COURT REPORTER: And did you	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that program? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that calls for privileged communications. THE WITNESS: I would have to think on exactly
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to reflect Marek and Malek back and forth. So you might want to ask about the correct name if you want to use this record. THE COURT REPORTER: And did you MR. ELSTER: Yeah, I made an attorney/client	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that program? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that calls for privileged communications. THE WITNESS: I would have to think on exactly what I provided to my attorneys, but I would I was in
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to reflect Marek and Malek back and forth. So you might want to ask about the correct name if you want to use this record. THE COURT REPORTER: And did you MR. ELSTER: Yeah, I made an attorney/client privilege objection, asking for communications to an	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that program? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that calls for privileged communications. THE WITNESS: I would have to think on exactly what I provided to my attorneys, but I would I was in touch with attorneys before I resigned from my program.
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to reflect Marek and Malek back and forth. So you might want to ask about the correct name if you want to use this record. THE COURT REPORTER: And did you MR. ELSTER: Yeah, I made an attorney/client privilege objection, asking for communications to an attorney.	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that program? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that calls for privileged communications. THE WITNESS: I would have to think on exactly what I provided to my attorneys, but I would I was in touch with attorneys before I resigned from my program. BY MR. NOLAN:
A I didn't I said in February of 2017 I retained legal counsel. I did not gather any documents at that point. Q Did you send any documents or data to your counsel, Mr. Malek, back in February of 2017? MR. ELSTER: Objection MR. MAREK: I'm sorry. The record is going to reflect Marek and Malek back and forth. So you might want to ask about the correct name if you want to use this record. THE COURT REPORTER: And did you MR. ELSTER: Yeah, I made an attorney/client privilege objection, asking for communications to an	responses to the scope of my question so that this will go a lot quicker. Do you understand that? A And I would appreciate it if your client stopped harassing and blacklisting me. I'm more than happy to be deposed and answer questions. Q Yeah, okay. All right. Did you provide any documents that you obtained during your residency program before to your attorneys before you resigned from that program? MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that calls for privileged communications. THE WITNESS: I would have to think on exactly what I provided to my attorneys, but I would I was in touch with attorneys before I resigned from my program.

9 (Pages 376 to 379)

Page 380	Page 382
1 the program that you provided to your attorneys.	1 those questions?
2 MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the	2 A I I understand, and you're asking me what I
3 question. It's vague.	3 gave to my attorneys and talked with my attorneys about.
4 BY MR. NOLAN:	4 Q I'm now asking no. I'm asking you what
5 Q Do you understand that? Do you understand	5 documents you collected while you were at the residence
6 that?	6 program that you believe related to fraud or illegal
7 A So you're asking me if I provided any document	7 activity.
8 to any attorney before I resigned?	8 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal
9 Q Yes.	9 conclusion and invades attorney/client privilege and work
0 A Yes. I was in communication with attorneys	10 product.
and provided them, you know I was in communication with	11 THE WITNESS: Again, I said I told you, I
2 attorneys.	12 retained counsel and I worked with counsel.
Q What documents did you provide to them before	13 MR. NOLAN: That wasn't my question. What
4 you resigned?	14 documents did you collect, that was my question.
5 MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls	15 MR. RUTTER: Same objection.
6 for privileged communications.	16 THE WITNESS: I'm just saying I I retained
7 THE WITNESS: Here again I would have to think	17 counsel and I worked with counsel.
on what documents I provided to them.	18 MR. NOLAN: Let the record reflect he's
9 BY MR. NOLAN:	19 refusing to answer my question. We'll move for sanctions.
Q Okay. Well, so let's let's think about	20 BY MR. NOLAN:
this. Back in February of 2017 you were already of the	Q Before you left the residency program, did you
2 belief that there was fraudulent and illegal activity going	22 talk to anyone other than your attorneys about what you
on such that you had hired attorneys, correct?	23 believe to be fraudulent or illegal activity?
4 A I retained	24 A I believe I spoke with Gary Hammen. Gary
5 MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for a legal	25 Hammen also had very had concerns about activities goin
Page 381	Page 383
1 conclusion.	1 on at the hospital. And depending on what activity what
THE WITNESS: To the extent of, yes, I hired	2 type of bullying, harassment and improper activities, I
attorneys in February because I felt I needed legal	3 believe I talked with many faculty members about different
4 representation.	4 activities that I had seen going on.
5 BY MR. NOLAN:	5 I believe I talked to different
Q And if you thought that there was evidence	6 administrators. I talked to Nicole Erter. I talked to
7 that would show fraud or illegal activity, you certainly	
	7 Rebecca McAlister. I talked to Tia Drake. I talked to
would have collected it, yes?	
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any	8 many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate.	8 many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes?	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to?	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns.
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was — yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes?	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to?
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes? A It it would depend on you know, this is	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to? A I'm sure if I was given given a list of
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes? A It it would depend on you know, this is a very broad question. What type of a document are we	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to? A I'm sure if I was given given a list of people that I that I worked with and saw, that there's a
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes? A It it would depend on you know, this is a very broad question. What type of a document are we talking about?	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to? A I'm sure if I was given given a list of people that I that I worked with and saw, that there's a lot I spoke with about different things going on.
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes? A It it would depend on you know, this is a very broad question. What type of a document are we talking about? Q I don't know. You haven't told me yet. I	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to? A I'm sure if I was given given a list of people that I that I worked with and saw, that there's a lot I spoke with about different things going on. For example, I told Dr. Tseng, one of your
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes? A It it would depend on you know, this is a very broad question. What type of a document are we talking about? Q I don't know. You haven't told me yet. I wouldn't know.	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to? A I'm sure if I was given given a list of people that I that I worked with and saw, that there's a lot I spoke with about different things going on. For example, I told Dr. Tseng, one of your dermatology I talked with Dr. Tseng, one of your
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes? A It it would depend on you know, this is a very broad question. What type of a document are we talking about? Q I don't know. You haven't told me yet. I wouldn't know. MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative.	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to? A I'm sure if I was given given a list of people that I that I worked with and saw, that there's a lot I spoke with about different things going on. For example, I told Dr. Tseng, one of your dermatology I talked with Dr. Tseng, one of your dermatology residents that I was rotating with in internal
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes? A It it would depend on you know, this is a very broad question. What type of a document are we talking about? Q I don't know. You haven't told me yet. I wouldn't know. MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. BY MR. NOLAN:	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to? A I'm sure if I was given given a list of people that I that I worked with and saw, that there's a lot I spoke with about different things going on. For example, I told Dr. Tseng, one of your dermatology I talked with Dr. Tseng, one of your dermatology residents that I was rotating with in internal medicine, when when Melvin Blanchard asked me to be
would have collected it, yes? A I would have I was on the lookout for any evidence that showed activities that were inappropriate. Q And you would have collected it, yes? A Is this a specific thing you're referring to? Q No. I'm just asking you, you would have collected it, yes? If you thought it was evidence of fraud or illegal activity, you would have collected it, yes? A It it would depend on you know, this is a very broad question. What type of a document are we talking about? Q I don't know. You haven't told me yet. I wouldn't know. MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative. BY MR. NOLAN:	many people. I talked to Ombudsman James Fehr, who you ombudsman left because of improper activities and went to Stanford. I talked to your other ombudsman who was yeah, I talked with other individuals about concerns that I had. I had a very wide variety of concerns. Q Anyone else that you reported your concerns to? A I'm sure if I was given given a list of people that I that I worked with and saw, that there's a lot I spoke with about different things going on. For example, I told Dr. Tseng, one of your dermatology I talked with Dr. Tseng, one of your dermatology residents that I was rotating with in internal medicine, when when Melvin Blanchard asked me to be engaged in immigration fraud issue.

10 (Pages 380 to 383)

	Page 384	Page 386
1	said she couldn't be there, so he wanted me to give her my	1 have been last last summer, probably June, July, August
2	log-in credentials so she could pretend to be a med student	2 of 2021.
3	and then just go and log-in and see patients.	3 Q Did you produce those documents in this case?
4	And I talked that was one incident.	4 A I gave all documents I had to my attorneys.
5	talked to Dr. Tseng about, I recommend she have her	5 Q Did you produce those documents that you just
6	immigration attorney call counsel at BJC in Wash U, which	6 discussed to your attorneys?
7	they did, and then who yelled at the program director for	7 A I I gave all documents that I have to my
8	internal medicine you could not have somebody engage in	8 attorneys and they've represented me and produced or done
9	immigration fraud. So, again, there were lots of	9 anything that was required and necessary.
10	inappropriate things going on there that I wanted to not	10 Q So, in other words, if any documents that
11	participate in.	11 you provided to the FBI, if they've not been produced in
12	Q Did you report any, what you believed to be	this case, it would be because your attorneys chose not to
13	illegal activities, to any governmental agency or	13 produce them, yes?
14	government department?	14 MR. ELSTER: Objection, argumentative. And
15	A I which which activities are we	15 we're still in the course and scope of discovery.
16	referring to? Just any activities?	16 MR. NOLAN: Yes?
17	Q Yeah, any illegal activities.	17 MR. ELSTER: Same objection.
18	A I've I did talk about activities to the	18 THE WITNESS: I I again, I have no
19	EEOC, the Equal Opportunity you know, the EEOC, and I	19 knowledge of my attorneys' productions. They've done
20	met with them. And I also contacted, at one point I	20 productions on their own.
21	contacted the FBI, the Federal Bureau of Investigation.	21 BY MR. NOLAN:
22	Q When was that?	22 Q Did you have any written correspondence with
23	A I believe I spoke about I believe I spoke	
24	with an FBI agent the first time about things going on back	
25	in probably February or March of 2018 I believe.	24 A About are you talking so I I've had 25 phone calls with the with the Federal Bureau of
		priorite states with the - with the Federal Bulleta of
	Page 385	Page 387
1	Page 385 Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in	Page 387 1 Investigations.
1 2	-	
	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in	1 Investigations.
2	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018?	Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence
2	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the	 Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means?
2 3 4	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to	 Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means? A Please feel free to enlighten me.
2 3 4 5	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try	 Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means? A Please feel free to enlighten me. Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what
2 3 4 5 6	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people	 Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means? A Please feel free to enlighten me. Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what written correspondence means?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate.	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or – this isn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or — this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019.	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or – this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written 12 correspondence with the FBI?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written 12 correspondence with the FBI? 13 A I've I've had to I've had written
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them.	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written 12 correspondence with the FBI? 13 A I've I've had to I've had written 14 correspondence with the FBI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written 12 correspondence with the FBI? 13 A I've I've had to I've had written 14 correspondence with the FBI 15 Q Okay. Did you produce that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written 12 correspondence with the FBI? 13 A I've I've had to I've had written 14 correspondence with the FBI 15 Q Okay. Did you produce that? 16 A about about matters involving Physicians
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the PHP?	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written 12 correspondence with the FBI? 13 A I've I've had to I've had written 14 correspondence with the FBI 15 Q Okay. Did you produce that? 16 A about about matters involving Physicians 17 Health Program, and I've given all documents I have to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the PHP? A I didn't provide documents to the FBI at that	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written 12 correspondence with the FBI? 13 A I've I've had to I've had written 14 correspondence with the FBI 15 Q Okay. Did you produce that? 16 A about about matters involving Physicians 17 Health Program, and I've given all documents I have to my 18 attorneys.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the PHP? A I didn't provide documents to the FBI at that point in time.	1 Investigations. 2 Q Do you understand what written correspondence 3 means? 4 A Please feel free to enlighten me. 5 Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what 6 written correspondence means? 7 A Are we talking about letters or this isn't 8 a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's 9 not a letter or a handwritten note. 10 Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what 11 written correspondence means. So did you have any written 12 correspondence with the FBI? 13 A I've I've had to I've had written 14 correspondence with the FBI 15 Q Okay. Did you produce that? 16 A about about matters involving Physicians 17 Health Program, and I've given all documents I have to my 18 attorneys. 19 Q So you've given your written correspondence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the PHP? A I didn't provide documents to the FBI at that point in time. Q Did you at any other point in time?	Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means? A Please feel free to enlighten me. Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what written correspondence means? A Are we talking about letters or this isn't a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's not a letter or a handwritten note. Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what written correspondence means. So did you have any written correspondence with the FBI? A I've I've had to I've had written correspondence with the FBI Q Okay. Did you produce that? A about about matters involving Physicians Health Program, and I've given all documents I have to my attorneys. Q So you've given your written correspondence with the FBI to your attorneys, is that what you're saying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the PHP? A I didn't provide documents to the FBI at that point in time. Q Did you at any other point in time? A In the work that I've done I've provided	Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means? A Please feel free to enlighten me. Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what written correspondence means? A Are we talking about letters or – this isn't a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's not a letter or a handwritten note. Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what written correspondence means. So did you have any written correspondence with the FBI? A I've – I've had to – I've had written correspondence with the FBI – Q Okay. Did you produce that? A – about – about matters involving Physicians Health Program, and I've given all documents I have to my attorneys. Q So you've given your written correspondence with the FBI to your attorneys, is that what you're saying? A All that I'm saying is that any documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the PHP? A I didn't provide documents to the FBI at that point in time. Q Did you at any other point in time? A In the work that I've done I've provided documents to the FBI about sham PHP referrals.	Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means? A Please feel free to enlighten me. Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what written correspondence means? A Are we talking about letters or – this isn't a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's not a letter or a handwritten note. Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what written correspondence means. So did you have any written correspondence with the FBI? A I've – I've had to – I've had written correspondence with the FBI – Q Okay. Did you produce that? A – about – about matters involving Physicians Health Program, and I've given all documents I have to my attorneys. Q So you've given your written correspondence with the FBI to your attorneys, is that what you're saying? A All that I'm saying is that any documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the PHP? A I didn't provide documents to the FBI at that point in time. Q Did you at any other point in time? A In the work that I've done I've provided documents to the FBI about sham PHP referrals. Q When was that?	Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means? A Please feel free to enlighten me. Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what written correspondence means? A Are we talking about letters or this isn't a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's not a letter or a handwritten note. Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what written correspondence means. So did you have any written correspondence with the FBI? A I've I've had to I've had written correspondence with the FBI Q Okay. Did you produce that? A about about matters involving Physicians Health Program, and I've given all documents I have to my attorneys. Q So you've given your written correspondence with the FBI to your attorneys, is that what you're saying? A All that I'm saying is that any documents related to this case that I've had, I have given to my attorneys.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Why did you talk to someone at the FBI back in February or March of 2018? A Well, that specific situation resolved to the sham referrals that Wash U was and Barnes were doing to doctors, to the Missouri Physicians Health Program to try to tarnish their reputations for whistleblowers or people talking about about anything that was inappropriate. And there was actually two KSDK news stories that came out on that, I believe, in 2019. Q You're talking about the PHP Program? A Yes, the Missouri Physicians Health Program and Wash U and Barnes referral doctors that reported improper activity to tarnish their reputations and silence them. Q Did you provide any documents to the FBI related to the allegations about the sham referrals to the PHP? A I didn't provide documents to the FBI at that point in time. Q Did you at any other point in time? A In the work that I've done I've provided documents to the FBI about sham PHP referrals.	Investigations. Q Do you understand what written correspondence means? A Please feel free to enlighten me. Q Do you need me to enlighten you about what written correspondence means? A Are we talking about letters or this isn't a written correspondence. This is typed-written, but it's not a letter or a handwritten note. Q Well, thank you. I do believe you know what written correspondence means. So did you have any written correspondence with the FBI? A I've I've had to I've had written correspondence with the FBI Q Okay. Did you produce that? A about about matters involving Physicians Health Program, and I've given all documents I have to my attorneys. Q So you've given your written correspondence with the FBI to your attorneys, is that what you're saying? A All that I'm saying is that any documents related to this case that I've had, I have given to my

11 (Pages 384 to 387)

Page 388	Page 390
1 this case I'm just saying, everything I have involving	1 BY MR. NOLAN:
this case I've given to my attorneys. We've given you over	2 Q Handing you what's been marked Exhibit B1. Do
3 60 , 70,000 pages of documents.	3 you recognize that document?
Q So if it's if it hasn't been produced, it's	4 A This appears to be the interrogatories. And
5 because your attorneys chose not to produce them?	5 what date are these?
6 MR. MAREK: Objection, argumentative, legal	6 THE COURT REPORTER: I need you to speak up,
7 conclusion as to discovery requests and obligations.	7 please.
8 THE WITNESS: And, again, I'm not the attorney	8 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
9 running the case and representing on this case. I just	9 THE COURT REPORTER: That's okay.
said I've given all documents to my attorneys.	10 THE WITNESS: This document appears to be the
11 BY MR. NOLAN:	11 interrogatories. And I believe this one was filed on
Q Okay. Have you reported any other alleged	12 sorry, was this from March 31st of 2021? I know there's
malfeasance to any other governmental agency?	13 been some updates to them.
A I believe, to the best of my knowledge, it has	14 BY MR. NOLAN:
been to the EEOC and to and talking with the FBI on	15 Q Thank you. And would you turn to the second
16 Physician Health Program activities.	16 to last page. Do you see that? You signed under the
17 I'm trying to think if there's any other	17 verification section?
government agency that I've contacted. And from some of	18 A Yes, I see my signature there.
the work that I do, I've talked to different government	19 Q Okay. And when you signed it, you signed
agencies in the past. But I believe, to the best of my	20 under the verification which states, under penalties as
memory, those are the agencies that I had reported to.	21 provided by law, the undersigned certifies that the
Q Did you disclose your communications with the	22 statements set forth in this instrument are true and
FBI in your interrogatory answers in this case?	23 correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on
A Well, let's go to the interrogatory answers.	24 information and belief, and as to such matters, the
25 My attorneys put those together and wrote them.	25 undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he verily believes
Page 389	Page 391
1 MR. MAREK: You need to clarify whether all of	1 the same to be true.
these contacts with the FBI related to your case or were	2 Did I read that correctly?
3 they related to the representation	3 A That's what's written there.
4 MR. SULLIVAN: You're not entitled to ask	4 Q Okay. Would you turn to Page 4 of Exhibit B1.
5 you're not entitled to ask questions at this point.	5 And as an attorney you understand that interrogatories are
6 MR. MAREK: You want to be able to use this	6 our opportunity to ask you written questions to which you
7 record, right? So I'm trying to help you with this.	7 are supposed to provide full and truthful responses, yes?
8 MR. NOLAN: Please stop trying to coach your	8 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal
9 witness.	9 conclusion.
MR. MAREK: I'm not coaching anybody.	10 THE WITNESS: You'll have to ask my attorneys
MR. MAREK: I'm not coaching anybody. MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would	10 THE WITNESS: You'll have to ask my attorneys 11 about legal conclusions.
<u> </u>	, ,
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would	11 about legal conclusions.
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him.	11 about legal conclusions.12 BY MR. NOLAN:
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making	 about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct?
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections MR. MAREK: What I'm telling you is that	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my attorneys to make legal conclusions.
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections MR. MAREK: What I'm telling you is that MR. NOLAN: concise objections.	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my attorneys to make legal conclusions. Q You understand what an interrogatory is, yes?
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections MR. MAREK: What I'm telling you is that MR. NOLAN: concise objections. MR. MAREK: Okay. All right. If you want to	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my attorneys to make legal conclusions. Q You understand what an interrogatory is, yes? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered.
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections MR. MAREK: What I'm telling you is that MR. NOLAN: concise objections. MR. MAREK: Okay. All right. If you want to use the record, then you should straighten it out. If you	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my attorneys to make legal conclusions. Q You understand what an interrogatory is, yes? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: As I said, if you're asking for
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections MR. MAREK: What I'm telling you is that MR. NOLAN: concise objections. MR. MAREK: Okay. All right. If you want to use the record, then you should straighten it out. If you don't want to use it, then I won't help you.	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my attorneys to make legal conclusions. Q You understand what an interrogatory is, yes? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: As I said, if you're asking for a legal definition of interrogatories, you'll have to talk
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections MR. MAREK: What I'm telling you is that MR. NOLAN: concise objections. MR. MAREK: Okay. All right. If you want to use the record, then you should straighten it out. If you don't want to use it, then I won't help you. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you want to say	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my attorneys to make legal conclusions. Q You understand what an interrogatory is, yes? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: As I said, if you're asking for a legal definition of interrogatories, you'll have to talk to my attorneys.
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections MR. MAREK: What I'm telling you is that MR. NOLAN: concise objections. MR. MAREK: Okay. All right. If you want to use the record, then you should straighten it out. If you don't want to use it, then I won't help you. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you want to say something?	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my attorneys to make legal conclusions. Q You understand what an interrogatory is, yes? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: As I said, if you're asking for a legal definition of interrogatories, you'll have to talk to my attorneys. BY MR. NOLAN:
MR. RUTTER: Well, the record would MR. MAREK: I'm not talking to him. MR. NOLAN: You're limited to making objections MR. MAREK: What I'm telling you is that MR. NOLAN: concise objections. MR. MAREK: Okay. All right. If you want to use the record, then you should straighten it out. If you don't want to use it, then I won't help you. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you want to say something? MR. RUTTER: He he covered it.	about legal conclusions. BY MR. NOLAN: Q You understand that, correct? A Again, you'll you'll have to ask my attorneys to make legal conclusions. Q You understand what an interrogatory is, yes? MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: As I said, if you're asking for a legal definition of interrogatories, you'll have to talk to my attorneys. BY MR. NOLAN: Q I'm talking to you. Do you know what an

12 (Pages 388 to 391)

	Page 392		Page 394
1	interrogatory is?	1	MR. ELSTER: Same objections.
2	A As I said, interrogatories are questions that	2	THE WITNESS: I spoke with my attorneys about
3	a party in a lawsuit asks you a number of question. They	3	the matter and who I I who was involved in, who I had
4	are if an attorney will gather data and fill them out, and	4	spoken with and that.
5 i	if an attorney will supplement them, if necessary, during	5	And to note, there's hundreds of employees at
6	the course of a lawsuit.	6	Barnes-Jewish or Wash U that were aware of what was going
7	Q You understand that you're supposed to provide	7	on with my situation and going on at the hospital at time
8	full and truthful responses to them, yes?	8	period. And, I mean, as I said, there's nurses I worked
9	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	9	with on a daily basis that or not a daily. It could be
10	conclusion.	10	different floors, different people that probably saw that
11	THE WITNESS: Again, I had my attorneys fill	11	there was abuse or harassment going on. So, and, again
12	these out and put them together.	12	MR. NOLAN: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
	BY MR. NOLAN:	13	BY MR. NOLAN:
14	Q That wasn't my question. Do you remember my	14	Q Is anyone from the FBI listed in response to
15	question?	15	Interrogatory 2?
16	A Can you please restate it.	16	MR. ELSTER: Objection, the document speaks
17	Q You understand that these written questions	17	for itself.
	that you're supposed to give full and truthful responses	18	THE WITNESS: The document is here. The
	to, yes?	19	document's here. I've I've listened to my attorneys.
20	A You know, again, I you know, I provided	20	They're taking the story from me and put down the
	accurate information to my attorneys and they put together	21	information on who was relevant and listed people in here.
	documents for my case.	22	You'll have to talk to them about who they put down and
23	Q Full and truthful information, you know that's	23	
	• •	24	Why.
24 ·	what's required, right? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	25	MR. NOLAN: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
	Page 393		Page 395
1 (conclusion.	1	BY MR. NOLAN:
2	MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.	2	Q Look at Interrogatory Number 3. We asked you
3	THE WITNESS: Again, I'm I am not an expert	3	to please identify each person other than your attorney
4 i	in interrogatories. I've given information to my attorneys	4	with whom you have communicated regarding the claims set
5 a	and they have filled it out and put it together.	5	forth in your complaint. Do you see that?
6 E	BY MR. NOLAN:	6	A Yes. I'm reading it right now.
7	Q You see here in Interrogatory 2 we basically	7	Q Okay. And you did indicate that you sent a
8 8	ask you to identify each person other than your attorney	8	complaint to the EEOC, yes?
9 1	who has knowledge of the facts of this matter, right?	9	A Yes, I did send a complaint to the EEOC.
10	A Yes, I see everything right there.	10	Q Where do you indicate in your response to
11	Q Do you identify the anyone at the FBI in	11	Interrogatory Number 3 that you spoke to someone at the
12 I	response to this interrogatory?	12	FBI?
13	MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion as to	13	MR. ELSTER: Objection, the document speaks
14 v	what's responsive to this interrogatory and it's also	14	for itself.
	subject to objection.	15	THE WITNESS: And, again, I told my attorneys
16	THE WITNESS: Well, again, I I talked with	16	about my case and my situation and they generated this
	my attorneys about, I gave them information on my case and	17	document. You know, I
	the people involved with it, and they put together my full	18	MR. NOLAN: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
	interrogatories here. I'm not representing myself on this.	19	BY MR. NOLAN:
	BY MR. NOLAN:	20	Q Where in your response to Interrogatory
21	Q That wasn't my question. Do you remember my	21	Number 3 do you indicate that you spoke to someone at the
	question?	22	FBI?
23	A Can you please repeat the question?	23	MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered.
24	Q Did you identify anyone from the FBI in	24	MR. ELSTER: Objection.
47	a Dia you lachary anyone nom the FDI III		•
	response to Interrogatory Number 2?	25	THE WITNESS: And, again, I told my attorneys

13 (Pages 392 to 395)

Page 398 Page 396 about my case and my situation and everything going on and 1 knowledge worked at Barnes-Jewish Hospital? 1 2 they generated the document. I'm not representing myself. 2 A Well, it's a consortium. Barnes-Jewish and 3 BY MR. NOLAN: 3 Wash U are very often the same entity. 4 Q You signed this verification under penalties 4 Q That wasn't my question. 5 as provided by law. You understand that it's perjury to 5 A Raj Dang is an ENT resident. 6 lie in response to an interrogatory like this, yes? Q My question is how many times in your answer 6 MR. ELSTER: Hold on. Objection, legal 7 did you indicate that one of these people worked at 8 conclusion and mischaracterizes the evidence. Real 8 Barnes-Jewish Hospital? 9 briefly, these are signed in March of 2021. He's testified A I would have to read the entire document. 9 10 as to July 2021. 10 This document was generated over a year ago. 11 You can answer subject so that. 11 Q Well, can you point anywhere else in your THE WITNESS: Yeah. I stated that, you know, 12 12 answer to Interrogatory Number 2 where you indicated that 13 I signed this document. And as I said, my attorneys 13 one of these people that you claim has knowledge about the generated this interrogatory document based on the 14 facts of the matter worked at Barnes-Jewish Hospital? 14 information that I gave them. 1.5 15 A Well, as I'm going through this, for example 16 BY MR NOI AN: and I --16 17 Q Let's look back at your response to 17 (Reporter clarification.) Interrogatory Number 2. 18 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm going through this 18 19 A Okay. right now. And, again, many of these employees, Lauren 19 20 Q With regards to people who have knowledge of 20 Gibson, is she employed by Barnes or Wash U? I -the facts of this matter, you identified a number of people 2.1 21 BY MR. NOLAN: 22 indicated by the lettering system from A going all the way 22 Q Did you indicate next to her name that she to four N's on Page 15. Do you see that? 2.3 23 worked at Barnes-Jewish Hospital? 24 A Four N's? MR. ELSTER: Objection, best evidence rule. 24 Q Four N's as in Nancy. Do you see that on 25 25 The document speaks for itself Page 397 Page 399 Page 15? 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. No, I mean, I gave all 1 2 A Yes. I see that right now. 2 the names of -- of people that could come to mind at that Q Okay. So your answer runs from Page 4 to 15, time that might have knowledge that could be relevant to 3 3 where you list individuals with knowledge of the facts of the case to my attorneys and they generated this document. this matter, yes? BY MR. NOLAN: 5 5 6 A The document lists people with facts in the 6 Q That wasn't my question. 7 matter and knowledge of it. And let me just say, there is 7 8 likely hundreds of other people at Barnes and Wash U that 8 Q How many times did you write Barnes-Jewish 9 worked with me that saw what happened to me and saw 9 Hospital in your answer to Interrogatory Number 2? 1.0 different inappropriate things going on there. 1.0 A I didn't write this document. My attorneys Q Do you know how many times you indicated in wrote this document 11 11 12 your answer that one of these individuals worked for BJH? 12 Q Okay. How many times did they write it? 13 A Well, I'm more -- I am more than happy to look 13 A All right. Well, then let's go through the entire document. Let's see, Alex Evers, chair anesthesia, at it right now. Physicians who worked for Wash U. Let's 14 14 see, I believe Nicole Erter worked with Barnes-Jewish. 15 Wash U Saint Louis. 15 THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear you, sir. 16 Q Did -- is the name --16 THE WITNESS: I believe Nicole Erter worked A I don't see that 17 17 with Barnes-Jewish. 18 18 Q Are the words Barnes-Jewish Hospital next to BY MR. NOLAN: 19 19 his name? 20 Q That's on Page 11? 20 A He does not have the Barnes-Jewish Hospital 2.1 A Okay. 21 next to him 22 Q Is that right? 22 Q Please just indicate to me which names have a 23 Α Yes 23 written indication that they work at Barnes-Jewish Q Okay. Do you indicate in your answer to 24 Hospital. 24 Interrogatory 2, that any of these other individuals with 25 25 A So I know Nicole Erter, resource -- human

14 (Pages 396 to 399)

Page 400	Page 402
1 resources director	1 Q Did you say Barnes-Jewish Hospital?
2 (Reporter clarification.)	2 A It doesn't say Barnes-Jewish Hospital. Is
3 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Nicole Erter, human	3 it is it Barnes, Barnes-Jewish, Barnes-Jewish Christian?
4 resources director, Barnes-Jewish Hospital, Saint Louis,	4 There's multiple names for the hospital group. But it's
5 Missouri. There's other people that there's many people	5 pretty apparent that anybody would know that if you're an
6 that I know you might work for Barnes or Wash U and flip	6 ENT resident, residents are employed by Barnes, and
7 back and forth.	7 fellow and I believe it's fellows and attendings that
8 BY MR. NOLAN:	8 switch over to Wash U at some point.
9 Q That wasn't my question.	9 But and and the other thing I'll mention
10 A You want to know how many worked for Barnes,	10 was many people had employment between multiple entities
11 all right.	11 and switched back and forth
Q No. I want to know how many times the words	12 (Reporter clarification.)
Barnes-Jewish Hospital are written in your answers to	13 THE WITNESS: Many people had employment
14 Interrogatory Number 2.	14 between multiple entities and would switch back and
MR. ELSTER: Same objection, best evidence	15 forth between entities.
16 rule.	1 6 (Thereupon, Defendant Exhibit B2 was marked
THE WITNESS: I'm scanning this as quickly as	17 for identification.)
18 I can. I don't suppose you'd want to pull it up on your	18 BY MR. NOLAN:
computer and we can hit Control F and find it very rapidly	19 Q I'm handing you what's been marked Exhibit B2.
20 how many times the word Barnes is in the interrogatory	20 Those are your supplement interrogatory answers, yes, to
21 answer?	21 BJH's interrogatories?
22 All right. I see Nicole Erter is the one.	22 A I believe this is let me see which date
And I see many other people that work at both Barnes, Wash	23 they sent this in. I believe this was sent September 9th,
U, going back and forth and some that are just at Barnes	2 4 2022. This is a supplement interrogatory answer.
that it doesn't have Barnes next to their name.	25 Q Did you review these before they were
Page 401 MR. NOLAN: Move to strike the nonresponsive	Page 403
2 portion of the question.	2 A I believe I saw this document before it was
3 BY MR. NOLAN:	3 produced.
4 Q So the only person that you indicated in	4 Q And did you confirm that the statements set
5 response to Interrogatory 2 that had personal knowledge of	5 forth in those supplemental responses are true and correct,
6 the facts of this matter that you indicated worked at	6 except as to matters therein stated to be on information
7 Barnes-Jewish Hospital was Nicole Erter, fair?	7 and belief and as to such matters you believed they were
8 MR. ELSTER: Objection, mischaracterizes the	8 true?
9 interrogatory question.	9 A Well, I I didn't sign this document. I had
10 THE WITNESS: That that's not accurate at	my attorneys file it. My attorneys filed the document.
all. There's other people that I I believe Sharon Stark	11 Q Right. But you reviewed it, right?
where it says program coordinator, Washington University	12 A I I did a brief review of the edits that
Saint Louis, I I believe she she was probably	13 were made to the document.
employed by Barnes. Lauren Gibson. There's others that's	Q And did you believe that it was all true and
15 probably employed by Barnes.	15 accurate?
16 BY MR. NOLAN:	16 A Well, the edits I looked at were true and
Q Sir, there's only one name that you associate	17 accurate. I I don't recall that I read all 25 pages. I
with Barnes-Jewish Hospital in your answer to	18 just looked at the additional information that was being
19 Interrogatory 2, correct?	19 added to it.
20 A Well, there there are more names here that	20 MR. NOLAN: Okay. On a break I would ask that
21 associate with it. And I'll pull one up for example and	21 you have him sign the verification.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22 MR. ELSTER: Okay. Yeah, we'll supplement.
22 what was written on it if you give me one second.	- , .,
	23 We wanted to get the information to you before the
23 Rajan Paul Dang, M.D., ENT resident, Saint	

15 (Pages 400 to 403)

	Page 404	Page -	406
1	BY MR. NOLAN:	1 A As I said, the document has the names of	ļ
2	Q All right. So B2 is your supplemental answers	2 everybody that that my attorneys put in it. That's	ļ
3	to BJH's interrogatories, the same interrogatories we	3 just that's the answer to the question.	ļ
4	looked at in B1, yes?	4 MR. NOLAN: Let the record reflect the witnes	ss
5	A B2 is an updated version of the interrogatory	5 is refusing to answer my question and we'll be moving	for
6	answers.	6 sanctions.	ļ
7	Q So you recently had the opportunity to go	7 THE WITNESS: Do you mind if we take a	ļ
8	through your interrogatory answers and supplement and add	8 bathroom break for a few minutes?	ļ
9	to them, yes?	9 MR. NOLAN: That would be fine. Just for the	e
10	A Well, my attorneys did, and they they added	10 record, would you please have him sign that verification	on to
11	supplemental information. I believe in specific I	11 B2 while we're on the break.	ļ
12	believe they specifically tagged paragraphs says	12 MR. ELSTER: That's fine with me.	ļ
13	supplemental answer and added and added new information.	13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at	ļ
14	For example, talking about my transcripts	14 approximately 10:10 a.m.	ļ
15	being hidden, the ACGME trying to get my transcripts and	15 (Thereupon, a recess was taken, after which	ļ
16	you and your counsel, Ramatowski and I bet Joe	16 the following proceedings were had:)	ļ
17	(Reporter clarification.)	17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on recor	rd at
18	THE WITNESS: And I believe my counsel put in	18 approximately 10:24 a.m.	ļ
19	supplemental answers, and one example of that was the	19 BY MR. NOLAN:	ļ
20	information learned from the ACGME about my transcripts	20 Q Sir, we just took a brief break. While you	ļ
21	being hidden and it going up all the way to Attorneys	21 were on break, did you sign the verification page on	I
22	Ramatowski and Joseph Lasky discussing how to hide my	22 Exhibit B2?	ļ
23		23 A Yes, sir, I did. I signed the verification	ļ
23	transcripts. BY MR. NOLAN:	24 page on the supplemental interrogatory B2, Page 24.	ļ
25		25 Q Thank you.	ļ
23	Q Did you supplement your interrogatory answer	, , ,	
	Page 405	Page -	407
1	to Number 2 to include anyone from the FBI?	1 Let me shift gears just a little bit. I	
2	MR. ELSTER: Objection, best evidence rule.	2 noticed that there's two armed police officers sitting	ıa
3	The document speaks for itself.	3 outside the conference room in which the deposition	•
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, do the document is the	4 taking place. Do you have an understanding as to	
5	document. And I, you know, I gave my attorneys information	5 they're here?	- 1
6	about the situation and they drafted the document as as	6 A Yes. My legal team wanted to have security	.V
7	necessary.	7 for the deposition.	,
8	BY MR. NOLAN:	8 Q Do you feel it's necessary to have two arm	ied
9	Q Well, thank you for telling me that the	9 police officers here at your deposition?	ļ
10	document is the document. My question was, did you include	10 MR. ELSTER: Objection, relevance discover	erv
11	in your answer to Interrogatory Number 2 the identity of	11 portion.	,
12	anyone from the FBI.	12 THE WITNESS: You know, I you know, I	took
13	MR. ELSTER: Same objection.	the advice of my legal team to have, you know, secu	
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, you said the document	14 present at the deposition.	,
15	the information in the document is in the document.	15 BY MR. NOLAN:	ļ
16	BY MR. NOLAN:	16 Q Okay. That wasn't my question.	ļ
17	Q Thank you. It's a yes or no question. Did	17 Do you feel it's necessary to have two arme	∍d
18	you include the identify of anyone from the FBI in your	18 police officers sitting outside this conference room	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19 MR. ELSTER: Same objection.	ļ
19	supplemental answer to Interrogatory Number 2?		
	supplemental answer to Interrogatory Number 2? A And I just said the document speaks for	20 THE WITNESS: I I so I guess I just	
19 20	A And I just said the document speaks for	9 ,	o I
19 20 21	A And I just said the document speaks for itself. The document is the document.	21 answered. My attorneys thought it was necessary, s	
19 20 21 22	A And I just said the document speaks for itself. The document is the document. Q Sir, my question is quite simple, and I	21 answered. My attorneys thought it was necessary, s 22 agreed with them. I would think it's necessary since	
19 20 21 22 23	A And I just said the document speaks for itself. The document is the document. Q Sir, my question is quite simple, and I believe it fairly calls for a yes or no answer. Did you	answered. My attorneys thought it was necessary, s agreed with them. I would think it's necessary since think it's necessary.	
19 20 21 22	A And I just said the document speaks for itself. The document is the document. Q Sir, my question is quite simple, and I	21 answered. My attorneys thought it was necessary, s 22 agreed with them. I would think it's necessary since	

16 (Pages 404 to 407)

	Page 408		Page 410
1	necessary?	1	your client's administration, very scared of Attorney
2	MR. MAREK: Objection, privileged.	2	Ramatowski and what could happen to my career. But right
3	MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it's	3	now, I'm not intimidated or scared of the attorneys and
4	privileged communications.	4	Barnes administration or Wash U administration.
5	MR. NOLAN: You guys have already waived it.	5	BY MR. NOLAN:
6	MR. MAREK: No, that's incorrect, no.	6	Q Yesterday Mr. Sullivan asked you about
7	MR. ELSTER: We haven't.	7	photographs that you took using your phone of
8	MR. MAREK: Instruct the witness not to answer	8	Dr. Benzinger's email account. Do you recall those?
9	about communications between him and his attorneys on any	9	A Yes, I recall discussing with him abut that.
10	subject.	10	Q Do you recall the date that you took those
11	BY MR. NOLAN:	11	photos?
12	Q Are you going to follow your attorneys' advice	12	A On my phone, either it was it was in
13	and refuse to answer my question?	13	December of 2017. I I believe it was the 17th. Or was
14	A Yes, sir. I would just say, as I said again,	14	it the 13th? I apologize.
15	my attorneys felt it was necessary to have police officers	15	Q Do you still have the original photographs?
16	here, so I agreed with them as having it. The reasons they	16	A I do not have the I do not have any of
17	decided to have them here were were the reasons they	17	those documents.
18	decided.	18	Q What happened to the original photographs?
19	Q Well, do on your own feel it's necessary to	19	A Well, I gave I gave everything to my
20	have armed police officers here?	20	attorney, and then they then they said that since they
21	MR. RUTTER: Objection, asked and answered.	21	had possession of them, they then they they then
22	THE WITNESS: Well, if my if my attorneys	22	removed them from the device they were captured with.
23	feel something is necessary, then on my own I agree with my	23	Q So your attorneys told you to delete the
24		24	
25	attorneys, and I I think they're very talented attorneys and do things for reasons.	25	original photographs? MR. ELSTER: Objection, argumentative, form.
23	and do things for reasons.	23	With EEGTET. Objection, digumentative, form.
	Page 409		Page 411
1	BY MR. NOLAN:	1	MR. MAREK: Objection, privileged.
2	Q Who's paying for them to be here?	2	MR. ELSTER: Privileged information.
3	A I believe I believe the law firm is paying	3	MR. MAREK: Instruct the witness not to
4	for them to be here. My attorneys are paying for them to	4	answer.
5	be here.	5	BY MR. NOLAN:
6	Q Is part of your agreement with the law firm	6	Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice
7	that you will reimburse them for any expenses or costs	7	and refuse to answer my question?
8	related to the lawsuit?	8	A Yes, sir.
9	A I would have to look at the retainer and the	9	Q So you intentionally deleted the original
10	details on it. My apologies, I have not looked at that,	10	photographs that you took of Dr. Benzinger's emails?
11	sincerely I have not looked at the retainer in a long time,	11	MR. ELSTER: Objection, foundation,
12	a number of months at the very least. So I'm I'm happy	12	argumentative.
13	to take a look and refresh on that. You know, again	13	THE WITNESS: I gave my attorneys my devices
14	Q Did you feel physically threatened yesterday	14	and they they removed they as I said, I gave my
15	during the deposition?	15	attorney all the information I had, all devices. They took
16	MR. ELSTER: Objection, discovery relevance.	16	them to compile them for the lawsuit, and they they
17	THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, I didn't I	17	removed them from my devices.
18	didn't feel threatened during this deposition. Nobody's	18	BY MR. NOLAN:
19	yelled at me. Nobody's threatened me.	19	Q You're saying that your attorneys deleted the
20	BY MR. NOLAN:	20	original photographs that you took of Dr. Benzinger's
21	Q Is there anyone in the room that you feel	21	emails from your device?
22	intimidated by?	22	MR. ELSTER: Objection, argumentative,
23	MR. ELSTER: Same objection.	23	foundation.
24	THE WITNESS: Well, I can say when I was	24	THE WITNESS: Well, again, you know, we
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I .	
25	when I was a resident, I certainly was very, very scared of	25	there's a whole process of putting together all the 60,000

17 (Pages 408 to 411)

	Page 412	Page 414
1	pages of discovery. I'd have to think on exactly how	1 A I'm going to follow their advice and not
2	the steps of that working with my attorneys. But, again, I	2 answer on how I processed discovery information with them.
3	gave you know, I gave them everything I had and I didn't	3 Q You understand what spoliation is, correct?
4	retain anything that they didn't want me to retain.	4 MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.
5	BY MR. NOLAN:	5 THE WITNESS: What is that?
6	Q Who deleted the original photographs from your	6 BY MR. NOLAN:
7	phone?	7 Q You don't know what spoliation is?
8	MR. ELSTER: Same objection, vague as to	8 MR. ELSTER: Same objection.
9	original photograph on a phone.	9 THE WITNESS: Please give me your definition
10	THE WITNESS: So, you know, again, just noting	10 of it.
11	that, you know, I took images on my phone, and when we	11 BY MR. NOLAN:
12	were when we were transferring all the documents, they	12 Q I'm asking you, do you know what spoliation
13	were removed. I don't remember who explicitly I don't	13 is.
14	remember the exact details of the transfer. We were	14 MR. ELSTER: Same objection.
15	moving you know, we were I was giving all my	15 THE WITNESS: I'm I'm asking your
16	discovery information to my attorneys.	16 definition of it.
17	BY MR. NOLAN:	17 BY MR. NOLAN:
18	Q Sir, you understand you're under oath, right?	18 Q It's a yes or no question. Do you know what
19	A Yes, I do.	19 it is or not?
20	Q Okay. Earlier you just told me that your	20 MR. ELSTER: Same objection.
21	attorneys told you to delete them, right?	21 THE WITNESS: You know, again, I'm asking
22	MR. MAREK: Objection.	22 your your definition. I my best understanding is
23	MR. ELSTER: Objection, yeah.	23 maintaining documents during a lawsuit.
24	Mischaracterizes his testimony and privileged information.	24 BY MR. NOLAN:
25	, , ,	Q Okay. So you understand spoliation has
		Page 415
1	BY MR. NOLAN:	1 something to do with intentionally destroying evidence,
2	Q Right.	2 yes?
3	A No, I said my attorneys it's exactly what I	3 MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4	said before, my attorneys did not want me to retain those,	4 THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal
4 5	said before, my attorneys did not want me to retain those, so I did not retain those.	, , ,
		4 THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal
5	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes?	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that.
5 6	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN:
5 6 7 8	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege.	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted.
5 6 7 8 9	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again.
5 6 7 8 9	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer.	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again.
5 6 7 8 9 10	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read
5 6 7 8 9	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary.	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk.	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you make an	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you make an objection?	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. A And, again, I really don't believe anything
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you make an objection? MR. ELSTER: I did. Objected as to privileged	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. A And, again, I really don't believe anything was destroyed or taken.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you make an objection? MR. ELSTER: I did. Objected as to privileged information and mischaracterizes his prior testimony.	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. A And, again, I really don't believe anything was destroyed or taken. Q All right. So on the date you took those
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you make an objection? MR. ELSTER: I did. Objected as to privileged information and mischaracterizes his prior testimony. Instruct you not to answer about attorney/client	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. A And, again, I really don't believe anything was destroyed or taken. Q All right. So on the date you took those pictures, Dr. Benzinger and you were in some type of room
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you make an objection? MR. ELSTER: I did. Objected as to privileged information and mischaracterizes his prior testimony. Instruct you not to answer about attorney/client communications.	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. A And, again, I really don't believe anything was destroyed or taken. Q All right. So on the date you took those pictures, Dr. Benzinger and you were in some type of room at the hospital, yes?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you make an objection? MR. ELSTER: I did. Objected as to privileged information and mischaracterizes his prior testimony. Instruct you not to answer about attorney/client communications. BY MR. NOLAN:	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. A And, again, I really don't believe anything was destroyed or taken. Q All right. So on the date you took those pictures, Dr. Benzinger and you were in some type of room at the hospital, yes? A We were in an operating room.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so I did not retain those. Q So they told you to delete them, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, attorney/client privilege. MR. MAREK: Objection, calls for privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer. MR. SULLIVAN: We've got two talking at the same time again. And we've already noted our objection that this isn't necessary. MR. MAREK: Well, if you don't invade the privilege, then nobody will need to talk. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you make an objection? MR. ELSTER: I did. Objected as to privileged information and mischaracterizes his prior testimony. Instruct you not to answer about attorney/client communications.	THE WITNESS: You're asking me a legal conclusion. My attorneys would have to answer on that. BY MR. NOLAN: Q He didn't instruct you not to answer. The objection is noted. A Can you please state the question again. MR. NOLAN: Can you read that back, please. (Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that's your definition of it, or that's the definition of it. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. A And, again, I really don't believe anything was destroyed or taken. Q All right. So on the date you took those pictures, Dr. Benzinger and you were in some type of room at the hospital, yes?

18 (Pages 412 to 415)

	Page 416	Page 418
1	Q Okay. You say it was your computer. You	1 Q Did you ever give your password, your email
2	didn't own the computer, did you?	2 password out to anyone else?
3	A I did not own the computer, but that's what I	3 A I did not give my email password out to to
4	was assigned to for the day. That was my workstation.	4 anyone else but myself.
5	Q Well, Dr. Benzinger was working on that	5 Q Did Dr. Benzinger ever give you his email
6	computer, wasn't he?	6 password?
7	A Dr. Benzinger used it for few minutes	7 A Dr. Benzinger never gave me his email
8	throughout the day.	8 password, nor did I take his email password at any time.
9	Q Okay. And for you to open up, let's say your	9 Q Did Dr. Benzinger ever say to you, you can
10	email account, you have to use a user name and password,	10 access my email account?
11	yes?	11 A Dr. Benzinger you're asking if he ever said
12	A I have to log-in with a user name and password	12 that exact term, you can access my email account?
13	to get into my email and also to unlock the workstation,	13 Q Yes.
14	which was the reason I said it was my workstation is	14 A I was never told those exact words by Richard
15	because I logged in with a user name and password and	15 Benzinger.
16	unlocked it.	16 Q Did Dr. Benzinger ever verbally authorize you
17	Q And you understand that generally speaking	17 to access his email account?
18	most people that want to log into their work email system	18 MR. RUTTER: Objection, asked and answered.
19	there, their work email account, have to enter a password,	19 THE WITNESS: As I said, Dr. Benzinger never
20	yes?	20 told me verbally to access his email account or as you
21	MR. ELSTER: Objection, speculation.	21 stated.
22	THE WITNESS: Again, I can only state what	22 BY MR. NOLAN:
23	I what I do, but when I was there I would enter a	23 Q Did Dr. Benzinger ever in writing give you
2.4	password to enter my email account.	24 authorization to access his email account?
25		25 A He did not give me authorization in writing to
	Page 417	Daga 440
1	•	Page 419
1 2	BY MR. NOLAN:	1 access my email account.
2	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is	1 access my email account. 2 (Reporter clarification.)
2	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes?	1 access my email account. 2 (Reporter clarification.) 3 THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization
2 3 4	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.	1 access my email account. 2 (Reporter clarification.) 3 THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization 4 in writing to access my email account or his email
2 3 4 5	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you	1 access my email account. 2 (Reporter clarification.) 3 THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN:
2 3 4 5	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you	1 access my email account. 2 (Reporter clarification.) 3 THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization 4 in writing to access my email account or his email 5 account. 6 BY MR. NOLAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN:	1 access my email account. 2 (Reporter clarification.) 3 THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization 4 in writing to access my email account or his email 5 account. 6 BY MR. NOLAN: 7 Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private.	1 access my email account. 2 (Reporter clarification.) 3 THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization 4 in writing to access my email account or his email 5 account. 6 BY MR. NOLAN: 7 Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever 8 verbally say to you that you had authorization to access
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you?	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they	1 access my email account. 2 (Reporter clarification.) 3 THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization 4 in writing to access my email account or his email 5 account. 6 BY MR. NOLAN: 7 Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever 8 verbally say to you that you had authorization to access 9 Dr. Benzinger's email account? 10 A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally 11 that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's 12 email account. 13 Q How about in writing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing or protocols that were in each other's email boxes.	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever say that you had,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing or protocols that were in each other's email boxes. Q Is it your understanding that to access your	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever say that you had, verbally say that you had authorization to access
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing or protocols that were in each other's email boxes. Q Is it your understanding that to access your email account they would have to enter your password?	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever say that you had, verbally say that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing or protocols that were in each other's email boxes. Q Is it your understanding that to access your email account they wouldn't have to if I would if I	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever say that you had, verbally say that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I'm sorry, can you say it one more time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing or protocols that were in each other's email boxes. Q Is it your understanding that to access your email account they would have to enter your password? A Well, they wouldn't have to if I would if I had it open on the computer that you would share.	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever say that you had, verbally say that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I'm sorry, can you say it one more time. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever give you verbal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing or protocols that were in each other's email boxes. Q Is it your understanding that to access your email account they would have to enter your password? A Well, they wouldn't have to if I would if I had it open on the computer that you would share. Q Okay. So they could only access your emails	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever say that you had, verbally say that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I'm sorry, can you say it one more time. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever give you verbal authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing or protocols that were in each other's email boxes. Q Is it your understanding that to access your email account they would have to enter your password? A Well, they wouldn't have to if I would if I had it open on the computer that you would share. Q Okay. So they could only access your emails if you had entered your password, fair?	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever say that you had, verbally say that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I'm sorry, can you say it one more time. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever give you verbal authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I didn't receive verbal authorization from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. NOLAN: Q And you understood that because your email is considered private and confidential, yes? MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: You know, again, that's, you know, that's a legal conclusion on that. You're you're asking me is it is the email private. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, you didn't understand that your email was open to the public, did you? A Well, my email was certainly open to other Barnes individuals. People would Benzinger sent emails to my account. And when people were working together, they would regularly look up patients for the day that might have been emailed out or just general information on dosing or protocols that were in each other's email boxes. Q Is it your understanding that to access your email account they would have to enter your password? A Well, they wouldn't have to if I would if I had it open on the computer that you would share. Q Okay. So they could only access your emails if you had entered your password, fair? A Well, if I I would have to enter my	access my email account. (Reporter clarification.) THE WITNESS: He did not give me authorization in writing to access my email account or his email account. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Did anyone at Barnes-Jewish Hospital ever verbally say to you that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I don't recall anybody telling me verbally that I had authorization to access Richard Benzinger's email account. Q How about in writing? A I don't have any documents in writing that I can saying that. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever say that you had, verbally say that you had authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I'm sorry, can you say it one more time. Q Did anyone from Wash U ever give you verbal authorization to access Dr. Benzinger's email account? A I didn't receive verbal authorization from anybody at Wash U, just like he didn't receive verbal

19 (Pages 416 to 419)

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

Page 420

A I didn't have written authorization from Wash
U, nor did he have written authorization to access my email
account, which he has done.

Q Now, you didn't begin snapping those pictures of Dr. Benzinger's emails until after he left the room, correct?

A That is correct. He -- I came back in from my break that he gave me and he immediately left the room.

Q And that was because you knew that Dr. Benzinger would not want you to look at his emails, ves?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, argumentative.
THE WITNESS: He just immediately left the

room. That's why -- he immediately left the room. He wasn't there.

BY MR NOI AN:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q You knew he would not want you to access his emails, yes?

MR. ELSTER: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: In -- in all sincerity, I didn't know that at that time for one reason, and I'll give a, you know the answer on that was he left emails about me up that were talking about front running and falsifying evaluations that were just sitting there.

I took that as him -- I first thought when I

Page 422

boxes. He had accessed mine and I had accessed his.

And I -- I was very concerned that day because

I saw all the front running they were denying that they had ever contacted a rotation in advance about me or anybody.

5 It was just all right -- right there in front of me when I

came in. I -- I was very concerned and, you know, I told
 him that I had seen this.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Yeah. So it wasn't all right there in front of you, you had to click some buttons to go through all those emails, didn't you?

A Well, when I -- the way Outlook is set up is if there's emails -- if there's emails to a specific term that someone has open, there's -- they're in the left side of the pane, or the left side of the window box where you can see emails where normally it will have like an email and a little blurb about something.

And then on the right side of the pane for Outlook, like we probably all use, it has the details of the email. So I saw the first email that was open about it, and I could also click on the other emails to see it on.

Q And you did click on them, right?

A Yes, I did click on other emails that were there.

Page 421

saw that was he was trying to threaten me or warn me to resign or leave. And that was literally showing all the front running and false evals that had been going on for the past year, or over a year.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Well, when Dr. Benzinger came back in the room, did you tell him that you had accessed his emails?

MR. RUTTER: Objection to the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: So when -- when we're -- at the end of the day, Richard Benzinger, when he came back in the room, we were talking about the day and working together, and he said he had been in my email box.

(Reporter clarification.)

THE WITNESS: He said that he had been in my email box. And I told him that I had also seen emails from his box. And I -- you know, so he knew that I had been in his email box.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q You told Dr. Benzinger the day you took the photographs of his email that you had been in his emails and saw the emails about you?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, I told him that he had -- that he had -- we had been in each other email

Page 423

Q Right. So you opened up emails which you could not originally view when you first saw the computer screen, right?

A Well, I could partially view some of those emails. I -- I left-clicked on them to -- to show additional text. But there were emails about me on the screen when I came in.

There was also an email that popped up from Sharon Stark where when Outlook does notifications, you've got a message, that was literally talking about me in what rotation -- I -- I haven't seen this in a long time, but I believe there was an email where it was notifying about it's time to start talking about where Jeff and Gary Hammen are going.

Q So when you allegedly spoke to Dr. Benzinger the day you took the pictures letting him know that you had accessed his email account, did you talk to him about the substance of any of the emails that you reviewed?

19 A I talked very briefly that I saw what they
20 were doing and I saw that -- you know, I know they're front
21 running and I've seen this, and I talked very briefly on
22 it. It was not a long conversation. I did talk with him
23 though.

Q What did Dr. Benzinger say when you told him that you had accessed his email and you talked to him about

20 (Pages 420 to 423)

2.1

2.1

Page 424

the substance of those emails that had to do with you?

A He didn't really say much at that point, in that conversation, to be quite honest.

1.5

2.1

Q Just basically kind of said oh, okay, great, have a nice day?

A Well, I don't recall him saying okay, have a nice day like that. But, you know, like I said, we both were in each other's email boxes and I've seen what -- I've seen what's going on with the front running, and that was pretty much it.

Q That computer that you accessed Dr. Benzinger's emails on, does it, after a certain amount of time when there's no activity, does it shut itself off or log itself out?

A I don't recall the log-off times on that computer. I'd have to speculate. I know there were computers at Barnes that would stay on, and there were computers at Barnes that would -- that would shut off. But I don't recall exactly the times for either of those.

Q Well, certainly if it did have an auto log-off feature, as long as you were clicking the buttons, that would have prevented it from shutting off, right?

MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Well, what I was going to say is that the computer would not have shut off that day for one

Page 426

THE WITNESS: Where somebody was giving you a break or covering and watching for you and then you'd come back and, you know, resume your work on your -- on the station you were using that day.

BY MR NOLAN:

Q Were any of the emails that you copied from Dr. Benzinger's account addressed to you or were you copied on them?

A I don't -- I -- I don't recall every email that I saw that was there. I -- many of them, if not most, were not addressed to me. The emails that were up, what I saw, it seemed they were all talking about me and Gary Hammen and grouping me and Gary Hammen together.

Q Okay. So as you sit here today, you're not aware of any of the emails that you took photos of that you were actually copied or included on, yes?

A I'm not aware of that today.

Q Okay. Did you review any of the emails while you were standing there at the workstation?

A I saw some -- I saw some of the emails. I didn't -- you know, I don't recall how in depth I -- I looked at them, but I saw the emails and that they were about me and that it was proof of all the front running and activities that were occurring.

I'd -- I'd be very happy to go through them

Page 425

simple reason, that computer was the computer for the anesthesia workstation. It was bolted on to the anesthesia machine, the monitor, so it -- it would not have shut off because there was an active case going on.

We were charting, using MetaVision, the EMR there before Epic. So that would have been on there for charting purposes, as well as, you know, anything else that was up there and open. It would have stayed there.

And, additionally, when we -- when we do -- when we log into those things, you -- you -- you would -- I would log into the work station and we'd normally leave our workstations open because you don't want to shut down a workstation and then -- and then reopen it up under another user because it's -- because you -- you want to make sure that your data and everything is there and it's not taking a long time to load and to reload or anything. So that's just how it is.

You -- so I would log in in the morning when we were doing pre-op stuff and I'd open up MetaVision, I'd view things there, and normally I'd leave it open. If I -- if I was given a break, very often, you know, you would leave it open, go take a quick break while somebody -- somebody was -- was watching for you, and then you'd come back to your station --

(Reporter clarification.)

Page 427

with you if you wanted to show them. I -- I haven't seen
 those photos in nearly a year, or more than year probably.
 So, again, I haven't looked at this stuff and you haven't
 given them in discovery. So I, you know, I haven't looked
 at this stuff.

Q Why were you in the OR at that point in time?

A I was in the operating room because we were doing -- we were doing a case at that point in time.

Q So was there an operation that was ongoing while you were looking at email?

A I believe there likely was at that point since I had been given a bathroom or other break for it.

Q Was the patient under anesthesia?

A Yes, the patient was on, in general, aside from Brian Weber, we like to sedate our patients and put them under anesthesia as opposed to paralyze them and operate on them like one of my classmates Weber did once he graduated.

Q Were you acting as anesthesiologist during that operation?

A I was acting as a resident anesthesiologist during that operation.

(Reporter clarification.)

THE WITNESS: I was -- I was the residentanesthesiologist during that operation.

21 (Pages 424 to 427)

Page 428 Page 430 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 1 A It was, you know -- I don't recall if I -- if 2 BY THE WITNESS: 2 I clicked on it through that or if it popped into the box 3 Q So while the patient was on the operating through the search that was done. But, you know, I clicked 4 table being cut on, under anesthesia, you were looking at on the emails that were about me that -- that were there 5 emails about vourself? 5 that day. Q Including Sharon Stark's? 6 MR. ELSTER: Objection, argumentative, and 6 7 A I -- I -- I'd have to see if -- if I 7 discovery relevance 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I looked at those email 8 took -- if I took a picture of it. Again, I don't recall 9 while there was an operation ongoing. And what's not being 9 every small interaction right now. I'm happy to think on mentioned is that in the course of providing anesthesia for 1.0 10 11 cases, there's a flurry of activity at the very beginning 11 Q So you stood there and snapped 73 pictures of when you stayed and intubate. There's a flurry of activity 12 Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? 12 13 at the end. And you're regularly keeping things stable, 13 A I don't have the exact number because I but there's lots of downtime. don't -- we haven't gotten that from you in discovery, but 14 14 And you can talk with your clients about this, 15 I believe it was 73 some odd emails, which the picture --1.5 16 but they regularly will do studying, read journal articles, 16 73 some odd photos I took, which corresponded to 30 17 write emails, respond to emails while cases are ongoing, 17 something emails in a handful of, I think, email chains. 18 because there is lots of downtime in many cases. 18 Q And you understood that those emails included 19 Now, there's some cases where you're required 19 information not just about you but about other people as 20 to focus on a patient. But in this case there was 20 well, correct? A Those emails were talking about Jeff Weisman 2.1 substantial downtime, otherwise I, you know, I would always 2.1 22 dedicate my time to the patient. 22 and Gary Hammen, the other resident who was bullied and 23 BY MR. NOLAN: 23 harassed with me and the other resident who was bullied so 24 Q So your answer is yes? 24 badly that he eventually committed suicide not being able A Yes. As I said, there was a patient that was 25 to get back into the workforce as a boarded doctor. 25 Page 429 Page 431 having a procedure done. If you pulled my case logs, I'm 1 MR. NOLAN: Move to strike the nonresponsive 2 happy to go through what was being done. And I think that 2 portion of the answer. would provide some insight as far as was anything actively THE WITNESS: Unless he and Ramatowski signed 3 3 going on or were you just, you know, waiting for the a settlement agreement -surgeons to finish up and do their thing. THE COURT REPORTER: I did not get the rest of 5 5 6 Q The reason that you took the photographs of 6 what you said at all. 7 the emails which you did was because you believed that they 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. And I said -- what do you 8 had something to do with you, correct? 8 have last? THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry? 9 A I took those photos for a few reasons, one, 9 1.0 they were talking about me, and, two, they were talking 1.0 THE WITNESS: Where were you at again? about improper activities at the hospital that I was THE COURT REPORTER: He committed suicide. 11 11 investigating 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, he committed -- he 12 13 Q You would only know that if you read through 13 committed suicide from bullying and harassment. And I 14 them, correct? 14 believe Gary Hammen signed a settlement agreement with A Yes, I would have to see context of the 15 Christine Ramatowski where he was emailing and very upset 15 emails. And there was one that was open that I saw that 16 that she would not release his transcripts, program 16 was talking about me, as well as there -- there were some 17 17 director letters and other things unless he signed a 18 that I didn't have to read through, because, for example, 18 settlement agreement. And I've seen those documents. BY MR. NOLAN: 19 Outlook got a notification while I was at the station that 19 20 I believe -- I, again, haven't looked at this in a long 20 Q Move to strike the nonresponsive portion of 2.1 period of time, Sharon Stark was emailing about tracking me 21 the answer. 22 and doing the front running. And as you know with Outlook 22 Sir, I'm here to ask you questions about your 23 there's blurbs of information that come out. 23 personal knowledge. 2.4 Q And did you click on that email from Sharon 24 A Yes, it is.

22 (Pages 428 to 431)

Fax: 314.644.1334

Q I'm not here to listen to you give some speech

2.5

25

Stark?

	Page 432		Page 434
1	about something else. Do you understand?	1	A All right. Please let's move forward then.
2	MR. ELSTER: Objection, asked and answered.	2	Q Will you do that?
3	MR. MAREK: Objection.	3	A Yep. Please let's move forward.
4	MR. NOLAN: I object to the fact that you are	4	Q Thank you.
5	wasting all of our time. Do you understand that?	5	All right. So how long did it take you to
6	MR. ELSTER: Objection, asked and answered,	6	read through all these emails and take these 73 pictures of
7	badgering the witness.	7	them?
8	BY MR. NOLAN:	8	A You know, I don't recall the exact amount of
9	Q Do you understand that?	9	time, but it didn't take that long. That's probably why
10	A I understand that we need to move forward with	10	there were duplicate images, because I, you know, just very
11	the deposition and let's move forward. You asked me if	11	quickly saw what they are and took images of them. You
12	there were other people on there, yes. And I provided	12	know, again, I don't recall the exact amount of time
13	context on why there were other people on there. I don't	13	that that it took to do that.
14	know why	14	Q You also realize from reading through the
15	Q All I asked you was are other people on there.	15	emails and taking pictures of them that there was
16	It was a yes or no question.	16	information about patients in some of those emails,
17	MR. RUTTER: He's answered your question.	17	correct?
18	Move on, please.	18	A I don't recall if there was patient
19	THE WITNESS: I believe it was Gary Hammen,	19	information in those emails. I would would you be
20	and that was the other person that they were talking about,	20	able to let me look at them to refresh my memory? I know
21	Jeff Weisman and Gary Hammen, the two people they	21	you guys have them.
22	continually were harassing and bullying.	22	Q We will. We will.
23	BY MR. NOLAN:	23	A Okay.
24	Q You understand when you took the oath at the	24	Q Did you tell anyone that day other than
25	beginning of this deposition to give full and complete and	25	allegedly telling Dr. Benzinger well, strike that.
	Page 433		Page 435
1	truthful answers, they were supposed to be answers to my	1	Did you tell Dr. Benzinger that you took
2	questions, right?	2	photographs of his emails?
3	MR. ELSTER: Objection, asked and answered,	3	A I don't believe I told him that I took
4	badgering the witness.	4	photographs at that point.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, I know. I understand.	5	Q Why did you take the photographs?
6	BY MR. NOLAN:	6	A I took the photographs because this was
7	Q You understand I don't want to hear what you	7	for for multiple reasons. But they were denying they
8	had for breakfast this morning or what your favorite	8	were lying to me that they were doing the front running and
9	baseball team is. Do you understand that?	9	contacting people about me and Gary Hammen. I had asked
J	MR. ELSTER: Same objections.	10	
10	•		them about it multiple times, and I was told we would never
-	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked	11	them about it multiple times, and I was told we would never do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about
10	•		•
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked	11	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about
10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you	11 12	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it.
10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary	11 12 13	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for
10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen.	11 12 13 14	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians
10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen. And you're and you intentionally trying to	11 12 13 14 15	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians that were saying we're not going to give you bad
10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen. And you're and you intentionally trying to keep it vague to say other people as opposed to Gary	11 12 13 14 15	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians that were saying we're not going to give you bad evaluations, we're not going to try to get rid of you,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen. And you're and you intentionally trying to keep it vague to say other people as opposed to Gary Hammen, the other resident that was bullied with me, that	11 12 13 14 15 16	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians that were saying we're not going to give you bad evaluations, we're not going to try to get rid of you, we're getting emails from Richard Benzinger and other
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen. And you're and you intentionally trying to keep it vague to say other people as opposed to Gary Hammen, the other resident that was bullied with me, that was grouped with me all the time, who eventually killed	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians that were saying we're not going to give you bad evaluations, we're not going to try to get rid of you, we're getting emails from Richard Benzinger and other people about you, but we'll just, you know, we're going to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen. And you're and you intentionally trying to keep it vague to say other people as opposed to Gary Hammen, the other resident that was bullied with me, that was grouped with me all the time, who eventually killed himself from the bullying.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians that were saying we're not going to give you bad evaluations, we're not going to try to get rid of you, we're getting emails from Richard Benzinger and other people about you, but we'll just, you know, we're going to give you a fair shake. So I knew these existed.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen. And you're and you intentionally trying to keep it vague to say other people as opposed to Gary Hammen, the other resident that was bullied with me, that was grouped with me all the time, who eventually killed himself from the bullying. BY MR. NOLAN:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians that were saying we're not going to give you bad evaluations, we're not going to try to get rid of you, we're getting emails from Richard Benzinger and other people about you, but we'll just, you know, we're going to give you a fair shake. So I knew these existed. So I took images to make sure that I had
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen. And you're and you intentionally trying to keep it vague to say other people as opposed to Gary Hammen, the other resident that was bullied with me, that was grouped with me all the time, who eventually killed himself from the bullying. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Would you please listen carefully to my	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians that were saying we're not going to give you bad evaluations, we're not going to try to get rid of you, we're getting emails from Richard Benzinger and other people about you, but we'll just, you know, we're going to give you a fair shake. So I knew these existed. So I took images to make sure that I had copies of what was going on with the abuse and the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I've not talked about my baseball team or breakfast. I'm talking you asked me who else was on the emails, and I said Gary Hammen. And you're and you intentionally trying to keep it vague to say other people as opposed to Gary Hammen, the other resident that was bullied with me, that was grouped with me all the time, who eventually killed himself from the bullying. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Would you please listen carefully to my questions	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do anything like that, nobody's contacting people about you. And this was the evidence of it. I also had been told this was going on by, for example, Laura Cavallone, Dr. Kettner, and other physicians that were saying we're not going to give you bad evaluations, we're not going to try to get rid of you, we're getting emails from Richard Benzinger and other people about you, but we'll just, you know, we're going to give you a fair shake. So I knew these existed. So I took images to make sure that I had copies of what was going on with the abuse and the harassment. And I was also concerned, as I stated earlier,

23 (Pages 432 to 435)

	Page 436		Page 438
1	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	1	Q Okay. To make some money, right?
2	conclusion.	2	MR. RUTTER: Objection, argumentative.
3	THE WITNESS: So as I said, I hired a False	3	THE WITNESS: No. To to prevent improper
4	Claims Acts attorney and was looking for information per	4	activities going on.
5	their per discussions I had with them.	5	BY MR. NOLAN:
6	Based on those discussions I felt that there	6	Q Okay. Did you ever tell anyone at
7	was information in there, in those emails, that related	7	Barnes-Jewish Hospital or Wash U that you had taken
8	to to that situation.	8	photographs of Dr. Benzinger's emails?
9	BY MR. NOLAN:	9	A I don't believe I did. I believe I just told
10	Q Okay. What information?	10	my attorneys.
11	A Just in the information about myself and Gary	11	Q Why didn't you tell anyone at Barnes-Jewish
12	and what was going on with us.	12	Hospital or Wash U?
13	Q And how did you believe that related to False	13	A Because my attorneys had told me to keep
14	Claims Act?	14	confidential any activities related to my investigation for
15	MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.	15	a lawsuit.
16	THE WITNESS: Because I talked to my attorneys	16	Q Have you or anyone on your behalf reported any
17	about what what what would be, you know, what would	17	claims of alleged False Claims Act violations to any
18	be related to False Claims Act. And I'm not I'm not an	18	governmental agency?
19	expert in the False Claims Act. I've hired attorneys that	19	A I have not made a report to a governmental
20	are experts in it, and they told me to they gave me	20	agency about the False Claims Act.
21	advice and those emails fit what that advice was.	21	Q Have you talked to anyone about it other than
22	BY MR. NOLAN:	22	your attorneys?
23	Q So you were there looking through	23	A I have only talked to my attorneys about it.
24	Dr. Benzinger's emails looking for evidence of False Claims	24	Q Yesterday you mentioned that you felt like you
25	Act violations, is that right?	25	had authorization to review Dr. Benzinger's emails, do you
	Page 437		Page 439
1	A That that was one part of the reason. Also	1	recall that?
2	the harassment and breach of contract that was going on and	2	MR. RUTTER: Objection, misstates prior
3	likely ADA discrimination against Hammen and myself for	3	testimony.
4	standing up for Hammen, as well as USERRA discrimination	4	BY MR. NOLAN:
5	against Hammen and myself	5	Q I'm sorry, did I misstate your prior
6	(Reporter clarification.)	6	testimony?
7	THE WITNESS: USERRA. I believe it's	7	A Well, I don't recall word for word what was
8	U-S-E-R-A, discrimination that was happening against	8	I don't recall word for word what what was said on that
9	Hammen and me for standing up for Hammen.	9	yesterday, but I I did say that I believed that I had
10	BY MR. NOLAN:	10	authorization to look at those emails.
11	Q Because it was your intention if there were	11	Q Okay. Can you give me the basis for what you
12	False Claims Act violations that you were going to file a	12	believed to be the authorization?
13	lawsuit?	13	A Well, it was on they were open on my
14	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	14	workstation that I had logged into. I had been given
15	conclusion as to whether or not those emails substantiate a	15	access to them. And I was taking information just to give
16	False Claims Acts violation.	16	to my attorneys. As a lawyer I reasonably believed that I
17	THE WITNESS: It was my intention to gather	17	was allowed to take information that would show something
18	information, tell my attorneys about that information and	18	improper such as an FCA or a ADA discrimination or
19	let them proceed for any legal purposes that were necessary	19	something like that and give it to my legal counsel.
20	and I would follow their advice.	20	And the reason I believed that is because I
21	BY MR. NOLAN:	21	I, one, talked to my attorneys about about these types
22	Q Yeah. So you had in mind that there was a	22	of things, which I had confidential conversations with
23	possibility that you all might file a False Claims Act	23	them, and, two, that, you know, that that's this is a
24	lawsuit, yes?	24	digital work this is digital work area where everybody
25	A Correct.	25	is using a computer. Everybody is working in a digital

24 (Pages 436 to 439)

1

2

5

6 7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Page 440

space. And if you weren't allowed -- if you saw something improper that came across your desk or your terminal, which is in this case your desk, you're, you know, you're allowed to take that and give that to lawyer.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12 13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

If not, nobody would ever file a Civil Rights case, an ADA case, a discrimination case. That -- that stuff just wouldn't occur without being able to take evidence. That's how a lot of these cases occur, a memo comes across a secretary's desk and they report that to lawyer. It keeps big firms in business

It's, you know, it's -- it's -- it's, you know, it is what it is. So, you know, again, that's why, you know, I felt that I had permission to take those, you know, I reasonably believed I had permission to take those.

Q Anything else that you base your belief that you had authorization to look at and take pictures of Dr. Benzinger's emails?

A Well, again, as I said, conversations with my attorneys and their legal analysis and what I had just spoken on.

But what I can say is this, I did not take his password, I did not forward any emails in his account, I did not delete anything in his account. I did not take a byte of data from that computer account. I took images on -- on my device.

Page 442

Q Okay. Well, please tell me what else you believed at that point in time that led you to think that you had authorization to look at and take photographs of

A Just to go through the list of anything else that -- that -- well, let's see, Richard Benzinger had been in my -- Richard Benzinger, I know, had been looking in my

Additionally, when we worked together on shared computers, people regularly look at other people's emails to go pull up things such as patient lits or to pull up information, data, documents on -- on medication dosing or anesthesia protocols.

Q Anything else?

A I'm -- I'm happy to think on it more, but those were, you know, those were some of my primary

Q Anything else?

A You know, again, I'm happy to think on it more. I'm sure I -- there -- there were lots of thoughts going through my head on that. But as I said, those -those are my primary reasons.

Q Okay. Well, you understand this is my opportunity to ask you what was going on in your mind at the time you accessed these emails, and I need you to tell

Page 441

Q Images of data that was on his email, yes?

A I took images of his email, but I -- but I did not take a byte of data from his computer.

Q Well, the data was on his computer and then it was on your phone, yes?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, foundation.

THE WITNESS: Well, that's not the same --

well, I -- I would let a computer expert speak to that. But I, you know, I did not take data from that computer. I

didn't put anything on a USB drive. I didn't forward an email. I didn't transfer any files.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Okay. Anything else that you base your belief of authorization on other than what you've just described?

A I mean, I would think on it more, because as I said, I had conversations with my attorneys and, you

Q I know. You already said that.

A Yeah, yeah.

Q Anything else?

A I would, you know -- those were the prime reasons. I'm sure there are other thoughts going through my mind on that, but those were the primary reasons that I had taken that and that I had taken those images.

Page 443

me everything that you recall at this point in time. 2 MR. RUTTER: Objection, argumentative, asked and answered. 3

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Do you understand that?

A I understand what you're saying. And I'm just saying right now, as I recall, those are the main reasons. I want to be accurate and I want to be truthful. If I, you know, if I come up with anything, if I remember anything else, you know, I'm happy to let everyone know.

But I'm just saying those were the primary thoughts when I was, you know, you know looking at, you know, emails. It was open on my computer, as I said. And I talked to attorneys about this previously. And, you know, again, I believed, I fully believed that I had authorization to take those images because of, as I said. what those documents were showing in relation to legal issues aoina on.

Q So in part your belief was based on the advice of counsel, they said that you could look at other people's --

MR. MAREK: Objection --MR. ELSTER: Objection, privileged. MR. NOLAN: -- emails, correct? MR. ELSTER: Objection, privileged

25 (Pages 440 to 443)

	Page 444	Page 446
1	information.	1 A I gave them my I took the images on my old
2	MR. MAREK: Instruct the witness not to answer	2 iPhone and I gave them my old iPhone to transfer from.
3	that.	3 Q Did they give that old iPhone back to you?
4	MR. NOLAN: He's been saying it. He's been	4 A Yes, they gave me that old iPhone back.
5	saying it. He said it 20 times.	5 Q Were the original images still on the phone
6	MR. MAREK: Instruct the witness not to answer	6 when you got the old iPhone back?
7	about communications between him and any attorneys on any	7 MR. ELSTER: Objection, vague, original
8	subject.	8 images.
9	MR. NOLAN: He put it at issue.	9 THE WITNESS: You know, you know, again, I
10	THE WITNESS: Hold on. All I'm just saying is	don't recall what was done for the full transfer process,
11	I spoke with my attorneys and I and I spoke to my	but I gave them my device, they transferred the images
12	attorneys and, you know, that's it. You know, I had legal	12 over, and I didn't retain any.
13	counsel and I spoke to attorneys. That that's it.	13 BY MR. NOLAN:
14	BY MR. NOLAN:	14 Q Do you still have that old iPhone?
15	Q And they said you could access other peoples	15 A I don't have that old iPhone. That was from
16	emails?	16 2017. I've had probably two or three new phones since
17	MR. ELSTER: Same objection.	17 then.
18	MR. MAREK: Objection, attorney/client	18 Q When did you get rid of that old iPhone?
19	privilege. Instruct the witness not to answer any	19 A I don't remember the exact date. I normally
20	questions about communications with counsel.	20 would get a new iPhone every year or two just through a
21	Are you going to continue to try to	family plan. So I just get a new phone when it, you know
22	THE WITNESS: I'm not going to answer any more	22 came up. But I, you know
23	questions on this.	Q Did you transfer the images that you took of
24	MR. MAREK: roll over the privilege.	2 4 Dr. Benzinger's emails to a computer or an iPad or an
25	MR. NOLAN: Stop your speaking objections.	25 iCloud or anything like that?
		D 445
	Page 445	Page 447
1	Page 445 BY MR. NOLAN:	Page 447 1 A IIdon't recall doing that. Idon't
1 2	-	
	BY MR. NOLAN:	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't
2	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it
2	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question?	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet.
2 3 4	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice.	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. 4 Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device
2 3 4 5	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures?	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. 4 Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device 5 like a thumb drive?
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. 4 Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device 5 like a thumb drive? 6 A I believe my attorneys had I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel.	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. 4 Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device 5 like a thumb drive? 6 A I believe my attorneys had I believe 7 there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel?	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. 4 Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device 5 like a thumb drive? 6 A I believe my attorneys had I believe 7 there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of 8 the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. 4 Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device 5 like a thumb drive? 6 A I believe my attorneys had I believe 7 there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of 8 the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I 9 brought everything over and they they took them to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. 4 Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device 5 like a thumb drive? 6 A I believe my attorneys had I believe 7 there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of 8 the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I 9 brought everything over and they they took them to 10 process for discovery, and and they retained, and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the	1 A I I don't recall doing that. I don't 2 believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it 3 on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. 4 Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device 5 like a thumb drive? 6 A I believe my attorneys had I believe 7 there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of 8 the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I 9 brought everything over and they they took them to 10 process for discovery, and and they retained, and they 11 retained them or processed them as they did. I I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now.	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now. Q How would you refresh your memory on that?	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal resignation to the program?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now. Q How would you refresh your memory on that? A I would probably go back and and look at	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal resignation to the program? A I want to be accurate. I don't recall the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now. Q How would you refresh your memory on that? A I would probably go back and and look at at when I had given documents. I'd probably look and see	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal resignation to the program? A I want to be accurate. I don't recall the exact date that I gave them over. I'd want I'd want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now. Q How would you refresh your memory on that? A I would probably go back and and look at at when I had given documents. I'd probably look and see when I had given documents to my attorneys and, you know,	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal resignation to the program? A I want to be accurate. I don't recall the exact date that I gave them over. I'd want I'd want to make sure. As I said, I was, you know, in touch with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now. Q How would you refresh your memory on that? A I would probably go back and and look at at when I had given documents. I'd probably look and see when I had given documents to my attorneys and, you know, see when I had given them that.	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal resignation to the program? A I want to be accurate. I don't recall the exact date that I gave them over. I'd want I'd want to make sure. As I said, I was, you know, in touch with counsel off and on over the past several years, so I'd want to make sure I gave an accurate date. I don't recall the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now. Q How would you refresh your memory on that? A I would probably go back and and look at at when I had given documents. I'd probably look and see when I had given documents to my attorneys and, you know, see when I had given them that. Q How did you transfer or transmit the images to	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal resignation to the program? A I want to be accurate. I don't recall the exact date that I gave them over. I'd want I'd want to make sure. As I said, I was, you know, in touch with counsel off and on over the past several years, so I'd want to make sure I gave an accurate date. I don't recall the exact day right now. I'm sorry. Q Well, I appreciate your answer, but I was just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now. Q How would you refresh your memory on that? A I would probably go back and and look at at when I had given documents. I'd probably look and see when I had given documents to my attorneys and, you know, see when I had given them that. Q How did you transfer or transmit the images to your attorneys?	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal resignation to the program? A I want to be accurate. I don't recall the exact date that I gave them over. I'd want I'd want to make sure. As I said, I was, you know, in touch with counsel off and on over the past several years, so I'd want to make sure I gave an accurate date. I don't recall the exact day right now. I'm sorry. Q Well, I appreciate your answer, but I was just asking do you recall was it before or after you offered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Are you going to follow your attorney's advice and refuse to answer my question? A I'm going to follow my attorney's advice. Q Okay. What did you do with the pictures? A I so I had the images and I eventually gave, you know, I gave them to my my counsel. Q When did you give them to your counsel? A I believe it I'm trying to think of the exact date, since there was a lot there was a lot of materials that I gave to counsel. I I don't recall the exact date that I gave it to them. I'm more than happy to go back and try to refresh memory, but I don't recall the exact day right now. Q How would you refresh your memory on that? A I would probably go back and and look at at when I had given documents. I'd probably look and see when I had given documents to my attorneys and, you know, see when I had given them that. Q How did you transfer or transmit the images to your attorneys? A I gave the attorney I gave my attorneys my	A I I don't recall doing that. I don't believe I put it on a computer. I don't believe I put it on an iCloud. I don't believe I put it on a tablet. Q Did you save it to any kind of memory device like a thumb drive? A I believe my attorneys had I believe there I believe my attorneys had made copies of of the images. You know, again, as I said, I gave them I brought everything over and they they took them to process for discovery, and and they retained, and they retained them or processed them as they did. I I just gave the information. Q Do you recall if you gave them to your attorneys before or after you offered your formal resignation to the program? A I want to be accurate. I don't recall the exact date that I gave them over. I'd want I'd want to make sure. As I said, I was, you know, in touch with counsel off and on over the past several years, so I'd want to make sure I gave an accurate date. I don't recall the exact day right now. I'm sorry. Q Well, I appreciate your answer, but I was just

26 (Pages 444 to 447)

	Page 448		Page 450
1	THE WITNESS: You know, again, I I don't	BY MR. NOLAN:	
2	recall at this time the exact date.	Q Right. Bu	t it was his private email account,
3	BY MR. NOLAN:	3 right?	
4	Q Okay. On the JPEG images which were produced	4 MR. ELST	ER: Same objections.
5	to us, under the properties tab, it's got a date of, I	5 THE WITN	IESS: Again, it was his it was his
6	believe, April 9th, 2018. Does that refresh your	6 email account, but	the data the data in those emails
7	recollection as to when you would have provided your old	7 and, you know, aga	ain, I'm not I'm not a computer expert,
8	iPhone to your attorneys?	8 but that data from t	hose emails was sitting on my desktop
9	MR. ELSTER: Objection, foundation.	9 workstation.	
10	THE WITNESS: You know, again, I'd need to see	BY MR. NOLAN:	
11	the I need to see, you know, just my communications with	1 Q That Dr. B	Senzinger's private email account is
12	my attorneys and when I dropped things off, etc. But, you	on a network used	by Washington University and
13	know, again, I you know, I'm happy to look at dates	Barnes-Jewish Ho	spital, correct?
14	if if you said there's a JPEG image date of, what was	4 MR. ELST	ER: Objection, foundation.
15	that again, April?	5 THE WITN	IESS: I I don't know the specifics
16	BY MR. NOLAN:	of who I don't kno	ow the specifics of who owns the
17	Q I believe April 9th, 2018.	7 network at Barnes,	the desktop computer, the software. I
18	A April 9th of 2018, you know, again, I'm happy	8 mean, for example,	many organizations that have email
19	to and if I could see a document, or if you've got that,	9 accounts, they use	Microsoft and Amazon for hosting, or
20	I'm happy to look at it and refresh memory. But, again,	Google for hosting.	
21	this is going back many years at this point.	BY MR. NOLAN:	
22	Q Did you ever email any of those images to your	Q And you v	vould agree with me that the
23	attorneys?	3 information on tho	se emails were conversations between
24	A I did not email those images to my attorney.	4 people other than	yourself, yes?
25	I don't believe I did.	5 A Yes, those	e emails were conversations between
	Page 449		Page 451
1	Page 449 Q Did you identify any of those emails or the	L people other than my	_
1 2	· ·		_
	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the	Q And you ne	self.
2	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your	Q And you ne information but for I	self. ver would have gained access to that
2	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2?	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes?
2 3 4	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? C: Objection, calls for speculation.
2 3 4 5	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers?	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? C: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on
2 3 4 5 6	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah.	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN:	ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? t: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN:	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? t: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go	ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? t: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go	ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? c: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I obtrough and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? the Objection, calls for speculation. Ses: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I be through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. Just a minute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? the Objection, calls for speculation. Ses: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I be through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. Just a minute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? t: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I to through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. : Okay. GRAPHER: Going off the record at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall.	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to g photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? t: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I to through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. : Okay. GRAPHER: Going off the record at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again,	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to g photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? c: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I o through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. : Okay. SRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. a recess was taken, after which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, I I don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? c: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I o through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. : Okay. SRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. a recess was taken, after which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, I I don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long time. I'd be happy to look at those emails and refresh my	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? c: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I o through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. : Okay. GRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. a recess was taken, after which ings were had:) GRAPHER: We're back on record at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, I I don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long time. I'd be happy to look at those emails and refresh my memory.	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to g photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed THE VIDEOG approximately 11:34 a	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? c: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I o through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. : Okay. GRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. a recess was taken, after which ings were had:) GRAPHER: We're back on record at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, I I don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long time. I'd be happy to look at those emails and refresh my memory. Q You would agree with me that the data you	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to g photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed THE VIDEOG approximately 11:34 a	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? the Objection, calls for speculation. Ses: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I be through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. Okay. SRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. a recess was taken, after which ings were had:) SRAPHER: We're back on record at a.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, I I don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long time. I'd be happy to look at those emails and refresh my memory. Q You would agree with me that the data you captured when you took the pictures of the emails resided	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed THE VIDEOG approximately 11:34 a (Thereupon, I	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? the Objection, calls for speculation. Ses: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I be through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. Okay. SRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. a recess was taken, after which ings were had:) SRAPHER: We're back on record at a.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, I I don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long time. I'd be happy to look at those emails and refresh my memory. Q You would agree with me that the data you captured when you took the pictures of the emails resided within Dr. Benzinger's private email account, yes?	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed THE VIDEOG approximately 11:34 a (Thereupon, I for identification.) BY MR. NOLAN:	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? the Objection, calls for speculation. Ses: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I be through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. Okay. SRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. a recess was taken, after which ings were had:) SRAPHER: We're back on record at a.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, I I don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long time. I'd be happy to look at those emails and refresh my memory. Q You would agree with me that the data you captured when you took the pictures of the emails resided within Dr. Benzinger's private email account, yes? MR. ELSTER: Object, form, legal conclusion.	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to g photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed THE VIDEOG approximately 11:34 a (Thereupon, I for identification.) BY MR. NOLAN: Q I'm handing	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? check Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I on through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. Just a minute. Cokay. SRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. The recess was taken, after which be a recess was taken, after which are served at a.m. Defendant Exhibit B3 was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, I I don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long time. I'd be happy to look at those emails and refresh my memory. Q You would agree with me that the data you captured when you took the pictures of the emails resided within Dr. Benzinger's private email account, yes? MR. ELSTER: Object, form, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Well, I I - I wouldn't agree	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed THE VIDEOG approximately 11:34 a (Thereupon, I for identification.) BY MR. NOLAN: Q I'm handing Exhibit B3. I'll repre	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? check Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I on through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. Just a minute. Check Okay. SRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. The recess was taken, after which be a recess was taken, after which are served at a.m. Defendant Exhibit B3 was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Did you identify any of those emails or the substance of the communications in the emails in your interrogatory answers which have been marked as B1 or B2? A And so you're asking if any of the information from those emails is in the interrogatory answers? Q Yeah. A Well, let's see. Again, as I said, I've not looked at those emails in a very long time. You know, as I said, I recall they were talking harassing and bullying of myself and Gary Hammen. So, you know, my I I would have to say I don't recall. I would have to talk with my counsel to see if they had put anything in the interrogatory answer. I just don't recall. I don't necessarily believe so. But, again, II don't recall. I haven't seen those emails in a long time. I'd be happy to look at those emails and refresh my memory. Q You would agree with me that the data you captured when you took the pictures of the emails resided within Dr. Benzinger's private email account, yes? MR. ELSTER: Object, form, legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Well, I I - I wouldn't agree with that for the following reason, I mean, I know we're	Q And you ne information but for I MR. RUTTER THE WITNES that. All I can say is F He left it open on my MR. NOLAN: need to I need to go photographs that I be So it's going to take ju MR. ELSTER THE VIDEOG approximately 11:16 a (Thereupon, a the following proceed THE VIDEOG approximately 11:34 a (Thereupon, I for identification.) BY MR. NOLAN: Q I'm handing Exhibit B3. I'll repre the emails or excu	self. ver would have gained access to that ooking at Dr. Benzinger's emails, yes? c: Objection, calls for speculation. SS: Again, I can't speculate on Richard Benzinger gave me access. computer and I saw them. Yeah, let's take a short break. I o through and pluck out some of these lieve are attorney/client privileged. ust a minute. : Okay. SRAPHER: Going off the record at a.m. a recess was taken, after which ings were had:) SRAPHER: We're back on record at a.m. Defendant Exhibit B3 was marked

27 (Pages 448 to 451)

	Page 452		Page 454
1	about November 19th, 2021 under a cover letter in which he	1	THE WITNESS: You know, again, I don't recall
2	recognized that some of the pictures may complain may	2	if they're referenced in any pleadings. As I said, I have
3	contain privileged information.	3	not looked at these emails these images in a very long
4	Now, I have, just for the record, I have	4	time and I'd have to compare them to any pleadings. So,
5	removed all of the images that I believe contain protected	5	again, I just
6	information. And if I missed one, it was not my intention	6	(Reporter clarification.)
7	to do so, and we would, if we discover that in the future,	7	THE WITNESS: I have to look I haven't
8	we would	8	looked at these images in a very long time, so I'd have to
9	MR. RUTTER: Are you willing to agree to	9	look at it.
10	produce us a privilege log?	10	MR. NOLAN: For the record, I'd like to mark
11	MR. NOLAN: Yes.	11	this exhibit and this line of testimony regarding the
12	MR. RUTTER: Great.	12	Exhibit B3 as confidential.
13	THE WITNESS: Do you mind if I ask, how many	13	MR. ELSTER: Okay.
14	images are in the stack?	14	MR. NOLAN: Any objections?
15	MR. NOLAN: Let me see. Just for the record,	15	MR. ELSTER: No.
16	I removed Images 22, 23, 31, 32, 41, 66 and 68.	16	BY MR. NOLAN:
17	BY MR. NOLAN:	17	Q Did you say that there was some information
18	Q Okay. So do you recognize those images which	18	contained in these emails that in your mind would give rise
19	are contained in Exhibit B3?	19	to a False Claims Acts violation?
20	A Yes, I recognize, these appear to be the	20	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal
21	images that I took.	21	conclusion.
22	Q Of Dr. Benzinger's emails?	22	THE WITNESS: You know, I believe I made that
23	A This appears to be it.	23	statement, but, you know, that would be that would
24	Q I believe your original document production	24	require a legal conclusion to be made.
25	did not include these emails. Do you have an understanding	25	require a legal conclusion to be made.
1	Page 453 as to why?	1	Page 455 BY MR. NOLAN:
2	MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it calls	2	Q I'm asking in your mind. So it's okay, go
3	for privileged communications.	3	ahead.
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, no, I don't have knowledge	4	MR. RUTTER: Same objection.
5	on that.	5	THE WITNESS: And I don't know if I'm able
6	BY MR. NOLAN:	6	to yeah. Give me one moment. I'm just refreshing
7	Q When was the last time you reviewed the	7	myself on these images since I haven't seen them in a very
8	pictures of those emails?	8	long time.
9	A I don't remember the last date that I reviewed	9	I've done a very quick scan and overview of
10	these emails.	10	these. I haven't read them all fully. And I just wanted
11	Q Did you review them in relation to the	11	to and these are all the ones aside from the
12	drafting of the complaint in this lawsuit?	12	confidential ones, or the privileged is what you're saying.
13	A Here again I don't remember the last time I	13	BY MR. NOLAN:
14	reviewed these emails as far as that goes. I apologize.	14	Q Correct.
15	Q Well, that question was a little different.	15	A Okay. And I just wanted to make sure because
16	Did you review them relative to the drafting of the	16	I don't I don't see anything about patients in here. So
17	complaint in this lawsuit?	17	I just want to make sure since there's no you mentioned
18	A I don't believe so.	18	that you you had stated there was some patient
19	Q In any of the motions or pleadings that you	19	information. I don't see anything about patients in here,
20	filed with the court, did you or your attorneys ever	20	just myself and Gary Hammen. So there's nothing else
21	disclose the presence of these pictures of Dr. Benzinger's	21	that's supposed to be in here?
_ L	emails?	22	Q Well, I believe my question and let me just
22		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	MR. ELSTER: Objection, overly-broad as to	23	reask it, because it's been a little while.
22	MR. ELSTER: Objection, overly-broad as to time.	23 24	reask it, because it's been a little while. A Okay.

28 (Pages 452 to 455)

	Page 456	Page 4
1	review of those photographs. As you sit here today, do you	1 because I felt they were improper.
2	see anything that in your mind implicates a False Claims	2 MR. NOLAN: Please let the record reflect the
3	Act violation?	3 witness is refusing to answer my question. We'll be moving
4	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	4 for sanctions.
5	conclusion.	5 BY MR. NOLAN:
6	THE WITNESS: So, again, I see things that are	6 Q Would you turn to Page 12 of Exhibit B3. It's
7	improper activities. I see things that are improper	7 a picture of an email from Russell Groener to Dr. Benzir
8	activities in here.	8 dated October 31, 2017.
9	BY MR. NOLAN:	9 A These aren't numbered, so hold on.
10	Q Okay. Anything that in your mind implicates a	10 Q Yeah. You'll just have to count the pages.
11	False Claim violation?	11 A One, two, three, five, six, seven, eight,
12	A I see things you know, as I said, or, you	12 nine, ten, eleven. What date, 10?
13	know, I'm not the lawyer making a legal conclusion, but I	13 Q Or maybe it's 11. Do you see the email from
14	see things in here that are improper activities that I felt	14 Groener to Benzinger?
15	were problematic and needed to be reviewed.	15 A I'm sorry, there there's two. There's one
16	Q In relation to the False Claims Act?	16 from 10 sorry. There there's one one from Russell
17	A Yes, that that, you know, as I said, I saw	17 Groener at 10:31 to Laura Cavallone cc'ing Benzinger, and
18	things that were improper that needed to to be reviewed.	then there's another one just to Benzinger alone.
19 20	And, you know, I'm not making a legal conclusion myself, but I saw things that were improper and concerning.	Q Go to the one Benzinger alone that starts with just a heads-up. Do you see that?
21	Q In relation to the False Claims Act, yes?	. ,
		, ,
22	MR. RUTTER: Objection, asked and answered.	
23	BY MR. NOLAN:	23 that Russell Groener sent to Dr. Benzinger, correct?
24	Q Yes or no?	24 A Yes, I see that.
25	A Well, as I said, I saw things that were	25 Q You were not copied on it, correct?
	Page 457	Page 4
1	improper and I felt needed to be reviewed.	1 A That is correct.
2	Q In relation to the False Claims Act, yes or	2 Q Dated Tuesday, October 31st, 2017 at
3	no?	3 8:10 a.m., correct?
4	MR. RUTTER: Objection, argumentative, asked	4 A Yeah, Russell Groener, Tuesday, October 31st,
5	and answered.	5 2017 at 8:10 a.m. to Richard Benzinger.
6	THE WITNESS: As I said as I said, in	6 Q Who is Dr. Groener?
7	relation to your question, I saw things that were improper that I felt needed to be reviewed.	7 A Dr. Groener is an anesthesia faculty member
8		8 who I believe was either assistant or associate program
9	BY MR. NOLAN:	9 director, and Richard Benzinger was the program director.
10	Q What things did you see that you thought were	10 Q Okay. And Dr. Groener is discussing his
11	improper that might be a violation of the False Claims Act?	11 concerns about your performance as a resident, yes?
12	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	12 A That appears to be what this email states.
13	conclusion.	13 Q Dr. Groener advises Dr. Benzinger, quote, just
14	THE WITNESS: Again, I saw improper activity	a heads-up that Jeff Weisman failed his peds rotation.
15	relating to you know, I saw improper activity relating	15 I read that correctly?
16	to myself and Gary Hammen, and I felt that it was improper	16 A Peds for pediatrics.
	and I wanted it to be reviewed.	17 Q Thank you.
17	DV MD NOLANI.	18 Reading on he states, there was consensus that
17 18	BY MR. NOLAN:	1.0 bis newformers reached a level of description (1999)
17 18 19	Q How did you think it related to the False	19 his performance reached a level of deeply troubling with
17 18 19 20	Q How did you think it related to the False Claims Act?	20 serious concern for patient safety. And he underlined of
17 18 19 20 21	Q How did you think it related to the False Claims Act? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	20 serious concern for patient safety. And he underlined of deeply troubling with serious concern for patient safety
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q How did you think it related to the False Claims Act? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.	serious concern for patient safety. And he underlined of deeply troubling with serious concern for patient safety isn't that correct?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q How did you think it related to the False Claims Act? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: And as I said, I saw things that	serious concern for patient safety. And he underlined of deeply troubling with serious concern for patient safety isn't that correct? A That's what the document says, but the words
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q How did you think it related to the False Claims Act? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.	serious concern for patient safety. And he underlined of deeply troubling with serious concern for patient safety isn't that correct?

29 (Pages 456 to 459)

Page 462 Page 460 discussing his evaluation of your performance, yes? 1 neutral and negative. 2 A He -- he's discussing -- he's -- he's writing 2 So he would have an anesthesia faculty member 3 about -- he's writing about me, but I would -- but I would email him. And as an example, because I don't have this in 4 disagree that this is about my -- this is accurate and 4 front of me, the faculty member might say, you know, Jeff 5 about my performance. 5 did a good job today, oh, he should work on this. Q You would agree that evaluations such as the And then what Russell Groener did, or appeared 6 6 7 ones that faculty members in the program do with regards to to have done in that PowerPoint slide was to slice the 8 residents have a subjective component to them, yes? comment and move the Jeff did a good job to the good area 9 A Well, evaluations are supposed to be 9 and then he should work on this to the bad area 10 objectively done. They're supposed to be accurate and 10 And then when it came time for evaluations, he 11 objective per ACGME guidelines. 11 seems to have just taken from the bad PowerPoint slide 12 (Reporter clarification.) 12 where he'd slice comments up and put those in completely 1.3 THE WITNESS: Per ACGME guidelines. They're 13 not -- they're not supposed to take preferences, if you 14 14 So to -- to answer the question on could I like somebody, if you don't like somebody. Evaluations are 15 15 read Russell Groener's mind, no, I can't, but based on supposed to be accurate and honest per ACGME guidelines. 16 circumstances, facts and his behavior. I have strong reason 16 17 BY MR. NOLAN: 17 to believe that he did these things. And he, in fact, did 18 Q They include a subjective component, yes? 18 do these things. A I -- you know, I would say I'd have to go look 19 19 Q Do you remember my question? 20 at the ACGME guidelines. My understanding was that 20 A Can you please repeat the question. 2.1 evaluations were supposed to be -- that were supposed to be 2.1 Q I'm going to ask you as nicely as I can to 22 objectively done to be accurate and honest and not to have 22 please pay attention to my question and answer the question 2.3 subjective components of personal opinions or preferences. 23 that's put to you. Do you understand that? Q You can't Dr. Groener's mind, can you? 24 24 A Yes, I understand, sir. 25 A I'm not a mind reader. 25 Q Did Dr. Groener ever tell you verbally or in Page 461 Page 463 1 Q Right. Dr. Groener never you that what he put 1 writing that the information he put in this October 31st 2 in this email was false, did he? 2 email was false? A He actually did by way of a conversation I had 3 A I did not talk to Dr. Groener about this 3 him that's -- that we have record of. 4 particular email and he did not talk with me about it. 4 Q When did Dr. Groener tell you that the 5 Q Reading on in this email, he wrote, some, in 5 6 information he put in this email was false? And remember, 6 parenthesis by no all means, all out of parenthesis, of the 7 you're under oath. comments were, and then the very first bullet point says, 8 A I know I'm under oath. 8 after mask induction, ready for intubation. Patient Dr. Groener basically telegraphed to me that apneic. Did I read first sentence correctly? 9 9 1.0 he was going to generate false evaluations in a meeting 1.0 A It would be apneic, but, yes, after mask that I had with him. I worked with him, I believe, for you induction, ready for intubation, patient apneic. Resident 11 11 12 days on the pediatric month. And I had a meeting with him 12 decides to --13 after one of those days. And he told me you did a good 13 Q No, no, that wasn't my question. What does today, today was a good day, it went well. 14 14 apneic mean? And I asked him will you sign a paper 15 A He's saying that the patient wasn't breathing. 15 evaluation of me. And he said something roughly, quote, I 16 Q And that's a bad thing, right? 16 don't want to put anything in writing, I don't want to get 17 17 A When you're doing it -- when you're doing boxed in with you. So he -- at that point in time I knew anesthesia, you sedate patients and you intubate them, so 18 18 that he was going to be, from that interaction, I was, in there's lots of times they aren't breathing and we have a 19 19 20 my personal opinion, very sure he was going to lie about 20 machine breathing for them. 2.1 evaluations or alter them or do something. 21 Q Okay. And at that point was the machine 22 And, in fact, he did, because we found in 22 breathing for him? 23 discovery that Russell Groener, what he did was he created 23 A The machine wasn't breathing for that point a PowerPoint slide where -- slide show where he broke up --24 because we had an oxygen mask on them and we were bag 24 25 he broke up comments he was soliciting into positive, 25 masking them. In fact, I was holding the mask in place and

30 (Pages 460 to 463)

	Page 464		Page 466
1	I was ventilating, and I said that we needed to get a	1	people like him, yes?
2	temperature probe, which was just a feet behind me, to put	2	A I was there to learn from him, but not to be
3	on the table.	3	harassed, bullied and for him to harm patients trying to
4	And the nurse in the OR said oh, I can I	4	harass and bully me.
5	can I can squeeze the bag. And I said thank you. And	5	Q And he's there, in part, to make sure that the
6	she put her hand on the bag and I reached over	6	patient is safe and receiving proper treatment, yes?
7	(Reporter clarification.)	7	A Every doctor at that hospital is there so a
8	THE WITNESS: She put her hand on the bag, and	8	patient is to be safe and receive proper care and
9	I reached over and I grabbed the nasal temperature probe	9	treatment.
10	and I put it on our anesthesia table and then I resumed bag	10	Q And he has an absolute duty to relay to the
11	masking.	11	members of the faculty if he feels that you are endangering
12	So that is a completely out of context comment	12	patient safety, yes?
13	made to cause an issue. So I would just say overall, I	13	MR. ELSTER: Objection, form and legal
14	just completely disagree with these with whatever is	14	conclusion.
15	written here based on the comments that I had with people.	15	THE WITNESS: He has an he has a duty to
16	BY MR. NOLAN:	16	relay accurate, honest and true statements. He's not
17	Q Okay. There was a reason that you were in the	17	having a duty to relay improper or false statements.
18	residency program, right?	18	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
19	MR. RUTTER: Objection, vague, form of the	19	
20	question.		Q So if he thought that it was improper for you
21	THE WITNESS: If you're asking me why someone	20	to abandon the patient and go back to the cart and fumble
22	trains in a residency program, they train in a residency	21	around for a nasal temperature probe, are you saying that
23	program to learn to learn a particular specialty of	22	he was wrong for that?
24	medicine.	23	MR. ELSTER: Objection, form.
25	medicine.	24	THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is this is not
23		25	accurate.
	Page 465		Page 467
1	BY MR. NOLAN:	1	BY MR. NOLAN:
2	Q Right, which means you didn't have it before	2	Q You just said that you went to go get the
3	you went into the program, right?	3	temperature probe when the patient wasn't breathing.
4	A That means you don't have certain medical	4	A You're misstating what I said. Nobody
5	knowledge.	5	abandoned there was no patient that was ever abandoned
6	Q Right. Or experience, right?	6	and I did a very good job. In fact
7	A That means that you do not have certain	7	Q In your opinion?
8	medical experience or knowledge when you go into the	8	A No, this isn't my opinion. This is facts.
9	program. You do have four years of medical school, and you	9	This is science. You can't abandon a patient when you're
10	do have common sense and honesty and integrity and	10	holding your hand on the mask of the patient.
11	professionalism.	11	And the big thing I would say is I think
	Q Did you think at that point with four years of	12	you you mentioned Cathy Krucylak yesterday. I was doing
12		1 2	a case under her supervision
12 13	medical school where you graduated 81st out of 110 in your	13	a case and of the supervision —
	medical school where you graduated 81st out of 110 in your class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about	14	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a
13			'
13 14	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about	14	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a
13 14 15	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology?	14 15	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question.
13 14 15 16	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology? MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness.	14 15 16	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question. THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Excuse me. Excuse
13 14 15 16 17	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology? MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness. THE WITNESS: I didn't think I knew more about	14 15 16 17	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question. THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Excuse me. Excuse me.
13 14 15 16 17	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology? MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness. THE WITNESS: I didn't think I knew more about anesthesia, but I knew more about telling the truth than	14 15 16 17 18	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question. THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Excuse me. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Russell Groener came into the
13 14 15 16 17 18	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology? MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness. THE WITNESS: I didn't think I knew more about anesthesia, but I knew more about telling the truth than him apparently because	14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question. THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Excuse me. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Russell Groener came into the room
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology? MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness. THE WITNESS: I didn't think I knew more about anesthesia, but I knew more about telling the truth than him apparently because BY MR. NOLAN:	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question. THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Excuse me. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Russell Groener came into the room MR. NOLAN: Please stop.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology? MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness. THE WITNESS: I didn't think I knew more about anesthesia, but I knew more about telling the truth than him apparently because BY MR. NOLAN: Q You would agree that he knew much more and had	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question. THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Excuse me. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Russell Groener came into the room MR. NOLAN: Please stop. THE WITNESS: and said I need you to give a
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology? MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness. THE WITNESS: I didn't think I knew more about anesthesia, but I knew more about telling the truth than him apparently because BY MR. NOLAN: Q You would agree that he knew much more and had much more experience regarding anesthesiology and how to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question. THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Excuse me. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Russell Groener came into the room MR. NOLAN: Please stop. THE WITNESS: and said I need you to give a dosed-up epinephrine to this child right now. And I said
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	class, that you knew more than Dr. Groener about anesthesiology? MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness. THE WITNESS: I didn't think I knew more about anesthesia, but I knew more about telling the truth than him apparently because BY MR. NOLAN: Q You would agree that he knew much more and had much more experience regarding anesthesiology and how to treat patients during surgery than you, yes?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Please, no, no. That is not responsive to a question. THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Excuse me. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Russell Groener came into the room MR. NOLAN: Please stop. THE WITNESS: and said I need you to give a dosed-up epinephrine to this child right now. And I said there's no need to right now. And he said

31 (Pages 464 to 467)

	Page 468	Page 470
1	A we'll give it because I said so.	1 under circumstances like that?
2	Q Please stop wasting our time.	2 A Well, I'd need to see the exact circumstances
3	A He said	3 of the case and what's what's going on. This is a
4	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Excuse me. I	4 comment in a vacuum, so
5	can only take one of you at a time. So if you're going to	5 Q In the third bullet point he says, when I
6	keep talking, it's not going to matter because I can't get	6 returned to the room, I noticed he had refilled the Sievo
7	it all.	7 vaporizer, in parenthesis nothing wrong with that, out of
8	THE WITNESS: Well, so Russell Groener told me	8 parenthesis, however he failed to realize the vaporizer
9	to immediately give a dose of epi, epinephrine, on a Cathy	9 wasn't properly engaged with the machine and, therefore, no
10	Krucylak patient, that wasn't his patient. I told Russell	10 anesthetic agent was being delivered to the patient to
11	Groener there's no need there's no reason from the	11 the patient, exclamation mark.
12	monitors to do it. And he said well, just do it now. So I	12 Did I read that correctly?
13	took a picture of the monitors and I emailed or texted it	13 A That's not an accurate statement of something
14	to him and I said okay, I will give	14 that occurred, but you read
15	BY MR. NOLAN:	15 Q Did I read it correctly?
16	Q There's no question pending.	16 A you read the statement there.
17	A I will give this dose, but I texted this to	17 And, in fact, you'll find in the discovery
18	you. And what he did was he immediately stormed out of the	18 that there's an email exchange between Douglas Thompson,
19	room. I told Cathy Krucylak about this interaction. She	19 the assistant program director
20	seemed very upset about him trying to harm one of her	20 Q That's not responsive to my question.
21	patients to create an issue with me.	21 A where he where he's emailing
22	And Cathy Krucylak, who's another pediatric,	22 Q That's not responsive.
23	and very senior pediatric anesthesiologist, gave me a very	23 A me the machine malfunctioned and that we
24	good letter of recommendation.	2 4 should write a case report because machines like this
25	Q There's no question pending right now.	25 particular brand of machine is known to malfunction.
	Page 469	Page 471
1	A Then please ask a question.	1 Q All I asked you was did I read that sentence
2	Q Please stop wasting our time grandstanding and	2 correctly.
3	making your baseless speculation.	3 A You read the sentence correctly but without
4	MR. RUTTER: Ask a question.	4 context.
5	THE WITNESS: Please ask a question.	5 Q You agree with me it would be very bad for a
6	MR. RUTTER: Ask a question.	6 patient to not be receiving the anesthetic agent under
7	MR. NOLAN: No. I'm sick and tired of him	7 circumstances like that, correct?
8	wasting our time in this deposition.	8 A Well, a patient is depending on the stage
9	MR. RUTTER: We're sick and tired of this	9 of the procedure, a patient is supposed to have anesthetic
10	whole paternalistic just knock it off and ask him	agent. And the patient was receiving anesthetic agent,
11	questions.	11 according to this. I believe it states end-tidal
12	BY MR. NOLAN:	12 concentration of C04
13	Q No, no. All right. Well, sir, looking back	13 THE COURT REPORTER: You're going to have to
14	again at this Groener email to Benzinger, in the second	14 slow down.
15	bullet point he says, quote, when I returned to the room, I	15 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
16	noticed the ETCO2 was 89 and bellows were not moving.	16 THE COURT REPORTER: End-tidal.
17	Did I read that correctly?	17 THE WITNESS: It said, the end-tidal
18	A Those are the words on the page, but I do not	18 concentration of Sievo had fallen to 0.5 percent.
19	believe them to be accurate.	19 BY MR. NOLAN:
20	Q What is the ETCO2?	20 Q So you believe everything that Dr. Groener put
0.1	A End-tidal CO2. So basically you're looking at	21 in this email is false?
21	something when someone's breathing in breathing in,	22 A My personal belief is that these statements
22	containing when contained broading in	
	you know, how much how much CO2 is in their is in	23 are either false or out of context. And there's and
22		

32 (Pages 468 to 471)

Page 472 Page 474 I'm a trainee. I'm learning like everyone else. We're 1 giving a good performance while I was there as far as a 2 here to learn. 2 doctor goes 3 But for some reason, everything that occurred 3 And -- and to stay on top of it with it as far with me by certain people, Russell Groener, was taken out 4 as performance there goes, this is one of the top hospitals 4 5 of context. But other faculty members in the pediatric 5 in the country. This is the best of the best. So the department thought I was doing a great job and even wrote question is was I giving good performance, yes, I was 6 6 7 7 me letters of recommendation. giving good performance there. Q So is it your belief that all of the negative 8 8 I was giving the performance I gave at LSU or evaluations that you received during your residency program 9 would have given at any other of the medical centers around 9 10 were false? 10 the country, and I don't know why they took issue with my 11 A It's not my personal belief that every single 11 performance there. 12 thing was false. I'm a trainee. I'm on the learning 12 Q Okay. Well, at LSU you were 81st out of 110 13 curve. I'm there to learn and become a good doctor. But I 1.3 in your class. You would agree that you were below average do very much believe that many things were false or taken 14 there, right? 14 15 MR. ELSTER: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Well, the question you said was, And we have proof that many things were false 16 16 17 and taken out of context. Like they said I failed my 17 you said 81st out of 110 based on test scores. If you were 18 internal medicine rotation. They said every evaluation was 18 to ask the deans and the chairs at LSU, which I welcome you 19 a failure. And it turned out every single one was a pass 19 to call them, they would say I was one -- Number 1 out of 20 if you look at the evals by Amy Rodden, Gina LaRosa and 20 110 for research and leadership and projects that I was 2.1 Janet McGill. So how am I to believe anything that they 2.1 doing there. 22 put down when in all sincerity we have evidence that they 22 So just like with law school or anything, 2.3 were lying time and time again. 2.3 class rank is not the end-all be-all. And not only that, 24 And that's a very sincere comment reaching out 24 to go to medical school it's the best of the best. to you. I -- I understand they're your clients, but 25 Everybody is perfect. Everybody has a 4.0 in medical 25 Page 473 Page 475 they're not telling you the truth sometimes, or others. 1 school to get in, your undergrad to get into medical 2 Q You -- you understand that my question called 2 school. 3 for a yes or no answer? 3 So you can -- so, yes, my class rank was 81 4 A Will you please continue, sir. out of 110. It turns out that Wash U, I believe, their med 5 Q Would you please stop giving narratives. school went to pass/fail because there -- there isn't a 5 6 You'll have your opportunity to say what you want to say in 6 need for a class rank. I hope that answers the question response to questions asked by your counsel. Do you 7 on -- my class rank was 81 out of 110, yes. 8 understand? 8 BY MR. NOLAN: 9 A Please continue, sir. 9 Q Are you able to tell me anything that you 1.0 Q Tell me all the ways you believe that your 1.0 honestly believe was suboptimal performance relative to performance during the residency program was below average. your residency program? 11 11 12 A When you say my performance, are you -- just 12 MR. RUTTER: Objection, form of the question. 13 to make -- I want to make sure I understand and answer this 13 It's vague and ambiguous. accurately for you. So my performance, are you saying THE WITNESS: I'm happy to think on it. But I 14 14 15 by -- by just general performance overall or related to 15 don't believe that the performance I was giving was specific work in the hospital? I want to make sure I 16 16 suboptimal. understand BY MR. NOLAN: 17 17 Q I just want to know in terms of your Q Was there anything that you can think of as 18 18 self-evaluation, what do think you did poorly on? you sit here today where you thought man, I didn't do that 19 19 20 MR. RUTTER: Objection, form of the question. 20 well on that section or that procedure, anything like that 2.1 It's vaque, ambiguous 21 where you just thought, you know, you didn't do well? 2.2 THE WITNESS: I mean like as -- as most 22 A Well, again, I'd have to think on it. On a 23 physicians, you know, we're -- we're on a learning curve. 23 day-to-day basis -- on a day-to-day basis we do just dozens 24 We're -- we're learning to train to be doctors in a 24 of things, tons of little activities, putting in IV lines, 25 specialty. I felt in my performance, I felt that I was 2.5 putting in central lines, etc.

33 (Pages 472 to 475)

1.3

2.1

1.0

2.5

Page 476

And maybe the best way to answer -- to answer your question, maybe I'll answer it for pediatrics, when we put IV's in pediatric patients, they're very tough sometimes, especially pediatric patients that need multiple sticks or have been there for multiple procedures.

1.5

2.1

1.0

2.1

 There's times when there is -- I -- I can't remember the specific day without a case log, but we were trying to get an IV in a child and we -- I couldn't get it in, my attending couldn't get it in. They had another attending come in. And eventually I believe one of the attendings got it in.

So if the question is was I, you know, was I frustrated that I didn't get that IV right away, yes, of course. I don't want to stick somebody more than necessary. But, again, the whole thing is it's a learning curve, and the attending was struggling.

So it depends on – so – so as far as suboptimal performance, of course we want everything to be perfect. We love the patients. We want them to get perfect care. But I – I wasn't giving suboptimal care in the sense of, you know, oh, you put an IV in and it doesn't go in the first time.

And that's why nurses, for example, get two sticks and you bring in another nurse. So that -- that's just the example of it's not -- I wouldn't classify it as

Page 478

- A There was no other time that I saw anybody else's email account.
- Q So that one time was the only time you ever accessed someone else's email account during the -- your time in the residency program?
 - A That was the only time that I -- that I did.
- Q Okay. Going back to these secret recordings that you made, when did you start doing that?

A I mean, I wouldn't say they were secret recordings, but I -- I believe around the time, I believe around the time that Alex Evers first wanted to get the contracts and corporate documents from Strategic Biomedical.

(Reporter clarification.)

15 THE WITNESS: From Strategic Biomedical. I
16 believe I started to get concerned that I might need to
17 document some of this, and, you know, I made some
18 recordings.

19 BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Why were you concerned when Dr. Evers asked you for some corporate documents?

A I was concerned about that because -- well, I was first very concerned because I was contacted by the general counsel, Ken Fleischmann at Saint Louis College of Pharmacy, and he told me not to give the recorded -- he

Page 477

suboptimal performance. It's you give your best for everything because you care about the patients. But if you don't get an IV the first or second time, you bring in an attending or another nurse or a doc and we make sure that it gets in.

So I – I hope that explains how it is in medicine. But, you know, I was -- I was giving my all all the time. I care about patients and I want to do a good job.

Q Yesterday you were telling Washington
University's attorney that you had secretly made recordings
of conversations with people. Do you recall that?

 $\label{eq:mr.def} \mbox{MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the} \\ \mbox{question. It's argumentative.}$

THE WITNESS: I had made recordings under, you know -- you know, I had made recordings.

BY MR NOLAN:

Q Right. Let me -- let me withdraw that question.

Regarding your accessing Dr. Benzinger's emails, was that the only time you accessed his email account on that date when you took the pictures?

- A Yes, that was the only time I accessed it.
- Q Was there any other time where you accessed anyone else's email account?

Page 479

told me not to give the corporate documents or contracts out because him and president John Pieper didn't want that to occur because they were in negotiations.

So I was concerned immediately when the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy and the leadership, the leader, the president, the general counsel said do not give this.

And also risking breach of fiduciary duty because I would have had to ask my investors if I can just give, you know, all corporate documents over. So I was very concerned that it seemed to be an inappropriate ask.

Q Okay. Did Fleischmann tell you not to give the documents to Evers before Evers asked for them?

A I don't recall the exact sequence of communications, but I -- I do know that he had told me not to give -- he did tell me not to give them out. And I believe there may be some emails of me discussing that with Ken Fleischmann.

Q So why would you need to record that conversation with Evers? Why not just say sorry, no, I can't give you those documents?

A I -- I was scared. I was terrified at this point. I was a lowly intern at Barnes-Jewish/Wash U. Interns normally have no interactions or limited interactions with Alex Evers. And up -- he was at the very top of the chain, chain of command, and he had full control

34 (Pages 476 to 479)

1.5

2.1

1.0

2.1

Page 480

over my life, my destiny, my ability to be a doctor.

So I wanted to make sure that -- that if
there -- if there was a problem or if my career was
attacked, that I would have some evidence about this.

1.0

1.5

2.1

1.0

Q So Dr. Evers asked you for some corporate documents and you were scared?

A Yes, I was very scared that my supervisor said he wanted the lease agreements with the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy as well as corporate documents from a private corporation so that he can — I was very nervous, especially when the general counsel of the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy said that he wanted them to gain an upper hand in the lease negotiations and other negotiations with them and to please not give them.

It put me, a lowly intern, between the president and general counsel of the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy and the chair of anesthesia at Washington University Saint Louis. So I would think most people would be very nervous and scared with that situation.

Q So you decided to secretly record your conversation with Dr. Evers?

MR. MAREK: Objection, asked and answered at length yesterday in addition to today.

THE WITNESS: I -- I recorded my conversation with Alex Evers.

Page 482

THE WITNESS: Yes, that -- that -- that scared me. Again, I had one of the most powerful people in the anesthesia world and at Wash U/Barnes asking me for corporate documents so he can secretly gain an advantage negotiation over the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy or do whatever he was planning to do, yes, I think that would really scare a lot of people and make them very nervous about what they're supposed to answer.

Because, again, if the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy please, give him a copy of it, but I had the general counsel and president of -- of a, you know, of a college university telling me do not give these documents to him. That put me in the middle of a very tenuous situation as a lowly in term. It was -- and in all sincerity, I was terrified of this.

BY MR NOLAN:

Q Did Dr. Evers tell you why he wanted the documents?

A I believe when I spoke to Dr. Evers -- and I -- I had met with him on this and there were some phone calls and some emails. I believe he said that he just needed -- so I believe at first he said he just wanted to see them. And I believe then he said that he might need to see them for a conflict check.

He seemed to give a variety of reasons of

Page 481

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Because you were scared?

A That was -- that was one of the reasons. I was scared of -- of him and his potential impact on my career.

Q Did Dr. Evers demand the documents or did he ask for them?

A Dr. Evers, in my -- in my opinion and my experience, Dr. Evers both demanded and asked for those documents. His ask -- his ask was a demand. He wanted those documents and he wanted that information.

Q His ask was a demand?

A He demanded --

MR. RUTTER: Hang on, Jeff. There's no question pending.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Did he say hey, could I have those documents, or did he say you better give me those documents?

A I believe he told me that he needed those documents. It wasn't -- this was not -- this was not somebody saying hey, please can I borrow this. This was I need to see these documents. To me that's a demand.

Q I need to see these documents, and that scared you?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, asked and answered.

Page 483

- wanting to see them, wanting a conflict check. My reaction
 was to try to politely refer him to David Sinow, the
 president of the company.
 - (Reporter clarification.)

THE WITNESS: David Sinow, S-I-N-O-W.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Okay. So anywhere in there did he tell you that he was trying to gain -- gain some secret advantage in his negotiations with the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy?

A I don't believe he framed it like that, but the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy told me --

Q That's what you told me a minute ago in your answer, right?

A Well, my -- my answer was that he wanted -- he wanted the documents.

Q But then you speculated as to his reasons and said because he wanted to gain some secret advantage, right?

A Well, it was very clear from talking to him that what he really cared about was the lease agreement. When I spoke to him on this, what he really wanted was he wanted all the corporate documents, and he particularly wanted the lease agreement because he wanted it and it would give him an unfair advantage in the negotiations. It was very clear what he was doing.

35 (Pages 480 to 483)

1.0

2.1

Page 484

Q But he never told you that, and yet you're saying that under oath like it's true, and you're just lying about it, aren't you?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, form, argumentative, badgering the witness.

THE WITNESS: I am not lying. It, in fact, is true. He wanted to get a copy of my lease agreement and contract with the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy to gain an advantage in those negotiations. That is fact. That is why he was doing that.

BY MR. NOLAN:

1.3

2.1

1.0

2.0

Q Did he tell you that? Did he tell you that, I want to gain an advantage in my negotiations?

A The way he asked the question, his body language, the way he kept following up with it persistently, it was very clear why he wanted those documents. There is no question that was why he wanted those documents.

Q Can you get up and show us how his body moved that would convey his purpose in asking for the lease documents? Can you show us?

MR. MAREK: Objection. That's harassing.

MR. ELSTER: Objection. That's not a

question. That's not even discoverable --

Page 486

documents, well, he just asked the president and general counsel of the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy for it.

There's no question that that was exactly what he wanted. He wanted contracts to have a competitive advantage, and he did so unethically and abusing his use power to do so.

Q How many of these secret recordings did you make while you were in the program?

MR. RUTTER: Objection, asked and answered. Discussed at length yesterday. Cumulative.

THE WITNESS: You know, I don't recall the exact number. I gave all the recordings that I had to -you know, I gave all the recordings that I had over, you know.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q This first recording that you made of Dr. Evers, roughly how far into your term at the program did that start?

A Could you please let me know the date of it?

Q What's that?

A Could you please let me know the date of the first recording. I don't have them in front of me.

Q No, I don't have it. I'm just asking for you, what's your best recollection of how far into the program you were when you first started making these secret

Page 485

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q I'd liked you to show us how he moved his body --

MR. RUTTER: No, he's not doing that, absolutely not.

MR. NOLAN: -- such that you could understand what he was thinking.

THE WITNESS: As I said before, from the number of communications, the type of communications, everything around that circumstance, eh was very clear he wanted those corporate documents. He wanted the lease agreement.

Everybody told me not to give him the lease agreement because he was asking about it and he wanted it. And I believe he was -- and actually, to even further answer your question, and I'd need to go through the documents because I want to be accurate, but I believe there's emails where he's asking the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy president, I believe there's emails where he's asking their leadership for copies of those contracts, and they direct him to go contact me about it.

So if he's emailing the president and general counsel of the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy asking for contracts and they say go talk to Jeff, and then he immediately contacts me I need to go get all your corporate

Page 487

recordings.

A I believe I was probably in my second four week block. I would have to check a date to be accurate, but my recollection right now, without looking at anything, is I was in my second four week block.

Q So within a couple of weeks after starting the program, you were already secretly recording conversations with people?

A I was recording conversations because they were behaving in a manner that made me scared for my -- for -- I was scared because the type of harassment and bullying and what was going on, and I felt the need to have an independent record because these were powerful individuals. They me in a bad situation. I felt the need to protect myself.

Q Did you feel like Dr. Evers was harassing and bullying you when he said I need those documents?

A Yes. I felt that he was using his power and influence because he was my boss' boss' boss' boss. I mean, you know, Groener, Benzinger, Cox, him, that he wanted them. That -- that's like a CEO of a company asking for something from a low level worker.

Q And was that the first time you felt harassed and bullied when Dr. Evers asked for those documents?

A From him, that was probably, I'm thinking if

36 (Pages 484 to 487)

1

2

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Page 488

there were any other interactions earlier, but I think that was the first time -- I think that was probably the first time from Dr. Evers Alex that I felt particularly scared and nervous around that time period.

1

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

8

9

1.0

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q Were you scared for your physical well-being?
- A Well, I was scared -- at that point I was nervous and scared for my career. I was worried that something like what happened now, what happened and we're dealing with today, that this was going to be the end result of him going after me and blacklisting me.

Or let me rephrase. I was scared at that point in time that something like this was going to happen and that he would go after me for not giving him what he wanted and I would lose my career in medicine

Q So within two weeks of starting the program, you were already scared that the head of the program was going to blacklist you and harass you and bully you?

MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the question, misstates the deponent's testimony, and also misstates facts of the record.

THE WITNESS: All that I'm saying is that based on his interactions towards me, I was very scared and nervous about what he would do to me for not cooperating and giving him any documents he wanted or doing whatever he wanted. Again, Alex Evers is a very powerful individual.

Page 490

- time period in August, I was very concerned with his behavior towards me. And I was honestly scared that he would do something, and I needed to have proof of what was occurring in case it became an issue, which it clearly has. BY MR. NOLAN:
 - Q So you could file a lawsuit, right?
- A I had no intention of filing a lawsuit at that point. I was very concerned for -- you know, I was very concerned that he was powerful individual and that he may retaliate and harm me. As I said, I was scared and wanted to protect myself. And I want -- I wanted a record of what was being said so he couldn't say else and be believed over
- Q Did you disclose to Dr. Evers that you were recording that conversation?
- A I did not disclose to Dr. Evers that I was recording that conversation.
 - Q In any of the recordings that you've -- that you have, did you ever disclose to the person that you were conversing with that you were recording the conversation?
- A I don't believe I did.
 - Q Did you ever tell them off the recording?
- 23 A I don't believe that I did.
 - Q Why was it is important to you to keep secret the fact that you were recording those conversations?

Page 489

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q But two weeks into the program you were already scared that you were going to get harassed, bullied and blacklisted?

A All that I am saying is I was very -- what I am saying is the following, Alex Evers wanted corporate documents and contracts from me and I denied it. And I was in a position where I was told to deny him, and I did, in fact, deny him.

And I was very scared that he was going to do something to retaliate against me. And I was worried that it could be anything from pushing me out of the program, not letting me work in anesthesia anymore, just giving me a really rough several years. I -- I was honestly and sincerely nervous about this.

Q So within two weeks of starting the program, you already think that the program director is out to get you and you start secretly recording your conversations with him to capture evidence of his wrongdoing. Is that

MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the question. It's compound and it misstates prior testimony, and it's been asked and answered at least twice.

THE WITNESS: As I said, as of -- in -- I believe that first recording was in August. Around that

Page 491

MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the 2 question. It's argumentative and misstates the witness' 3 testimony.

THE WITNESS: It was -- it was important to me to make these conversations -- to record these conversations so there was evidence of what was occurring to me while I was at the program. And I, you know, I was -- you know, that's -- that's what it was. I wanted to have evidence of what was occurring to me when there was bullying or harassment.

And, in fact, it turned out to be necessary because the bullying and harassment and improper activities to me and even to Gary Hammen did not stop. It just continued to pick up. BY MR. NOLAN:

Q When did you stop making the recordings, secret recordings of conversations with people at the program?

A I'm trying to remember the exact date. Once I left the program, after June of 2018, I didn't have much interaction with them, but I did have some interactions, I believe phone calls and meetings, with Douglas Thompson, the new program director, and the GME office. There may have been others. Again, I don't have the list of the recordings in front of me.

37 (Pages 488 to 491)

Page 492 Page 494 But so I would say into the fall of 2018 to, 1 Q Was that the iPhone that we talked about 2 you know, I documented their interactions. And it turned 2 earlier, the old one that you got rid of? 3 out that it was very necessary, because I asked them to 3 A That is correct. send my ACGME transcripts to other institutions. They said 4 Q How did you preserve the audio files from the they would. And there's evidence of them hiding my -- my 5 recordings? transcripts my, training file and not sending it. 6 A I -- I gave the -- I -- I have them on my 7 Q I just asked for when. device, and I gave my device over to my counsel, and 8 A Yes. 8 that -- that's what I did. 9 Q Okay. Do you remember? 9 Q When you got your old phone back, did it still A I believe I continued recording, as I said, 10 have those audio recordings on it? 10 11 until the fall of 2018. 11 A I don't recall if we -- I -- I don't recall at Q Thank you. 12 this point. 12 13 Any of those telephone calls that you made did 13 Q Did you download the audio recordings to a you disclose during those conversations that you were 14 14 computer or upload them to an i-Cloud or something like 1.5 recording them? 1.5 16 A I don't recall I'd have to think on it but 16 A I didn't download to a computer or put into an 17 I don't believe I did 17 i-Cloud 18 Q Where were you during those telephone 18 Q Did you do anything to preserve the original conversations? 19 19 audio files? 20 A It would depend on the date. Possibly 20 A I believe we -- I believe me giving them over Missouri or possibly Louisiana. 2.1 21 was preserving them. 22 Q How about Illinois? 22 Q I'm talking about the original ones. A Again, I'd -- I'd have to see the recordings 23 MR. ELSTER: Objection, vague as to original. 2.3 THE WITNESS: What -- what do you mean by the 24 and the dates to -- to give a statement on that. 24 Q Okay. Who else did you record other than 25 25 original files? Page 493 Page 495 1 Dr. Evers? 1 BY MR. NOLAN: 2 A You know again it would be very helpful to 2 Q The original audio files that were on your iPhone. 3 3 have a list in front of me or to see the recordings, but I -- I believe I recorded, aside from Dr. Evers, I believe 4 A Oh, I --4 I recorded Thomas Cox. I believe I recorded Richard MR. ELSTER: Same objection. 5 5 6 Benzinger. I believe I recorded Russell Groener. 6 THE WITNESS: I -- I mean, the honest answer 7 I believe I recorded -- I'm trying to think 7 is I don't have that iPhone any longer. who else I recorded. I mean, I don't have a list in front 8 BY MR. NOLAN: 8 of me and it depended on the interaction and the faculty 9 9 Q Did anyone tell you to delete them? that I was with. If there was a meeting or something that 10 A I don't believe anybody told me to delete 1.0 was being said to me that seem inappropriate, I wanted to 11 them 11 12 have documentation in instances. And it varied from time 12 Q Other than giving your old iPhone to your 13 counsel, presumably so they could transfer the files, did 1.3 to time. 14 you do anything else with those audio recordings? 14 And the other thing was I couldn't necessarily -- you know, I'm not able to record every 15 A I don't believe I did. 15 Q Did you send them to anyone else? single thing going on. I'm still working. I'm, you know, 16 16 17 A I don't believe I sent them to anyone else. 17 holding things in my hands and unable to record things at different times. So, as I said, you asked who I recorded. Q Did you discuss them with anyone other than 18 18 19 You know, I recorded many people I interacted with. I -- I 19 your attorneys? 20 need to see the list, because, again, I haven't looked at 20 A I don't believe I discussed them with anyone 21 these in a long time. 2.1 other than my attorneys. 2.2 Q Did you record all of these conversations with 22 Q Did you ever disclose to BJC or Wash U that 23 your iPhone? 23 you had secret recordings of conversations with people at A I believe these were all recorded with the 24 the program? 2.4 25 A I don't believe that I told BJC or Wash U that 25 iPhone.

38 (Pages 492 to 495)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

25

Page 496

I had recorded some people with the program. I -- I'm thinking right now I don't remember telling anybody.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q Yesterday you told Washington University's attorney that you scored poorly on a test at the program because you were busy running around recording conversations. Do you remember that testimony?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, mischaracterizes his testimony.

THE WITNESS: I don't believe I stated -stated something like that. I believe yesterday I told
Wash U's counsel, Mr. Sullivan, that instead of having the
time to be able to study, go home and study like regular
residents, I had to go and talk with people at the end of
the day to check on my performance, to check that they were
happy with me, and I had to spend a lot of extra time
because of that.
BY MR. NOLAN:

Q So you agree that because you were out making secret recordings, trying to gather evidence, that you neglected your studies in the program, yes?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, form, argumentative and mischaracterizes his testimony.

THE WITNESS: I don't agree in the way that
you stated. What I -- what I stated was very -- very much
so the fact that I had to go talk to people on a regular

Page 498

working, and having to -- so -- so, yes, the -- the general harassment that was ongoing there.

Q The negative performance evaluations?

A Well, the negative performance evaluations was one aspect of it. I had to document -- I had to go talk with people and confirm on a daily basis am I doing what you want, is everything going well and really follow that

And I believe in much of the documents there's even comments by Dr. Benzinger that I'm very much so talking to people on a daily basis, checking on my performance regularly.

And I did what I think any reasonable

individual would do whether they're a doctor or a lawyer.
 When somebody says we're worried about your performance and
 it seems not to be done fairly, whether you're a doc or a

law firm, you will talk to your supervisors on a dailybasis or regularly and confirm things are going well.

But that takes a lot of time. A lot of times the doctors there, for example, wouldn't be -- my operating room might finish, for example, at 5:00, but there may be another operating room going or something in post-op going until 6 or 7.

So I may have to hang out there and help out alittle bit but wait until the doctor I'm working with is

Page 497

basis to make sure they were happy with me, put in lots of extra time to document and make sure things were -- were done properly in a way that protected me.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q And you admit that you studied less than the other students or the other residents because of that, yes?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, speculation, form. THE WITNESS: I don't know what the other

residents were studying or what their time commitment was.

I do know that I had to spend substantial amounts of time documenting the bullying and harassment that was ongoing to

13 BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Okay. And because of that, you weren't able to study as much as you otherwise would, yes?

A Because of the bullying and harassment I was not able to study as much as I otherwise would have been able to. The bullying and harassment was a huge distraction to my studying, as would any -- any reasonable

Q And when you say bullying and harassing, you're talking about the negative performance evaluations you got, yes?

A So the activities that included -- that were included in that, giving me a hard time while I was

Page 499

done for the day, because I can't interrupt them while in the middle of a procedure with a patient.

So I'd have to wait until the very end of the day and go, you know, Dr. So and So, how did the day go, you know, please tell me any suggestions for improvement. And I would have to do that on a regular basis. And

The best example of that, and to -- to truly answer, you know timing on things --

Q Do you remember my question?

that -- that was exhausting.

A Yes. You wanted to know how much time I was spending on dealing with the bullying and harassment. And I'm giving examples of how much time I'm spending on the bullying and harassment.

And that's why I was just saying, I was in the surgical ICU towards the end of my time there and I got a phone call from an attending, Richard Chen, who said that one of the Wash U anesthesia attendings for that week happened to be in the surgical ICU --

Q This is not responsive to my question.

A It is very responsive, because I'm going to
give the biggest example of how exhausting this was. He
told me there was a Wash U anesthesia attending in the
surgical ICU talking --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, can you slow

39 (Pages 496 to 499)

Page 500 Page 502 down for me. 1 Q Because you did score in the bottom five 1 2 THE WITNESS: He said --2 percent of your residency class, yes? 3 THE COURT REPORTER: Just wait one second, 3 A I don't have the document in front of me. I 4 please know that the assertion was made yesterday that I was in 4 5 (Thereupon, the previous answer was read back 5 the bottom five percent, yes. And -- and that test was to 6 by the Court Reporter as recorded above.) show knowledge base so you could do well to take and pass 6 THE WITNESS: Richard Chen -- and I'll keep 7 the boards 8 this brief. Richard Chen, one of the surgery attendings, 8 It's just like some law schools, they have 9 called me after I finished a 12 to 14 hour shift in the 9 baby bar exams where you see how you're doing and then you surgery ICU. He told me that one of the anesthesia 10 10 study and do well. Myself and Gary Hammen passed the basic 11 attendings that's normally in the cardiothoracic ICU, which 11 anesthesia boards. Every year Wash U normally has one or 12 is the anesthesia run --12 two residents fail. I passed them. 13 (Reporter clarification.) 1.3 Q So 95 percent of the other residents did THE WITNESS: Anesthesia run, was in the 14 14 better than you on that test, yes? surgical ICU that -- that day. And he was talking to 1.5 15 A That wouldn't be a statistically sound way to 16 faculty members saying that they were trying to get rid of phrase it necessarily, but -- because I believe that AKT-6 16 17 me and they should be very careful evaluating me and to try 17 test score --18 to push me out and things like that. 18 (Reporter clarification.) 19 I got this call from Richard Chen after I had THE WITNESS: AKT-6 test score. I believe that 19 20 already gone home after 12 to 14 hour shift. And Richard 20 test -- I don't remember if that was a test that was taken Chen, the attending in surgery said, you need to go back to 2.1 21 nationally or at Washington University Saint Louis alone. 22 the hospital and immediately go talk to Dan Emmert and stop 22 And I don't know if all -- and I don't know if all 23 this from becoming a problem for you in this rotation. 23 residency programs took that test. So whoever took that So I went back to the hospital, and I was 24 24 test among that cohort, I was in the fifth percentile. there, instead of leaving at 7 or 8:00, I had to go back 25 25 But, again, this was a practice test to show Page 501 Page 503 1 there, wait for Dan Emmert to finish, and I talked to him you where you stood to study for the boards. So I studied 2 around 11, 12:00. 2 and passed the boards. That's, you know, all I can say on So instead of going home at a reasonable hour, 3 that. 3 4 MR. NOLAN: Let's take a -- no, now is a good 4 eating dinner, doing studying, I was sitting there until 5 midnight trying to track him down to try to stop this. And 5 time. Let's take a short break. 6 then I went home. I got much less sleep than I would have, 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 7 and I had to go repeat. 7 approximately 12:42 p.m. 8 8 (Thereupon, a recess was taken, after which So to answer your question, the bullying and harassment, how did it effect my studying, yes, it severely 9 9 the following proceedings were had:) 10 effected my studying. And I would prefer that it didn't 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on record at 11 occur and I didn't have to do these things. 11 approximately 12:56 p.m. 12 BY MR. NOLAN: 12 BY MR. NOLAN: 13 Q And it was because you weren't able to study 13 Q Did Nicole Erter ever harass, bully or intimidate you? as much that you performed so poorly on that test, yes? 14 14 15 MR. ELSTER: Objection, foundation. 15 MR. ELSTER: Objection, compound. 16 THE WITNESS: So Nicole Erter was the HR rep. 16 (Reporter clarification.) 17 MR. ELSTER: Objection, foundation. Sorry. 17 I met with her. I don't -- I told her -- I told her --18 THE WITNESS: Because I wasn't able to study 18 so -- so let me answer your question. So I do not believe 19 as much, that was one of the reasons I did poorly on that, 19 Nicole Erter did anything to harass, bully or intimidate 20 the AKT-6, that practice test, which was a practice test 20 21 for the boards, which I did pass my boards. 21 BY MR. NOLAN: 22 BY MR. NOLAN: 22 Q Thank you. Did you record your conversation 23 Q Any other reason why you did so poorly on that 23 with Nicole Erter? 24 24 A I don't recall if I did. My apologies, I test? 25 25 don't know what the full list of what I recorded was. A Those were the primary reasons.

40 (Pages 500 to 503)

	Page 504		Page 506
1	Q Roughly when did you meet with Nicole Erter?	1	BY MR. NOLAN:
2	A I met with Nicole Erter, I believe it was the	2	Q I'm just asking you. Would you ever make,
3	winter I believe I emailed Nicole Erter that I wanted	3	knowingly make false representations to the court?
4	that I wanted to meet with her, I believe that was either	4	A I would not knowingly make false
5	December of 2017 or January of 2018 or around that time	5	representations. Can you please provide a little bit of
6	period.	6	context or I'd like to read through the motion to make sure
7	(Thereupon, Defendant Exhibit B4 was marked	7	I see what's going on.
8	for identification.)	8	Q Sure. Would you turn to Page 17 of
9	BY MR. NOLAN:	9	Exhibit B4. And could I direct your attention to the very
10	Q Okay. Hand you what's been marked as	10	last sentence on that page.
11	Exhibit B4. Do you recognize that as a brief filed on your	11	A Seventeen?
12	behalf in response to the Defendant's motion to dismiss?	12	Q Page 17.
13	A Yes. This appears to be the document filed	13	A For example, Dr. Weisman alleges that
14	November 25th, 2019. I have not looked at this document in	14	Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger are employed by Wash U but not
15	a long in years probably. Do you want me to read and	15	BJH?
16	refresh or	16	Q Yes. Is that a true statement?
17	Q No, not at this point. But did you you	17	MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the
18	read this document before, you're familiar with it?	18	question. It's asking Dr. Weisman to testify as to the
19	A I believe I read the document many years ago.	19	veracity of statements of his previous counsel, Edward
20	Q Okay. And you understand that as an attorney	20	More.
21	that when attorneys sign a brief like this, they're	21	MR. ELSTER: Also object that this motion is
22	representing to the court that it's true and accurate?	22	based on an abandoned pleading.
23	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal	23	THE WITNESS: You know, I can't comment on the
24	conclusion.	24	pleading aside from this is the text that's written
25	THE WITNESS: You know, I'm sitting here as a	25	there
	Page 505		Page 507
1	plaintiff, not an attorney on this. Ed More submitted this	1	(Reporter clarification.)
2	and did you know, Ed More submitted this document and	2	THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the pleading
3	generated this document.	3	aside from this is the text that that was written there
4	BY MR. NOLAN:	4	by my counsel.
5	Q Right. But you understand you're not supposed	5	BY MR. NOLAN:
6	to misrepresent facts to the court, yes?	6	Q And it cites to the amended complaint,
7	MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the	7	Paragraphs 14 and 15. Those allegations relate to
8	form of the question. It's vague. It's ambiguous.	8	Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger's employment, yes?
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you can you	9	MR. ELSTER: Same objection.
10	please specify what what the question is.	10	THE WITNESS: Again, I can only state what
11	BY MR. NOLAN:	11	you know, I haven't looked at this document in years. I'm
12	Q The question is you're aware that when an	12	more than happy to read the entire thing to get context,
13	attorney files something with the court, they're not	13	but the sentence is, for example, Dr. Weisman alleges that
14	supposed to make misrepresentations to the court.	14	Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger are employed by Wash U but not
15	MR. RUTTER: Same objection.	15	BJH.
16	BY MR. NOLAN:	16	BY MR. NOLAN:
17	Q Pretty simple.	17	Q Is it true?
18	A As as you stated, you know, in general,	18	MR. ELSTER: Objection, vague as to is the
19	attorneys should be honest to the court such as saying a	19	allegation true or is the citation true. What is the
20	transcript exists or doesn't exist.	20	question?
21	Q And you would never make false representations	21	THE WITNESS: What could somebody pull up
22	to the court, would you?	22	for me the citation, AC1415.
23	MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for speculation.	23	BY MR. NOLAN:
24	THE WITNESS: Could you please let me know	24	Q Sir, you read through this. You're familiar
25	what you're specifically talking about.	25	with the complaint. Isn't it true that Dr. Evers and

41 (Pages 504 to 507)

	Page 508	Page 510
1	Dr. Benzinger work for Wash U, not BJH?	1 was in charge of all anesthesia there. And I believe it's
2	MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the	2 now Dr. Avidan.
3	question. It's vague and ambiguous, and it's also asking	3 Q Right. And so back when you were with the
4	the witness to comment on the veracity of something that	4 program, Dr. Evers was in charge of that program, yes?
5	was submitted, not written by him, and it's referencing an	5 A When you say in charge, do you mean he was the
6	abandoned complaint.	6 chair of anesthesia?
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. And, you know, all all	7 Q Controlled things. In other words, people
8	that I can comment is what's written here is what's	8 reported up to him. He was, I think you said, top of the
9	written, and there's investigations ongoing into into,	9 food chain?
10	you know, discovery of the situation. So will you please	10 A Yes, from my perspective and from what I saw
11	let me know what you're getting at.	there, he was the chair of the department of anesthesia,
12	BY MR. NOLAN:	and that was what I saw when I was there. That was my
13	Q Have you seen the employment agreements, if	13 that was my understanding at that time.
14	they exist, for Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger?	14 Q Do you have the redwell of exhibits from
15	A I don't believe that the chair of anesthesia	15 yesterday?
16	or the program director ever gave me their employment	16 A Which exhibit are you referring to?
17	agreements or their corporate documents. They did not.	17 Q The redwell with exhibits from yesterday.
18	Just asked for mine. So I did not see them.	18 Okay. You took them out, all right. Well, I
19	Q So you have no personal knowledge as to any	19 was going to refer to, I think it was Exhibit A from
20	employment agreement between either of those doctors and	20 yesterday, the second amended complaint.
21	Wash U or BJH, fair?	21 MR. ELSTER: Just A?
22	MR. ELSTER: Objection, vague as to personal	22 MR. NOLAN: Yeah.
23	knowledge.	23 MR. ELSTER: Okay.
24	THE WITNESS: Well, if you're asking do I know	24 (Thereupon, a discussion was held off the
25	the specifics of Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger's employment	25 record, after which the following proceedings were had:)
	and opposition of Dr. Exercise and Dr. Exercise get a employment	
	Page 509	Page 511
1	agreement and if I've seen their employment agreements,	1 BY MR. NOLAN:
2	then the answer is I have not seen those agreements.	2 Q All right. Do you have A in front of you?
3	But I have talked to other faculty members and	3 A Okay. I have this up right now. And this is
4	residents and been told how employment in general works,	4 the second amended complaint by from October 30th of
5	that you come in as a resident, you work for Barnes, then	5 2020 .
6	you go and work for Washington University Saint Louis as a	6 Q Right. Okay. Let's look at Paragraph 1,
7	fellow, and that attendings attendings work for	7 nature of the case. You state, this is not a case based on
8	Washington University Saint Louis, hence they're professors	8 discrimination or harassment in employment or school, base
9	at Washington University Saint Louis.	9 on race, color, religion, national origin, sex, ancestry,
10	BY MR. NOLAN:	10 age or disability.
11	Q All right. My question was you don't have any	11 Did I read that first sentence together
12	personal knowledge as to any employment agreement between	12 correctly?
13	those doctors and any entity, fair?	13 A That is the sentence that is there.
14	A I have not seen their individual employment	14 Q Okay. I read it correctly?
15	agreements.	15 A Well, it's a compound sentence. This is not a
16	Q Okay. You have no idea who pays them?	16 case brought based on discrimination, harassment,
17	A As I said, I've not seen their individual	employment or school, based on race, color, religion,
18	employment agreements.	18 national origin, sex, ancestry, age or disability.
19	Q Okay. You would agree that Dr. Evers is in	19 Q Right.
20	charge of that program, right, or at least he was back when	20 A That's what the sentence says.
21	you were there?	21 Q So you would agree with me that you're not
22	A Dr. Evers was the chair of the anesthesia	basing your claims in this lawsuit on any statutory
23	department when I was at Washington University Saint	23 protection that you may have under the laws of Missouri,
	Lavia/Damas the sensentium as it was a very know itte s	24 correct?
24	Louis/Barnes, the consortium, as it was a, you know, it's a	21 00001.

42 (Pages 508 to 511)

	Page 512		Page 514
1	THE WITNESS: I would have to let my counsel	1	conspiracy.
2	answer that. My, you know, I'm I reported inappropriate	2	BY MR. NOLAN:
3	activities.	3	Q Okay. So you'd agree no Missouri Human Rights
4	BY MR. NOLAN:	4	claim, right?
5	Q Well, this is your second amended complaint.	5	A Are are you asking to make legal
6	You don't have a claim in here based on any of the	6	conclusion?
7	Missouri laws under the Missouri Human Rights Act,	7	Q Did you just read off anything that said
8	correct?	8	anything about the Missouri Human Rights Act?
9	MR. ELSTER: Same objection.	9	A I I I read off what the counts are. You
10	THE WITNESS: You know, again, my counsel put	10	know, I'm here as a plaintiff, not as my own attorney. I
11	together this complaint. Can I please be informed on what	11	am not an expert in Missouri Human Rights Act. And I'm
12	you're looking for?	12	reading off the counts that are there. I'm not an expert
13	BY MR. NOLAN:	13	in Missouri law and Human Rights Act on that.
14	Q I'm just asking, it's true, you're not	14	Q All right. Now, you would agree that you
15	asserting a claim for violation of Missouri Human Rights	15	don't fall well, strike that.
16	Act?	16	But the contract that you claim that you
17	A Well, you're you're asking me to make a	17	entered with BJH, that was between you and BJH, yes?
18	legal conclusion, so let me go and say what this complaint	18	MR. ELSTER: Vague as to which contract.
19	states.	19	THE WITNESS: Which contract are we referring
20	Q Are you going to read the whole thing?	20	to?
21	A No, sir. I just wanted to go to the counts in	21	BY MR. NOLAN:
22	the complaint. I mean, that's well, I think it's	22	Q The one dealing with the memorandum of
23	somewhere in here. I skipped by it. But there's multiple	23	appointment.
24	counts in the complaint, and that and it is it states	24	A My understanding of the memorandum of
25	what it does.	25	appointment and can we we can pull up a copy of it.
	Page 513		Page 515
1	Q Well, thank you so much for that. We all know	1	That memorandum of appointment was with the consortium.
2	that.	2	There's a consortium. There's a website that has all the
3	MR. RUTTER: Is there a question?	3	consortium documents on it. I believe we've produced those
4	BY MR. NOLAN:	4	and it would help to show them.
5	Q Yeah. And you'll agree that you're not making	5	But there's a contract but I guess the
6	a claim based on how any educational service was provided	6	consortium itself, my understanding, and, again, I just
7	to you, correct?	7	what I've seen is that there's a consortium between
8	MR. ELSTER: Objection, form.	8	Barnes-Jewish Hospital, Washington University Saint Louis
9	THE WITNESS: Can you please restate the	9	and Saint Louis Children's Hospital, and they are the
10	question?	10	training consortium. And if you go there for residency,
11	BY MR. NOLAN:	11	you are an employee and working for the consortium.
12	Q Are you making a claim in this lawsuit based	12	Q Okay. You're talking about Exhibit A to your
13	on the education you received?	13	complaint?
14	MR. ELSTER: Same objection.	14	A I believe that would be one of the
15	THE WITNESS: I'm making a complaint about	15	consortium one of the contracts.
16	breach of contract and my lab contract.	16	(Thereupon, Defendant Exhibit B5 was marked
17	BY MR. NOLAN:	17	for identification.)
	Q Okay. And we'll get into the contract.	18	BY MR. NOLAN:
18		19	Q Handing you what's been marked B5. Do you
18 19	A And damages and unfair enrichment, and, you		
	A And damages and unfair enrichment, and, you know, breach of contract, tortious interference of contract	20	recognize that document?
19	-		recognize that document? A This appears to be this appears to be a
19 20	know, breach of contract, tortious interference of contract	20	_
19 20 21	know, breach of contract, tortious interference of contract with legal expectancy.	20 21	A This appears to be this appears to be a
19 20 21 22	know, breach of contract, tortious interference of contract with legal expectancy. (Reporter clarification.)	20 21 22	A This appears to be this appears to be a memorandum of appointment dated April 29th, 2016. It seems

43 (Pages 512 to 515)

	Page 516		Page 518
1	Q All right. So at that point were were you	1	recall if
2	already a house officer when you received this?	2	Q We've got some documents, but I don't know if
3	A I was a medical student in 2016, graduating in	3	it's what you're calling the NRMP agreement.
4	May. And I believe I I don't recall exactly how the	4	A Okay. Well, I know that there's a National
5	contract was sent to us to sign, but the National Residency	5	Residency Matching Program agreement.
6	Matching Program, you go through the match and they have an	6	Q Have you produced it?
7	agreement that you agree that you will enter into contracts	7	A I produced all documents that I had to my I
8	after the match occurs. All medical students sign it and	8	produced all documents that I had to my counsel.
9	all medical centers sign it.	9	Q Did you give that one to your counsel?
10	And then once you match, you're under an	10	A I from memory right now I wouldn't be able
11	obligation to then sign a contract and then become	11	to tell you. I would have to think about it or go through
12	appointed. I don't remember the specifics of the date	12	the 60,000 pages of documents.
13	right now. I'd have to think on it. I don't remember the	13	Q Let's look at, what is that, Exhibit B5?
14	specifics of the exact date it was signed, but that	14	A B5.
15	that's roughly how the process works.	15	Q Okay. So at the point at which you would have
16	Q Okay. Let's break this down. So you filled	16	received B5, the memorandum of appointment, you had already
17	out an NRMP application?	17	entered into a contract through the NRMP program, right?
18	A Yes, I registered for ERAS, E-R-A-S, the	18	MR. ELSTER: Objection, foundation, legal
19	Electronic Residency Application System. And I also	19	conclusion as to the existence of a contract.
20	registered for the NRMP, the National Residency Matching	20	THE WITNESS: I would have to see the
21	Program. I registered with both of those. I submitted my	21	contract. I would have to see the NRMP that we signed to
22	applications in September of	22	be able to comment on it.
23	Q I just asked you if you	23	BY MR. NOLAN:
24	A Yeah.	24	Q Okay. Well, in other words, this is your
25	Q Okay. So you filled out that application to	25	claim. It's your you've got a claim for a contract. Is
	Page 517		Page 519
1	be part of the matching program, right?	1	it based on this NRMP agreement?
2	A That is correct.	2	MR. ELSTER: Same objections.
3	Q And you understood that that meant that if you	3	THE WITNESS: Well, again, I'd I'd have to
4	were selected for a match, that you were bound to commit to	4	see the NRMP contract to answer questions on it. I believe
5	that program, right?	5	I signed an NRMP match agreement and then I signed the
6	A That that is what the NRMP contract is.	6	Barnes-Jewish Hospital consortium of Wash U, Barnes and
7	Q Okay. So that's it was a binding	7	Saint Louis Children's memorandum of appointment to health
8	commitment, right?	8	staff.
9	MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent that's a	9	BY MR. NOLAN:
10	legal conclusion on a contract.	10	Q Okay. So it was your understanding that once
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah. You know, again, I'm	11	you were selected, once you received the match for the
12	not you know, I signed the NRMP agreement.	12	BJH/Wash U residency, anesthesia residency program, you
13	BY MR. NOLAN:	13	were bound to it, you you had to enter that program
14	Q Okay. Well, at that point did the N did	14	contractually?
15	that NRMP agreement detail what the terms of any contract	15	MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.
16	that you might enter with the residency program would	16	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I I can't
17	entail?	17	comment on the legal nature of the contract, but my
18	A I haven't looked at the NRMP agreement in a	18	understanding was you signed up for the match, and once
19	long time. I believe I looked at it I might have looked	19	you're assigned to a program, you then enter into a
20	at it briefly when I signed up.	20	contract with them, which I believe I did with the
	Q Did you produce a copy of it in the lawsuit?	21	Barnes/Wash U/Saint Louis Children's Hospital consortium.
21			·
	A I don't recall if one of the 60,000 pages was	22	BY MR. NOLAN:
21		22 23	BY MR. NOLAN: Q Well, when you became contractually obligated
21 22	A I don't recall if one of the 60,000 pages was	l .	

44 (Pages 516 to 519)

Page 520 Page 522 1 have to be a part of that program? 1 they did things was dependent on different circumstances, 2 MR. ELSTER: Objection, foundation. 2 but you were a member of the consortium. 3 THE WITNESS: I'm a little confused what BY MR NOI AN: 4 you're asking with that Q Well, you would agree whatever it was, it was 5 BY MR. NOLAN: going to take longer than a year, right? 5 Q Was it -- were you obligated for five years or 6 6 A Well, in general, all residency training takes 7 7 six years or as long as the program took? longer than one year. That is in general how it works. 8 MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. 8 Q Okay. Did you get a signed writing to any 9 THE WITNESS: Well, my -- you know, legally, 9 contract from BJH relative to the residency program? 10 A I believe I did receive a contract or again, I can't state the terms of the contract. My 1.0 11 understanding with match was you were assigned to the 11 memorandum of appointment at one point. I don't recall if 12 12 it was something that was emailed or given to us in person residency program to complete it. 13 If you matched into internal medicine, you 13 once we were there. would do three years of internal medicine. If you matched 14 14 Q I said a signed contract from BJH. A I haven't looked at the contracts and don't 1.5 into plastic surgery, you would do six years of training. 1.5 16 If you matched into dermatology, you'd do four years of 16 recall the exact process. I -- I could go through the 17 17 emails from that time period. I believe Sharon Stark was BY MR. NOLAN: 18 sending us information. 18 19 Q How about if you matched into anesthesiology? 19 Q Well, the memorandum agreement which you 20 A Well, in general if you matched into 20 attached to your second amended complaint, that doesn't have a signature from anyone at BJH, does it? anesthesiology, you would do four years of training. 2.1 21 22 Q How about your program? 22 A I'm reading it right now. Give me one second. A I believe my participation my program, my 23 I believe this says your -- the very last page 2.3 24 program was a combined residency fellowship program. I 24 says, your signature on the house staff memorandum of believe, and I -- I don't have the full document in front 25 appointment: Acceptance letter mailed to you indicates 2.5 Page 521 Page 523 of me, but I believe you did a portion of time as a Barnes 1 acknowledgment and agreement to the terms of the 2 resident and then did another portion of time as a 2 Barnes-Jewish Hospital memorandum of appointment to the house officers and the GME consortium operating principles Washington University Saint Louis fellow. 3 3 Q Okay. And how long would that take? 4 as described herein. 4 Q Okay. Do you remember my question? 5 A I believe -- I would need to see the ASAP 5 6 program. I believe the residency training -- the component 6 A What was your question again? of time when you were viewed to be a resident, I believe 7 Q Is it signed by anyone at BJH? 8 that was roughly -- I'm sorry, it would be very helpful to 8 A I do not see a signature on this particular document. 9 see the ASAP outline. 9 1.0 (Reporter clarification.) 1.0 Q Okay. Do you have any other copy which has a THE WITNESS: Sorry. It would be very helpful signature from somebody at BJH? 11 11 12 to see the ASAP outline. But basically -- but I believe it 12 A I would have to check to see if any of the 60 13 was something in the two to two and-a-half year period that 13 plus thousand pages of discovery has a signature. I mean, you would be a Barnes-Jewish Hospital resident. 14 it's very -- it's very clear that we've entered into a 14 And I believe -- and I -- I was talking to one 15 contract and acted upon it by me going there working, them 15 of the senior MD Ph.D.'s that had gone through the program, paying me, and it was the same contract that everybody else 16 16 17 entered into, the hundreds of residents that started. 17 and when they were transitioning over, they then, I guess, 18 Q Did you ever sign anything in relation to that 18 were transitioned over to being a Washington University Saint Louis fellow in the consortium was the way that their 19 19 memorandum of appointment? 20 pay would go. 20 A When I started the residency program, and 21 But -- but my understanding was at all times 2.1 before I started the residency program, we were given 22 you were a part of the consortium. You were going -- you 22 dozens of documents to sign. I believe I signed and 23 were going there to be educated at the Barnes/Wash U/Saint 23 returned the documents that were given to me.

45 (Pages 520 to 523)

Fax: 314.644.1334

Q Do you have a copy of a memorandum agreement

24

25

with your signature on it?

Louis Children's Hospital consortium.

And the -- the way you were paid or the way

24

25

Page 526 Page 524 A I would have to go through the documents to 1 MR. NOLAN: B6? 2 see if it's -- to see where it is. But, again, this was 2 MR. ELSTER: Yeah, labeled confidential. 3 from the spring of 2016 after you match. You start 3 MR. NOLAN: Oh, sure. Thank you. 4 receiving tons of documents from Sharon Stark, from Barnes, 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. All right. So I see a copy of the acceptance for the house staff hospital 5 from Wash U for employment, for credentialing, all the 5 types of checks that you need to do to start a new job. memorandum of appointment. So your question, I believe, 7 They send you lots of documents, so you sign was did I ever sign it? BY MR. NOLAN: 8 them and send them back. All the copies that I had 8 9 retained I gave over and I assume have been uploaded to the 9 Q Yes. 60,000 pages of discovery. I -- I would assume that 1.0 A I believe that I signed all documents that 1.0 11 every -- I would assume that all documents were filled out 11 were given to me. And the reason I believe it is because I 12 properly since I was allowed to start working there and we 12 began my employment at Barnes and we both -- both parties 13 both acted upon the agreement of the consortium contract. 13 executed the contract. Q Do you remember my question? 14 14 Q Do you see at the bottom on page, the first 15 A You're asking me if I had a signed agreement 15 page, it says keep one for your records and return one 16 in front of me 16 signed appointment letter to the GME office by May 27, 17 Q Not in front of you. Do you have one at all? 17 18 A You know. I -- the answer is I don't recall. 18 A I see where it says that, keep one for your 19 I believe I signed all documents, but I don't recall. 19 records, return one signed appointment letter to the GME 20 (Thereupon, Defendant Exhibit B6 was marked 20 office by May 27th, 2016. That would have been before I for identification.) started the residency training. I believe orientation was 2.1 2.1 22 BY MR. NOLAN: 22 at the beginning of June. 23 Q Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit B6. 23 I would assume that there was a -- I would Represent to you this is a document which Barnes-Jewish 24 24 assume that I would have signed these documents or they Hospital produced in this lawsuit. Have you ever seen it 25 wouldn't have let me start. So I'm -- you know, again, I 25 Page 525 Page 527 1 before? 1 signed all documents that were given to me --2 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry? 2 Q I'm not asking for your assumption. Do you 3 recall signing this? 3 MR. ELSTER: I was clarifying. THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm reading this. This 4 A As I said, to the best of my memory, I recall 4 is a -- this is a house staff hospital memorandum of 5 signing all documents that were given to me and returning 5 6 appointment acceptance, dated April 28th, 2016, name 6 them. Jeffery Weisman, PGY level. 7 Q Do you recall signing this one? 8 THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just --8 A I believe I signed all documents. I mean, 9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sincerely sorry. 9 they wouldn't have let me -- I would be surprised if they 1.0 THE COURT REPORTER: I know you are. Can you 10 would let me start working there and then we both honor the contract if there wasn't a signed version of it at some 11 repeat that please 11 12 THE WITNESS: This is a Barnes-Jewish Hospital 12 13 House Staff Hospital Memorandum of Appointment: 13 Q Would you look at the next page. Do you see Acceptance, from April 28th, 2016, with my name on it, with the handwritten note, he never returned a signed copy of 14 14 PGY 1 level, department of anesthesiology/case ASAP, 15 this appointment letter? 15 A-S-A-P. And I'm just reading it right now since it's --16 A Okay. Is this -- is there a date on this hand 16 17 one second. 17 not or where this is from? Q Well, there's a handwritten note at the top 18 BY MR. NOLAN: 18 Q You can just read it quietly to yourself. And 19 that says 2016/2017. 19 20 the question is have you ever seen it. 20 A Well, that's an academic year. Is this 21 MR. ELSTER: Did you want this marked 21 something that was created once litigation began and 22 confidential? 22 somebody put this in my file? What's the -- what's the 23 MR. NOLAN: What's that? 23 authenticity of this document? I don't know. This is -- I

46 (Pages 524 to 527)

Fax: 314.644.1334

could take a piece of paper right now and write 2016/2017,

never returned a signed copy of the appointment letter.

24

2.5

confidential?

MR. ELSTER: Did you want this marked

24

25

Page 528 Page 530 1 So, you know, I have no idea what the 1 BY MR. NOLAN: 2 authenticity is. Is this stamped, is it filed, is it 2 Q No, I'm not talking about that. I'm talking 3 numbered? Is there -- are there electronic scans in my 3 about the point which you received your match to go enter 4 file? I'm just asking. the anesthesiology program. What were the terms of the 4 Q All right. Thank you so much. Thank you. 5 5 agreement between you and BJH at that point? 6 A All right. Well, I'll represent to you I've MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. 6 7 7 never seen a copy with your signature on it, and you all THE WITNESS: As I said, my -- my 8 didn't produce a copy with your signature on it. Can you 8 understanding was once you matched, you entered into the 9 explain to me how that would be possible if you did in fact 9 memorandum of appointment. And I believe, thinking back, 10 sign this? 10 I'm just thinking back to my interview days, I believe that 11 MR. ELSTER: Objection --11 when you interview at these medical centers, and I know MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls --12 12 many did, if not all, they'd give you a copy of the 13 MR. ELSTER: -- speculation. 13 memorandum of appointment in your interview packet. So --14 THE WITNESS: I mean, you're asking me to so I believe that's in there at some point. 14 speculate. I mean, again, I -- I stated I believe that I 1.5 15 BY MR. NOLAN: 16 filed every single document that was required for me to 16 Q Have you produced that? 17 begin working there. And I, in fact, did begin working 17 A I produced all documents that I had. 18 there, and both parties began the contract and honored the 18 Q I'll represent to you I've not seen anything 19 contract. You know, we started the contract I would say. 19 in a membership packet from you in your production. 20 BY MR. NOLAN: 20 A Well, I guess I would just say that, you know, Q Now, you had already contractually obligated 2.1 21 again, any documents that I had kept -- this would have 22 yourself to enter the anesthesiology program right? 22 been, this document or interview packet would have been 23 MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. 23 from the 2015/2016 interview season. And I interviewed 24 THE WITNESS: Well, when you say -- when you 24 with many programs. And I'm certain, you know, anything say that, are -- are you stating that I had signed the 25 that I kept I would have handed over. Page 529 Page 531 National Residency Match Program agreement, that I would --1 But I will say if you're looking for that 2 that I would be matched to the program and I would enter 2 protocol, you can talk to your client. They give out into a binding agreement? 3 3 interview packets all the time that have copies of a BY MR. NOLAN: memorandum of appointment, as well as everything else, all 4 4 Q Yeah. Were you bound to enter the program? the information you'll need when you arrive there, or basic 5 5 6 MR. ELSTER: Same objection. 6 information 7 THE WITNESS: You know, again, I've not done a 7 (Thereupon, Defendant Exhibit B7 was marked 8 legal analysis on this --8 for identification.) THE COURT REPORTER: I got it. I got it. BY MR. NOLAN: 9 9 1.0 THE WITNESS: I have not done a legal analysis 10 Q Handing you a copy of what's been marked as on this, but my understanding was that once you matched, B7. This came from your production. Do you recognize this 11 11 you were supposed to then -- that both parties were to 12 document? 12 13 supposed to enter the program and move forward and --13 A This seems to be an email from the National BY MR. NOLAN: 14 Residency Match Program, sent January 14th of 2016, 14 Q Okay. So at that point, once you matched with 15 subject, rankings open tomorrow, resources and reminder. 15 the program, anesthesiology program, what the terms of the This seems to be an automatically generated email from the 16 16 agreement between you and about BJH at that point in time? 17 National Residency Match Program to doctors that were 17 MR. ELSTER: Same objection. 18 18 registered with them. THE WITNESS: Well. I -- I believe once --19 19 Q Okay. Do you see under important reminders in 20 well, you're asking me to make a legal conclusion. I mean, 20 the third bullet point it says, quote, listing a program on 2.1 all I can say is that there's a National Residency Match 2.1 a certified rank order list establishes a binding 22 Program agreement, and you then enter -- and then you also 22 commitment, so rank only those programs where you 23 enter into the hospital memorandum of appointment, which I 23 interviewed and are willing and able to train. 2.4 did for the consortium. 24 Did I read that correctly? 25 25 A That is what the text says.

47 (Pages 528 to 531)

Page 534 Page 532 1 Q Is that what you're talking about in terms of 1 participating or medical centers from participating for 2 the NRMP application contract? 2 either a lifetime ban or a number of years. 3 A Well, I believe there's multiple contracts 3 So it's a very -- so because of the antitrust that are involved here. I don't know if this going to 4 monopoly power they have as the one center, and the Sherman 4 5 cover all of them, but when you sign up to use a website 5 Antitrust Act allowing them to have that, those agreements like ERAS or the AAMC or the National Residency Matching are generally taken relatively seriously. I know there are 6 6 7 7 agreements that exist. Program, there may be multiple contracts that you have to 8 8 Q Okay. So as this email from the NM -- the 9 9 NRMP, which has been labeled Exhibit B7, as it indicates, I know ERAS, for example, has a few user 10 agreements and contracts to use that. And I believe the 10 you were, you had a binding commitment to enter the 11 NRMP does. I don't believe or remember if I downloaded 11 anesthesiology program once you were selected for it, true? 12 those or if you -- or where they are at the moment. 12 MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. 1.3 But I, you know, I signed and filled out all 1.3 THE WITNESS: That was my understanding as a medical student at the time agreements required to participate in the match, and I 14 14 believe in fact did because I went through the match. I 15 BY MR. NOLAN: 15 Q Okay. was matched somewhere and I started working somewhere. 16 16 17 Q Okay. I'm trying to understand what the terms 17 A Once -- once you matched, you had a legal 18 are of any contract between you and BJH. You all didn't 18 obligation to go there. 19 produce any NRMP contract to my knowledge. So where --19 Q So you didn't have the opportunity to then 20 where can I find the terms of that agreement? 20 later say hey, I'd like to negotiate the terms of this 2.1 A Well, I would strongly suspect the National 2.1 agreement between us, right? 22 Residency Matching Program would have their contracts and 22 A Well, which agreement are we referring to? 23 agreements there. 23 Q Any agreement after that. You were stuck, 24 Q Do you know what they are? 24 riaht? 25 MR. ELSTER: Objection, foundation. 25 A Well, I gave over all documents that I had, Page 533 Page 535 and I would strongly suspect that the National Residency 1 (Reporter clarification.) 1 2 Matching Program would have a document retention policy and 2 MR. ELSTER: Foundation, and legal conclusion. 3 would likely have those if -- if you're looking for it. BY MR. NOLAN: 3 Q Do you know what the terms are? Q Right? 4 A I haven't looked at that document since 2015, 5 A Well, there -- even though the NRMP had the 5 6 2016. I, you know, from my memory, I -- I would really 6 match and they obligated you to go somewhere, it was very 7 need to see it to refresh. I can't comment on it really 7 frequent that before ranking other programs, there would be 8 without refreshing on it. 8 discussions among residents with programs about the terms 9 Q All right. But it's your belief that there's 9 of their -- of their employment and things that would 1.0 some written contract called the NMR -- NRMP contract? 10 MR. ELSTER: Objection to the extent it's a Q Once the NRMP matched you with the 11 11 12 12 anesthesiology program, you were obligated to go to it no legal conclusion THE WITNESS: You know, my -- my belief just 13 matter what that program entailed, yes? 13 as a layperson is that there is a medical student, there is MR. ELSTER: Same objections. 14 14 a contract. And, in fact, I know there are contracts and 15 THE WITNESS: Well, what I -- what I would say 15 is while you were obligated to go there, you were not 16 agreements for the following reason, it regularly occurs in 16 the course of events that residents wants to get out of the 17 17 obligated to rank a program highly or first so that you 18 match contract. Perhaps they've matched a part of the 18 would end up actually going there. BY MR. NOLAN: 19 country and they suddenly have a sick parent and they have 19 20 to go to the National Residency Matching Program with the 20 Q No, but once you were matched with the 2.1 program to file an appeal to release the agreement. 21 program, you had to go there, right? 22 If they don't so, then there's implications 22 A Once you -- once you --23 either for the resident that doesn't show up, or if the 23 MR. ELSTER: Same objection. program decides not to take a resident, the National 24 THE WITNESS: -- were matched with the 24 25 Residency Matching Program can ban residents from 25 program, you had to go there, but you would not rank them

48 (Pages 532 to 535)

Page 538 Page 536 1 high enough to match unless you had come to an agreement 1 BY MR. NOLAN: 2 that you wanted to be there. So if that -- and I hope I'm 2 Q Handing you what's been marked B8. That's an 3 explaining that well. 3 email from the NRMP. It's to you. Do you recognize that 4 But that was -- there -- you know, you could 4 5 talk to programs and negotiate different aspects, you know, 5 A I'm reading it right now. It's from the learn and negotiate what was going on there and you would National Residency Matching Program, February 25th, 2016 to 6 not rank them unless that program met your expectations. 7 me. It is confirmation of a certified rank order list. 8 And then once you matched, you would go there, 8 And the way that the National Residency Match 9 but certainly through any prior agreements, those would be 9 Program operated, you would -- you could get access to honored. 10 10 their website, but you would have to certify your match 11 BY MR. NOLAN: 11 order list by a certain day and time. And after that time, 12 Q I'm not talking about that. I'm talking about 12 you were unable to change the rank order list for the 13 if you list a program and you're then matched with that 1.3 14 program, according to your understanding of the terms of (Thereupon, Defendant Exhibit B9 was marked 14 the agreement, you had to go there no matter what, yes? 1.5 15 for identification.) 16 A Well, the answer to that is actually no. The 16 BY MR. NOLAN: 17 answer to that is actually no in a sense because, well, Q Handing you what's been marked as B9. Is that 17 yes, in general you're obligated to go there. You could 18 18 another email from the NRMP to you? 19 always file an appeal to not go there with the NRMP if A I believe that is an email from the NRMP to 19 20 there was an issue or something going on I suppose. 20 me, Monday, February 20th, 2017, confirmation of a So there were -- there were theoretical safety 2.1 21 certified rank order list. And it says that I certified a

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Page 537

memorandum of appointment be revoked if there was something seriously inappropriate going on. And I've -- I've seen or heard of situations that that would fit those types of categories.

valves in different directions in terms of ranking somebody

one, which -- ranking somebody highly, which both parties

would have to do, or in terms of being able to request that

they agree -- that the NRMP contract to sign your

Q Okay. Would you agree with me that the moment you were matched with the anesthesiology program, you had to go there no matter what unless you filed an appeal?

A Well, I would say -- I would say that I would have had to go there unless I filed an appeal. Or if I didn't file an appeal and I didn't want to go there, I would risk being within breach of the NRMP, so I would have to make a decision on that type of situation on how to handle it.

Q All right.

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

1.0

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A As any other resident would do in those situations.

Q When did you receive your match to the anesthesiology program?

A I don't recall the exact date. I believe match date was in March of 2016. Most medical schools do a match day or event. Wash U does as well. You go up on stage, you open an envelope. They invite family and friends. It's a big happy event.

(Thereupon, Defendant Exhibit B8 was marked for identification.)

Page 539

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q So at what point did you become obligated to join the anesthesiology program?

THE WITNESS: On Monday, February 20th, 2017

MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.
THE WITNESS: Well, I -- I think there's a
confusion in your question, and I'm going to explain it.
So when you become -- so the way the process works is you
can log into the National Residency Matching Program and

certify your rank order list, and you can also log in another time and certify your rank order list. It's an electronic system. You can go in.

list on Monday, February 20th, 2017 at 1:0 --

(Reporter clarification.)

at 1:09 p.m. Eastern Standard time.

If you ranked, you know, University of
Pittsburgh Number 19 and you want to move them up to 18 or
Number 20, you can log in later and do that. There's a
certain deadline where you're unable to change anything.
And once that deadline happens, the system locks out.

My understanding — again, I'm not an expert in the NRMP, but my understanding is once the system is closed and the medical students and the medical centers have put in their rank order list, the system doesn't let anybody in.

And the National Residency Matching Program runs an algorithm and they certify the algorithm and the match. And at point in March they let you know when you match.

49 (Pages 536 to 539)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Page 540

Now, they don't let you know -- there's a -- there's a point in March at the beginning of match week where they let you know that you have matched. They send you an email that either says you have matched or you have not matched.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

24

25

If you've matched, they do not let you know, I believe on that Monday at noon what the -- where you went. It's a secret because they do match day ceremonies, and it's tradition and custom.

But if they say you haven't matched, then they have a supplement application system called the SOAP. It used to be called the SCRAMBLE. And then you'll go through that supplemental application system where you'll try to scramble into open seats that are still there.

So the question is when was the fact -- when was the point that they ran the algorithm. You would have to ask the NRMP on the exact date and time they ran the algorithm and locked that in.

I know I was notified of them on match day in March of 2016 is when I was notified you have matched this individual program. I know on that Monday, I believe, or the beginning of the week, I was told that I have matched. And then sometime between the final rank order submission date and that email you have matched, I know that the NRMP had run the algorithm to put things together.

Page 542

- application?
 - A Yes, I believe that is my NRMP application.
- Q That's B10?
 - A Bravo 1-0.
- Q Okay. So at some point in March of 2016 you were matched with the anesthesiology program, and at that
- point you were obligated to attend there, fair?

MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: You know, again, the legalities

of it, I was just a med student in the process. I wasn't counseled for any of these organizations. But my

 $12\,$ $\,$ understanding was once I was notified in March, then I was

matched with a program and I -- and I was set to attend and they were set to take me.

15 BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Obligated, right?

MR. ELSTER: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: When I say obligated, I say that
just as a medical student. My understanding is that they
were meant to take me.

21 BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Okay. Well, thereafter, between then -between then and the date when you received what's been marked as B6, did you talk with anyone at BJH regarding the terms of the program?

Page 541

So that -- that's my understanding as a med student. You know, I -- I do not work for the National Residency Matching Program, but that's my understanding as a medical student how the process works. And I know -- and that's what I know of it.

Q When were you matched with the anesthesiology program?

A Well, when I knew that I was matched or when they notified me?

Q When you knew.

A I knew I was matched a day in March of 2016 is when I went up on stage and I opened my envelope and it said Washington University/BJC consortium, anesthesia ASAP program. And I, you know, opened the envelope in front of everybody. That was when I knew it was -- that's when I fully knew it was with Washington University/BJC's consortium.

Q And I'm sorry, you said that that was in March of '16?

A I believe that was in March of 2016.

(Thereupon, Defendant Exhibit B10 was marked for identification.)

23 BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Okay. I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit B10. Do you understand that's your NRMP

Page 543

A I would -- I would have to refresh my memory.

I believe right after the match we received lots of emails and contact by people in the program. I don't remember the exact dates and times, but there was lots of documents to sign and conversations to be had as they wanted you to come in, in my case to come in and do research.

So I would have to go through and refresh myself on the exact sequence of events. I believe this document, the House Staff Hospital Memorandum Appointment, was dated April 28th, 2016.

Q Okay.

A And match was in, I believe, the beginning or mid-March of 2016.

Q Did you negotiate the stipend amount with anyone from BJH?

A Well, I -- let's see. I did not negotiate the stipend amount dollar by dollar here, but I -- one way residents negotiated their stipend amount is by voting with rank lists. If someone is not paying well enough, you don't rank them well.

Q The memorandum of appointment, which is an exhibit to your complaint, did you negotiate the terms of any of these -- of this with anyone from BJH?

A This memorandum of appointment, I believe, was the standardized memorandum of appointment. I don't recall

50 (Pages 540 to 543)

	Page 544		Page 546
1	negotiating any terms of this memorandum here.	1	Q When it refers to house officer, that's you,
2	Q Okay. They just hand it to you, or email it	2	right?
3	to you, no negotiation at all, right?	3	A I was considered a house officer.
4	A Well, related to the to the terms in this	4	Q Not BJH, right?
5	memorandum of appointment. But I did have negotiations	5	A Again, I I was considered a house officer.
6	with them prior to this that I would bring my lab up and	6	Are you asking me to interrupt the contract here about
7	they would support me, that I would never have ranked them	7	BJH's responsibilities in it?
8	at the top of my list. I I would have gone to	8	Q I'm just saying, your understanding of
9	Vanderbilt or Stanford or other places that offered me	9	Section 16 when it refers to house officers, they're
10	support for my lab group.	10	talking about residents like you, not BJH, right?
11	Q At this point if you would have gotten up to	11	A Well, you're you're asking me to interpret
12	Saint Louis and decided you know what, Saint Louis just	12	the contract. It's giving house officer responsibility,
13	isn't for me, do you feel that you would have been	13	but it also, for example, in Section I, it says to fully
14	contractually obligated to stay in the program even though	14	cooperate with the program, school of medicine and
15	you wanted to leave?	15	hospital
16	MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion.	16	(Reporter clarification.)
17	THE WITNESS: Well, you're asking me again a	17	THE WITNESS: Sorry. It says to fully
18	legal conclusion. I would say that as a as a medical	18	cooperate with program, school of medicine and hospital in
19	student or entering resident, if I came to a new hospital	19	coordinating and completing documentation required by the
20	and there were certain problems, then I may very well feel	20	RRC, ACGME hospital, school of medicine, department and/or
21	that I didn't want to start or that I wanted to leave, I	21	program, including but not limited to so legible and timely
22	believe there's some allowances for that in the National	22	completion of patient medical records and charts.
23	Residency Match Program for problems at hospitals or things	23	So it seems that there's a joint requirement
24	that are going on that are inappropriate.	24	to have parties working together to complete ACGME
25	The National Residency Match Program, I	25	documentation.
	Page 545		Page 547
1	believe, and I'd have to look at it, but I believe that	1	BY MR. NOLAN:
2	after 30 days you may be able to not violate the National	2	Q Sir, please listen to my question very
3	Residency Match Program and be disciplined by them if you	3	carefully, okay? Do you see in the heading for Section 16
4	leave and something inappropriate is going on at a	4	in bold print the two words house officers? Do you see
5	hospital.	5	that?
6	I'd have to check, I don't recall if it's 30,	6	A I see where it says responsibilities of house
7	60 or 90 days, but I do believe that they're that there	7	officers.
8	are some protocols in there for arriving someplace and	8	Q No. Do you see the two words, house officers?
8 9	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond	9	Q No. Do you see the two words, house officers?A I see the two words house officers.
	•		•
9	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond	9	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it
9 10	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's	9	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it
9 10 11	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to	9 10 11	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to
9 10 11 12	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number	9 10 11 12	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH?
9 10 11 12 13	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years.	9 10 11 12 13	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a
9 10 11 12 13	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN:	9 10 11 12 13 14	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this
9 10 11 12 13 14	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. Would you turn to the Memorandum of	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this contract, but my understanding is that the obligations for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. Would you turn to the Memorandum of Appointment, Section 16.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this contract, but my understanding is that the obligations for things such as complying with federal laws in ACGME
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. Would you turn to the Memorandum of Appointment, Section 16. A Okay.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this contract, but my understanding is that the obligations for things such as complying with federal laws in ACGME accreditation standards is by both parties of the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. Would you turn to the Memorandum of Appointment, Section 16. A Okay. Q You see there it says responsibilities of	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this contract, but my understanding is that the obligations for things such as complying with federal laws in ACGME accreditation standards is by both parties of the agreement.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. Would you turn to the Memorandum of Appointment, Section 16. A Okay. Q You see there it says responsibilities of house officers. And it's your understanding that that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this contract, but my understanding is that the obligations for things such as complying with federal laws in ACGME accreditation standards is by both parties of the agreement. Q That's not my question. I'm asking you do you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. Would you turn to the Memorandum of Appointment, Section 16. A Okay. Q You see there it says responsibilities of house officers. And it's your understanding that that would refer to you, true?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this contract, but my understanding is that the obligations for things such as complying with federal laws in ACGME accreditation standards is by both parties of the agreement. Q That's not my question. I'm asking you do you understand the two words house officers
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. Would you turn to the Memorandum of Appointment, Section 16. A Okay. Q You see there it says responsibilities of house officers. And it's your understanding that that would refer to you, true? A Well, let me read this. One second.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this contract, but my understanding is that the obligations for things such as complying with federal laws in ACGME accreditation standards is by both parties of the agreement. Q That's not my question. I'm asking you do you understand the two words house officers A I understand house officers.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there being a problem in the place you've arrived beyond just trying to break the NRMP contract. I believe there's also some protocols with the NRMP, but, again, I'd have to research those, and I have not looked at those in a number of years. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Okay. Would you turn to the Memorandum of Appointment, Section 16. A Okay. Q You see there it says responsibilities of house officers. And it's your understanding that that would refer to you, true? A Well, let me read this. One second. Okay. I've refreshed myself and quickly read	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I see the two words house officers. Q Okay. Well, focus on those two words. Is it your understanding that that applies to the residents or to BJH? A Well, my understanding per you know, from a legal perspective, I'm not giving a legal analysis of this contract, but my understanding is that the obligations for things such as complying with federal laws in ACGME accreditation standards is by both parties of the agreement. Q That's not my question. I'm asking you do you understand the two words house officers A I understand house officers. Q to refer to residents or BJH?

51 (Pages 544 to 547)

2.1

1.0

Page 548

here, but it seems that where it talks about house officers, many of these obligations require two parties to be able to fulfill these obligations.

Q So are you saying that house officers is referring to BJH?

1.0

1.5

2.5

2.2

A You're -- you're asking me to make a legal conclusion, I believe. And I, you know, and that's, I believe, for the lawyers to determine what every word in this contract legally means.

Q I'm asking you for your understanding.

A My understanding is, I'm saying that my understanding is this contract applies to both BJH, the consortium, and the house officers or medical residents that are coming in.

Q You're calling it a contract. You didn't negotiate this or bargain for this.

MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion, a contract.

You can answer subject to that.

THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not -- not going to make a legal conclusion on it. I -- I just, I said this was a contract. There's lots of contracts that have come in a wide variety of forms. And I'll let the lawyers discuss what those contracts are and what they mean.

Page 550

memorandum of appointment that when you were using computers you were only supposed to use it under your unique user ID that was assigned to you, correct?

A I used those computers under the unique user ID assigned to me.

Q You were not supposed to use a computer relative to someone else's unique user ID assigned to them, correct?

A Well, I think there's two things to note here to answer your question, one, I used user -- I used my user ID to access the computers. And I know you're going to ask me next about Richard Benzinger's, looking at Richard Benzinger's emails. I was logged into that computer under my unique ID.

But I will note that it was very much standard and custom that if you were sitting in a PACU or post-op area or, you know, a station on the wards, very often if somebody was using a computer, somebody else would come by and say hey, can you look up a patient for me or do you mind if I come and sit down and just look up a patient on the EMR

So it was very much custom that there was lots of interchangeability of people using computers quickly to be able to provide efficient patient care, which I think is important.

Page 549

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Let's look at Subsection J, under the -- under the heading of responsibilities of house officers. Do you see in Section J it says use computers and internet technology in support of patient care and education in a responsible manner and in compliance with applicable policies and regulations of the hospital and other regulatory bodies utilizing only the unique user ID assigned to you.

Did I read that correctly?

A Let me go read this again myself. Computers and internet technology in support of patient care and education in a responsible manner and in compliance with applicable policies and regulations of the hospital, and other regulatory bodies utilizing only the unique user ID assigned to you. So that is what the words on the page say.

Q So did I read it correctly?

- A You read word for word what's written there.
- Q Thanks. A simple yes would do, okay, and probably would be a lot quicker.

MR. ELSTER: Objection, badgering the witness.
MR. RUTTER: Is that a question?

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q So you understood that it was part of this

Page 551

And you also noted that in a -- as you read, in support of patient care and education in a responsible manner, which I've always done, and in compliance with applicable policy and regulations of the hospital and other regulatory bodies, which in my opinion I've always done and followed.

Q Okay. And you're familiar with Missouri's computer tampering statute, right?

A Well, I would let my lawyers make legal conclusions on it, but I understand there's a statute in Missouri called the Missouri Computer Tampering Statue.

Q Right. And you -- put it this way, down below Section J it says, failure of the house officer to comply with any of the responsibilities set forth above shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action up to and including suspension or termination from the program.

Did I read that correctly?

A You read failure -- you read failure of the house officer to comply with any responsibilities set forth above --

THE COURT REPORTER: I can't -THE WITNESS: Sorry. You -- you read -- you read the statement which started failure of the house officer to comply with any of the responsibilities set forth above shall constitute grounds for disciplinary

52 (Pages 548 to 551)

Page 554 Page 552 1 action, up to and including suspension or termination from 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not giving a legal 2 the program. 2 conclusion on it, but I did not take -- I did not 3 BY MR. NOLAN: 3 improperly take data or improperly access Richard 4 Q So I read it correctly? 4 Benzinger's account. BY MR NOI AN: 5 A You read what was -- you read what was right 5 6 there. Q And it says up in Section B of this section Q Thank you. Just say yes. 7 7 that you're supposed to comply with all applicable state, 8 MR. RUTTER: Is there a question? 8 federal and local laws, as well as standards required to 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you for -- for pointing it 9 maintain accreditation by the ACGME, right? out. Yes, you read that exactly as is there. A And that's what's stated there, and I -- I 10 1.0 11 BY MR. NOLAN: 11 very -- I very much did. As I said, I saw inappropriate 12 Q Okay. So for you to utilize a computer using 12 behavior. You asked about that earlier, and I believe we 13 Dr. Benzinger's unique user ID, that would be in violation 13 discussed that at length in the very beginning of the deposition when I said I felt there was inappropriate 14 of this memorandum, correct? 14 MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion. behavior and there's a question on what laws were being 1.5 1.5 16 THE WITNESS: You're -- you're asking me --16 violated 17 MR. ELSTER: Hold on. Hold on. Objection, 17 And what I said was, I guess what I should 18 legal conclusion and as to material breach of contract. 18 have stressed is I can't give a legal conclusion on it, but 19 THE WITNESS: Well, you're --19 when you were asking about the FCA and other things, while 20 MR. RUTTER: Hold on, Jeff. Objection, 20 I can't give a legal conclusion, I can see that I saw assumes facts not in evidence. harassment, I saw disability discrimination, I saw USERRA 2.1 2.1 22 THE WITNESS: You're asking me to speculate 22 discrimination. And I felt those things were improper and here. I, you know, I can't make a legal conclusion on 23 I wanted to give them to attorneys to further investigate. 2.3 24 this, but I never used Richard Benzinger's unique ID. It 24 So to go back and answer again your question was my computer. It was a common terminal that I've logged 25 from the beginning, I saw what I thought was improper 25 Page 553 Page 555 into with my log-in and password. And that's what I was 1 activities, and, you know, did what I -- what I thought was 1 2 2 proper and what was in fact proper to -- to act usina. 3 I never took his password. I never forwarded professionally and appropriately and do everything 3 an email. You know that's -- and not to mention this, but 4 4 properly. you said failure to comply, etc. Based on custom and 5 And as we were digging around, I believe Alex 5 6 culture, it was very clear that, one, what I did was 6 Evers actually told me one time that he had an issue with 7 appropriate, and, two, you were contacted about severe 7 one of his residents stealing documents off the computer of 8 copyright infringement on Jake Mcdowall or Chris Davies, I 8 nurses' cell phones and calling him up to ask for dates and 9 believe, for hundreds of pirated textbooks that were being 9 things like that. 1.0 used in the intranet. I believe that associate general 10 Q Move to strike. counsel --11 11 A Which again would have been computer 12 BY MR. NOLAN: 12 tampering. You know --13 Q This is not responsive to my question. 13 Q Sir, there's no question pending right now. A No, I'm just saying, you're -- you're asking A I'm just talking about the situation as we 14 14 about things that would be a breach here and what that 15 15 were moving along. Go ahead. 16 Q Sir, you would agree with me that at no point 16 says. So I'm just bringing that up. MR. NOLAN: Move to strike nonresponsive 17 17 in time did you ever enter into an agreement or contract with BJC Healthcare, yes? 18 portion of the answer. 18 BY MR. NOLAN: 19 19 MR. ELSTER: Objection, legal conclusion, 20 Q You would agree with me that if in fact you 20 foundation as to BJC Healthcare. 2.1 were taking data from mister -- Dr. Benzinger's email 21 THE WITNESS: Well, you know, I -- I would 22 account without authorization, that would be a violation of 22 have to ask on what the formal structures of everything 23 the Missouri's computer tampering act, right? 23 are. What is the difference formally between BJC MR. ELSTER: Objection, compound and legal 24 Healthcare, Barnes-Jewish Hospital, the consortium? I'd 24 25 25 like to clarify those issues, although those seem to be conclusion.

53 (Pages 552 to 555)

Page 558 Page 556 1 legal issues to -- to flush out. But, you know, when you 1 contract is between you and BJC. 2 say -- when you say that entity, what exactly do you mean 2 MR. MAREK: Objection, leading conclusion. 3 by that entity? 3 That's not the factual basis for his claims. 4 BY MR. NOLAN: 4 MR. RUTTER: Also objection, asked and Q Well, there's an entity called BJC Healthcare. 5 5 answered. And in your complaint you claim that you had a contract 6 6 THE WITNESS: Well, as I -- as I've said, I 7 7 with them. So I'm asking you what's the contract. entered into an agreement and contract with the GME 8 A As I said, I had -- we can pull this up right 8 consortium and related entities both here as well as when I 9 here. I believe -- give me just one moment. There's a 9 was being recruited and talking about moving my lab, and 10 I -- I believe I entered into contracts, and I did, in memorandum of appointment to house staff, and that is for 1.0 11 the Wash U/Barnes-Jewish Hospital Saint Louis Graduate 11 fact, enter into contract with -- with all applicable 12 Medical Education Consortium, GME consortium. So this 12 entities. 13 contract appears to cover all of the relevant possible 13 MR. NOLAN: Okay. Let's take a short break. 14 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at Q Is BJC Healthcare part of the GME consortium? 1.5 1.5 approximately 2:09 p.m. 16 A I -- I would have to do some investigating on 16 (Thereupon, a recess was taken, after which 17 it as we've been doing in the current lawsuit and 17 the following proceedings were had:) 18 discovery. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on record at 19 Q So you don't know? 19 approximately 2:22 p.m. 20 A I'm saying I would have to do investigations 20 BY MR. NOLAN: on it and more discovery to see exactly what each of these Q You would agree with me that just because you 2.1 2.1 22 legal entities are, and we've been doing that. 22 entered the anesthesiology program didn't mean that you 23 Q Do you have any written contract with BJC 23 would complete it, correct? 24 signed by someone with authorization to sign? 24 A I -- I wouldn't agree with that. I had every 25 MR. MAREK: Objection, legal conclusion as to 25 intention to enter and complete the anesthesiology program Page 557 Page 559 authorization. as did almost every other person that came in with me. 1 2 THE WITNESS: I have -- I have the contract in 2 Q Would you agree with me that there's nothing in the memorandum of appointment that guarantees that you 3 3 front of me that you gave me, Exhibit B6 to look at right now. I'm sure there is other discovery data related to 4 will complete the program? this, but we're in the process of recovering additional A Well, the memorandum of appointment is a 5 5 6 discovery information and data. 6 contract or agreement that discusses training circumstances and situations. There's reasons that people may decide BY MR. NOLAN: 7 8 Q Well, sir, the lawsuit's been pending for, 8 that they don't like a specialty or they want to go what, three years now? 9 9 somewhere else. 1.0 A Well, I believe the lawsuit was filed in 1.0 But I'd want to go through the entire January of 2019. And we've been having ongoing discovery memorandum of appointment to see if you're saying there's 11 11 12 and it's going on for a long period of time due to 12 nothing in there that -- that says someone will complete, 13 substantial delays. 13 I'd want to go through and look at that, because my Q What's that? 14 understanding was always the spirit of all these agreements 14 A It's gone on for a long period of time due to 15 15 was to train doctors substantial delays that I put on your end for asking for 16 Q You would agree there's nothing in the 16 17 memorandum of appointment that guarantees that you will 17 additional 90 days, 90 days to move it on, without giving discovery that we've asked for. So we're trying to clear 18 18 complete the program, yes? 19 this up and you look into it. 19 A There's nothing that I see right now in here. 2.0 So as I said, right now I think you're asking 20 Q Let me shift gears for a second and talk about 21 for a legal conclusion. And I'm saying that we're 2.1 your resignation. You voluntarily resigned from the

54 (Pages 556 to 559)

Fax: 314.644.1334

22

23

24

25

anesthesiology program, yes?

Q Voluntarily?

then resigned.

A I voluntarily -- I negotiated with them and

currently investigating that. And I'd ask -- you know, I'd

Q Well, this is my opportunity to ask you about

the factual basis for your claims. I want to know what the

let my lawyers talk about legalities.

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Page 560

A Yes, after consideration from both parties was given.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

3

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q Well, what you're calling a negotiation, that was your discussion with Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger, correct?
- A Discussions that started off verbally and then transitioned to some written communications and just had also additional verbal, yes, those were the negotiation of the terms.
- Q Okay. Any alleged agreement that was reached between you and Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger, you would agree there's no signed writing by anyone from BJH that would memorialize that agreement, yes?
- A I wouldn't say that there aren't things in writing because there are -- there are portions of the agreement in writing in emails where they're emailing that we will help you to the best -- we will help you to succeed or things along those lines, we will -- and, I guess, I know you said in writing, but, again, we had verbal conversations where Alex Evers said that we will help you succeed, we will not stand in your way, we will help you. That showed there was an agreement.
- Q Okay. Is there any written agreement signed by anyone from BJH what would memorialize this alleged agreement?

Page 562

- components of that were that they would provide a good reference, that they would assist me in transferring, they would provide timely access to my records. They would provide access to my records, in fact, and timely access to my records.
- BY MR. NOLAN:
- Q They said that? That was said in the -- in this --
- A When we were -- when we were discussing these things, they would not -- they would -- they would help me. They would provide a good reference. They would provide assistance. They would provide access to records. They would provide accurate records. These are the things that we talked about when I spoke with them.
- Q When you were negotiating this alleged agreement with Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger, are you telling me that they said we promise we will timely provide records and access to records and stuff like that?
- A It wasn't an alleged agreement. It was an agreement.
 - Q Did they use those words?
- A Richard Benzinger, when I was talking with him
 on the final evening before my resignation, those were the
 words that we used. We talked about positive references.
 We talked about letting me

Page 561

- A As I said, there are -- there are portions of our discussions that are written.
 - Q Signed by someone from BJH?
- A Many of those emails have email signatures on them. If you're looking for a printed out piece of paper with an inked signature, I don't believe at this time that I can recall one. But there were certainly discussions that we were in a verbal there was a verbal contract, and we also had written discussions about that contract.
 - Q Do you recall the exact verbatim term of that alleged agreement that had to do with assisting you transfer to different program?
- A Well, I haven't thought on it for -- for a little bit since that was from 2018, but the basic terms of that agreement were that they would provide me a positive reference and they would assist me in transferring.
- My understanding was they would not interfere with me transferring. There would be no secret meetings, no hidden transcripts, nothing along those lines.
- Q I'm -- I'm asking for the precise term of that aspect of the agreement. What do you claim was promised to you regarding future action and transferring to a different program?
- 24 MR. RUTTER: Objection, asked and answered. 25 THE WITNESS: As -- as I said, the

Page 563

- have access to my files, about timely sending things. We
 were talking about them helping me to transfer and continue
 my medical career, not -- not declining to write a
 transcript, not declining to send transcripts, and not
 lying to a federal judge about sending transcripts.
 - Q Did you record that conversation?
 - A I don't recall if that's one of the conversations that was recorded. We've got several of them.
 - Q Did you recall any of the conversations that had to do with you resigning?
 - A I recall those conversations, as I just said, the last evening that I talked with Richard Benzinger. I also met with TJ Graetz and spoke with him.
 - Q Do you remember my question?
 - A Please go back to your question, sir.
 - Q Come on, please focus.
 - Did you record any of the conversations about your resignation?
 - A I believe that there may be some recordings of those that are in the recordings that were provided.
 - Q All right. Could I get you to turn to the exhibit which I believe is A2, your original complaint. And would you turn to Page 22.
 - A Sorry, which one is A2?

55 (Pages 560 to 563)

	Page 564		Page 566
1	Okay. I'm on A2.	1	A I told my attorneys everything that I've told
2	Q Do you see here in Paragraph 91, the last	2	you as far as the deal's terms, and this complaint was
3 \$	sentence says, plaintiff finally resigned after negotiating	3	written by my legal counsel.
4 t	that he, one, would not work with the cardiothoracic ICU	4	Q And signed by you, wasn't it?
5 a	anesthesiology faculty and would instead do other	5	A It was signed by my legal counsel and signed
6 r	rotations, two, would receive proper assistance to transfer	6	by me. If I were to include every single term and every
7 t	to a new program.	7	little detail about every rotation or little tidbits about
8	Did I read that correctly?	8	Richard Benzinger having a problem with residents reusing
9	A And which page was this on?	9	dirty syringes and not telling patients
10	Q Page 22.	10	(Reporter clarification.)
11	MR. ELSTER: Objection. This is an abandoned	11	THE WITNESS: Dirty prefilled syringes and not
12 r	bleading.	12	telling patients about it and then graduating them, this
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. And where is this right	13	complaint would be thousands of pages. This complaint, I
14 r	now? 22, which paragraph again, sir?	14	gave information to my counsel and they drafted a
	BY MR. NOLAN:	15	complaint.
16	Q 91, the last sentence.	16	BY MR. NOLAN:
17	A Okay. I'm reading this right now real fast.	17	Q Would you look at Exhibit A which is the
18	Yes. All right. I've read the paragraph.	18	second amended complaint.
	What was your question again, sir?	19	A Okay. One, two. Okay.
20	Q Did I read it correctly?	20	Q Would you turn to Page 23.
21	A You read the term you read the words that	21	A Okay. I'm on Page 23.
	are on the page here in Paragraph 91.	22	Q Do you see in Paragraph 76, the second
23	Q Correctly?	23	sentence well, it's, second sentence says, as a result
24	A You	24	of false evaluations and harassment by Wash U and BJH, he
25	Q Did I read them correctly?	25	offered to resign from his residency position, however, he
	Page 565		Page 567
	•		-
1	A Plaintiff was also beginning to have constant	1	conditioned that offer on a promise that Evers, Benzinger,
	gastroenterology symptoms, ulcerated	2	Wash U, BJH would assist him in transferring to another
3	Q No, no, no.	3	institution and residency program where he could complete
4	A Sorry.	4	his training and become board eligible.
5	Q Paragraph 91, last sentence, plaintiff finally	5	Did I read that correctly?
	resigned after negotiating that he, one, would not work	6	A Let me read this again.
	with the cardiothoracic ICU anesthesiology faculty and	7	Those are the words that are written there,
	would instead do other rotations, two, would receive proper	8	but, again, I don't feel, as I said before earlier
	assistance to transfer to a new program. Did I read that	9	ų no.
	correctly?	10	A this is a summary of events. It doesn't
11	A You read the sentence in the pleading, sir.	11	discuss the this is not a transcription of the
12	Q Did I read it correctly?	12	conversation I had with all of these individuals talking
13	A You you read the words	13	about every little detail and nuance. It's just you
14	MR. RUTTER: Objection, asked and answered.	14	know, this is just talking about some of the overall
15	THE WITNESS: You read the words on the piece	15	framework and terms of the agreement.
	of paper and this, you know, as I told you before, there	16	Q Sir, I just asked if I read it correctly.
	were multiple components that we discussed when I was	17	A You read everything that was on there, sir.
	discussing my negotiating a resignation, and these were	18	Q Reading on it states, Evers and Benzinger, on
	aspects that were discussed, what rotations would I do next	19	behalf of themselves and Wash U and BJH, agreed to this
	and other things.	20	condition. Did I read that sentence correctly?
	BY MR. NOLAN:	21	A You read that sentence correctly. That's the
22	Q Well, you would agree with me that you didn't	22	sentence that's written there.
	allege in your original complaint that this agreement had a	23	Q So all they did was agree to assist in
24	component where they said they would give you a good recommendation?	24 25	transferring. They didn't say they would give you a good recommendation, did they?

56 (Pages 564 to 567)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

24

25

Page 568 A They said they would give me a good 1 Richard Benzinger recommendation. And there's not a chance that I would have resigned without knowing I was going to get a good 3 Q Do you h

5 my files and they were going to, in fact, create my transcripts and files.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

There's no way that I would have resigned without knowing they were going to do those items. Nobody would resign from a residency program and give up their employment and all possibility of future employment without having those types of terms.

recommendation, they were going to give me timely access to

Q Would you agree with me, given the passage of time, you don't remember the exact wording that was used in these conversations with Dr. Benzinger and Dr. Evers?

A I would not agree with that.

Q You remember every single conversation verbatim?

A I haven't said -- repeat your question, please.

Q Do you remember every single conversation you had with Dr. Evers and Dr. Benzinger regarding your resignation verbatim?

A I do not recall every conversation I've had verbatim, but I do remember the key points of conversations and the key portions of agreements in conversations.

Page 570

Richard Benzinger, Peter Nagele, Evan Kharasch discussing that.

Q Do you have any written agreements signed by anyone from BJH between SBI and BJH?

A When you say a written agreement signed, are you talking about an email with a signature block on it or a printed out piece of paper that's been signed in ink?

Q Signed in ink.

A I do not believe that I have a written agreement printed out in paper and signed in ink.

Q Do you have a written agreement signed by anyone from SBI?

A I don't believe that I have a written agreement printed out and signed in ink by SBI, but I believe there are email communications, electronic communications, as well as verbal communications about that and the move to go there that occurred throughout the process of negotiating.

Q So what are the alleged terms of this agreement between SBI and BJH?

A Well, basically the terms of the agreement would be that if I came here as a resident, that I would move my lab and resources to Saint Louis. We would be able to affiliate and work with Wash U and BJH.

My lab and company would gain -- would engage

Page 569

Q Would you agree with me that SBI never entered into a contract with BJH?

A We had a -- let me go -- give me one second.

A I wouldn't agree with that at all.

Q Is it a written contract?

Okay. I'm just looking at this right now.

One second. I believe it's Page 12. One moment.

Okay. All right. Thank you for allowing me

to just refresh on that since I know we're going to be talking about it. So can you please ask your question again, sir.

MR. NOLAN: Yeah. Would you read that question back.

(Thereupon, the referred to question was read back by the Court Reporter as recorded above.)

THE WITNESS: All right. So, again, the agreement was, I would say, both in part written and in part verbal -- and in part verbal.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q What was the written part of the agreement?

A I believe there were emails where I -- where I discussed part of the verbal agreement about bringing my lab over to Saint Louis and moving it from LSU. I haven't looked at those emails in a long period of time, but I believe there's emails probably with myself, Alex Evers,

Page 571

in research. Wash U and BJH would perform the obligations
of helping me to conduct those types of activities and they
would receive benefits for being involved in those types of
activities and research affiliations, and I would perform
the obligations and receive the benefits involved in those
research obligations.

And Wash U and BJH would also take me on as a resident to go train for five years to become board eligible in anesthesia and a specialty. Those were the basic terms of that agreement.

I mean, bluntly, we would go develop technology, you know, together and create things in Saint Louis. I sincerely wish that was the case and we were able to achieve those goals. It would have made me very happy to do so.

Q So you'd agree with me it would have been impossible to perform that contract in less than a year, yes?

A So your -- your question was if it was impossible to form that contract in less than a year?

Q Right

A It would have been possible to form that contract --

Q Perform. It would have been impossible to --

A Perform that a contract in a year?

57 (Pages 568 to 571)

Page 572 Page 574 Q Right. 1 to the company when we were forming with David Sinow. 1 2 A Well, the initial portion of it would have 2 (Reporter clarification.) 3 been performed well under a year. I would have been 3 THE WITNESS: David Sinow, S-I-N-O-W. 4 accepted into the program. I would have moved my lab. I 4 And -- and of course I also, you know, at that would have begun research affiliations. That would have 5 5 point I had ownership of the company, which gave me occurred -- we -- I -- I interviewed with them and began 6 ownership of that equipment through the company ownership. 7 BY MR. NOLAN: talks in December of 2016. I matched in March of 2017. 8 So we would have been able to reach that mark 8 Q Well, as an attorney you know that just 9 and goal when I came there in June, July. We would have 9 because you own the company doesn't mean you own the equipment, fair? moved the lab in. We would have started research 1.0 10 11 obligations and we would have been able -- we -- as things 11 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for a legal 12 started, to -- to move forward. So the initial -- so I --12 conclusion. 13 I think a good portion of that, if not all, would have been 13 THE WITNESS: I let my attorneys investigate 14 achieved in the first year and it would have just been 14 that. BY MR. NOLAN: 1.5 growth from that point on. 1.5 16 Q So you think the part about training you for 16 Q Do you understand that? 17 five years could have been performed in one year? 17 MR. RUTTER: Same objection. Calls for a 18 A The part about training me in five years would 18 legal conclusion. 19 have taken more than one year, of course, but the portion 19 THE WITNESS: Again, I'd let my attorneys 20 of starting my training, moving the lab and beginning 20 investigate that conclusion. research would have -- would have started right away, as we BY MR. NOLAN: 2.1 2.1 22 22 Q Well, at the time you claim the equipment was 23 And I would just say very simply, on the time 23 converted, it was owned by SBI, true? 24 in under a year it was, the lab was able to get integrated 24 A You know, I believe most of the equipment had to the Saint Louis College of Pharmacy within a matter of 25 been assigned to SBI. I would want to check. I -- I 25 Page 573 Page 575 1 months and transferred, I would say against my will, under 1 believe there's an assignment agreement that I -- that I 2 duress, to the radiology department. But, nonetheless, it 2 had signed that David Sinow had drafted. So I'd want to be 3 was a core facility in radiology by the end of my first accurate and give, you know, if I could find that in the 3 4 discovery, I'd want to be very accurate and give out a year. 4 5 (Reporter clarification.) 5 date. I believe something exists like that. 6 THE WITNESS: A core research facility in 6 Q At any point did Gary [sic] Sinow assign any 7 radiology by the end of my first year. 7 of his ownership interests in SBI to you? 8 BY MR. NOLAN: 8 A Yes. David Sinow, after he saw what was going 9 Q Regarding your -- the equipment that you say 9 on and the situation at Barnes and Wash U, he waited a few 1.0 was converted, was that -- were you the owner of that 10 months. And I -- I forget the exact date. There's an equipment or was SBI the owner of the equipment? assignment document. But at a point he eventually assigned 11 11 12 MR. ELSTER: Objection, vague as to equipment. 12 or sold his shares to me and took the loss of the, I 13 THE WITNESS: So as -- as far as equipment, 13 believe close to 100,000 that he had invested at that point there were multiple 3D printers, there was scanning 14 14 or more. 15 And, yes, as you -- as you asked, he assigned 15 equipment. There was associated, just general associated equipment. There were reagents and general lab supplies. his shares to me. I -- I don't recall all of the dates, if 16 16 17 BY MR. NOLAN: 17 it was done in one assignment or in multiple, but there Q I know, the stuff you're claiming as a part -were documents, and I believe we've produced those and I 18 18 19 A Yeah. 19 can identify if necessary. 2.0 Q -- of the lawsuit that was converted. Who 20 Q Did you do anything in exchange for his 21 owned it? 21 assignment of his interests to you? 22 A So initially I had purchased a substantial 22 A I believed I -- I believe I paid him funds for 23 portion of that equipment. And then we had, I believe, I'd 23 those shares at that point in time.

58 (Pages 572 to 575)

Fax: 314.644.1334

A I don't remember the exact amount that it was,

24

2.5

Q How much?

have to think on the exact dates and times, but I believe

that I had assigned all equipment and rights and everything

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 576

1 but I -- I believe it was, I think at that point I believe 2 it was something nominal at that point. But I apologize,

3 I -- I believe there is a share sale or document agreement.

Either we should have it here or I'm happy to try to find 4 5 that from him

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

25

Q The reason that you transferred the equipment to Mallinckrodt is because your investor, Mr. Sinow, pulled

A Well, that was one of the reasons in the chain of events. The transfer occurred since -- since we no longer had our venture capital funding come in, and David Sinow was an investor, and that occurred because David Sinow saw what was going on with my employment status and didn't feel comfortable continuing to support the operation since he felt that, he was very concerned that there was a high probability that we would end up at this table today or something would happen.

Clearly he is -- he is very good at predicting the future and protecting his interests, because that's what he was worried about, and he -- he called it.

Q No one physically threatened you with regards to the transfer of the equipment, fair?

23 A I was never physically threatened, but I at 24 all times was very scared for my career in being 25 blacklisted and prevented from working in medicine.

Page 578

understand the question, the question is if you were threatened, why did you give the equipment to Mallinckrodt?

MR. NOLAN: Yeah.

MR. RUTTER: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: And --

THE WITNESS: You know, and -- and I guess what I would say is yes, I was feeling threatened, and I ended up -- and it ended up that the equipment was -- the

9 equipment and lab was directed to Mallinckrodt.

There were -- there were other possibilities. 1.0 11 I believe I had a meeting or two with Rob Jarrow. I

12 believe his -- I believe he had a high level administrative

13 research position even though he's a Ph.D. And he was also

14 talking about potential transfers of the lab to either 1.5 anesthesiology or other colleagues of his at Washington

16 University Saint Louis as a potential landing point.

I -- I believe there's an email or two in discovery with Rob Jarrow discussing these things. It was directed to Mallinckrodt is what appeared to be at that point the best option.

BY MR. NOLAN: 2.1

Q Why?

A It -- you know, I had -- based on a variety of of factors I would say it seemed like it was the best option for it to be directed to Mallinckrodt and, you know,

Page 577

Q You agreed to transfer the equipment to Mallinckrodt, yes?

A I -- I agreed to the transfer, but I thought I was in an untenable position and it was done under duress.

Q You could have sold the equipment to someone, yes?

MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: You know, again, I would have to speculate on that. You know, that wasn't something that occurred

BY MR. NOLAN: 11

Q The equipment had value when you transferred it, didn't it?

A The equipment did have value.

Q So couldn't you have sold it to someone? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: You know, again, I'd have to speculate on it. That wasn't -- that wasn't something that

18 19 occurred

20 BY MR. NOLAN:

> Q If you were feeling threatened, why just give the equipment to Mallinckrodt?

23 MR. RUTTER: Objection to the form of the 24

THE WITNESS: I believe, to make sure I

Page 579

1 one of those -- one of these factors was that the radiology 2 department was not harassing me and bullying me. So, you know, I would rather -- I didn't want Alex Evers to kind of 3 win top 3D printing core lab from his actions.

And -- and I guess I would just say just from a personal, moral standpoint, I felt that I could direct, which I was able to do slightly, that I would -- that I would direct that it go towards, to his -- towards control by the radiology department at that point.

Q Did you ask anyone at the radiology department if they would purchase it from you?

A I didn't -- I don't believe at that point I asked them about purchasing it from me. I was following Alex Evers' directions to immediately stop research and get rid of the lab, shut it all down and, you know, just give it to Wash U, to someone, and just focus on your studies.

Q Which you -- you voluntarily did, yes?

A You know, again, I followed those instructions, but I thought I did so under duress. I didn't want to do it. I just felt like I was in an untenable position. And I -- I didn't want to lose my career. I just wanted to be able to move forward. And the other -- the other aspect is that I

23 24 talked with Pamela Woodard in radiology and David Ballard. 2.5 And I talked with her, and one aspect of it going over to

59 (Pages 576 to 579)

Page 582 Page 580 radiology would that be potentially, or what I thought in 1 1 positions. 2 fact would occur is once I finished my residency, myself 2 Q Are you also employed by Marek Weisman? 3 and David, we would be able to run that lab facility, and 3 A I would say that I do work for them, but I would likely run that lab facility together. 4 don't receive any funds for some of the work that I do. 5 And that in fact did occur for David Ballard. 5 What I would note, we found -- I believe the firm was I was -- I was very surprised at the very end when I was 6 incorporated in spring or early summer of 2019. At that 6 7 point in time in 2019 I was also starting full-time leaving when they -- when I -- I asked, I can't remember if 8 employment at the University of Illinois, Chicago campus, 8 I asked just David or Dr. Woodard, but to see if radiology 9 occupational and environmental medicine residency program, 9 would -- would give -- would make me like a visiting which was a full-time job and residency program. So that 1.0 10 researcher like mechanical engineering did, or, you know, 11 was the, around the start of the firm. 11 transfer me over as a visiting researcher and I emailed and 12 Q Have you ever been compensated by Marek 12 things like that. 1.3 And the answer was no, they couldn't do it 13 Weisman for the work that you do for it? 14 A I have not been compensated for the work that because of anesthesia and the anesthesia department didn't 14 I've done for Marek Weisman. 1.5 want it to occur. So instead, they just took my name off 15 16 Q Your 50 percent ownership in the LLC, what is the website, from the core lab on the Mallinckrodt website. 16 17 17 Q Let me switch gears a little bit and talk 18 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for speculation. 18 about your employment after you left the program. When did 19 THE WITNESS: You know -- you know, again, 19 you start working at Marek Weisman? 20 I -- I wouldn't be able to speculate on it, on the value of 20 A I believe that we -- I believe that we it. So, I mean, you know, as -- as I stated before, the 2.1 2.1 formally incorporated in 2019. I believe it was in the 22 Marek Weisman law firm is a small firm that's a startup 22 spring of 2019. Or was it -- sorry. I just want to make representing primarily medical residents and medical 23 2.3 sure I give an accurate answer on this. And that was 24 24 when -- that was when I began doing some work with the 25 Marek Weisman firm, and it was just, you know, you know, 25 Page 581 Page 583 just -- just incorporated the law firm then. 1 BY MR. NOLAN: 2 Q Okay. In terms of your -- are you a 2 Q Well, it has value, right? part-owner in Marek Weisman, LLC? MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for speculation. 3 3 A Yes, sir. I have an ownership interest in the THE WITNESS: You know, again, I don't know 4 Marek Weisman Law Firm, LLC. It's an Illinois LLC, I the value of it. But as -- as I said, and as you are 5 5 6 believe 6 probably familiar with from -- from your background doing Q Okay. Are you a member? 7 defense work, you know, the typical types of clients that 8 A I -- I believe as an LLC, having ownership 8 would hire a law firm, their capabilities. 9 would be considered to have a membership share, if I'm 9 And that's all -- that's what I would just say 1.0 articulating that properly. I believe an LLC would be a 10 as far as the firm goes, that's really, you know, it is membership share as opposed to a corporation that would be what it is as far as trying to have the firm set up and --11 11 12 a stock ownership share. 12 and earn revenue. 13 Q Yeah. So what percentage of Marek Weisman do 13 BY MR. NOLAN: 14 you own? 14 Q Well, how much did it make last year? A I believe that percentage right now is 50 15 MR. RUTTER: Objection, calls for speculation. 15 16 THE WITNESS: So I don't know how much it made 16 percent of the company. 17 Q And do you receive dividends or distributions 17 last year. That's also kind of a vague question in terms 18 from Marek Weisman relative to your ownership interest? 18 of, you know, how much revenue or how much net profits, A I do not receive dividends relative to the 19 19 because I know there's a lot of companies that have 20 ownership interest. I don't believe anybody does. The --20 revenues, but net -- net profits are relatively 21 the firm, again, represents medical residents mainly and 21 nonexistent 22 medical students doing civil rights work. 22 The firm itself, the structure is we have a 23 As you can imagine, those are not really high 23 managing partner who's the other owner, who's the other 24 paying clients. There's not a lot of funds that come in 24 name on the firm, and they handle all finances and firm

60 (Pages 580 to 583)

Fax: 314.644.1334

management and everything along those lines.

25

overall related to that type of work for clients in those

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 584 My role is just that as a member, and I do --2 I do what I would classify as a lot of, as a lawyer, but 3 medical/legal consulting work or providing a lot of 4 medical -- working on a lot of medical jargon and 5 explaining 6 And I believe I explained during the work comp deposition that my time commitment overall on average I 8 estimated then was probably about 10 hours a week to -- to 9 the venture. 1.0 BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Right. What's your arrangement with your attorneys in this case? Do you pay them by the hour or is it a contingency fee?

A So I have a -- so I have a retainer agreement with my attorneys in this case. Are -- are you asking what my retainer with say like Henry Elster says?

Q No, Marek Weisman law firm.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I believe -- I -- I have a retainer agreement. I believe that initial retainer agreement was signed between myself, More Law.

MR. ELSTER: Are you just asking about the financial aspect? I just want to --

MR. RUTTER: If it's contingent or hourly --MR. ELSTER: Is that what your --

MR. RUTTER: Is that the question?

Page 586

Page 587

believe, at a -- I believe I have retainer agreements at both blended and at contingent rates for my counsel.

Q Well, here's the reason I'm asking, while you seem like an ultraistic person, I find it interesting that you're working at Marek Weisman for four years and you haven't received any compensation. And I'm wondering what value you get in return for the work you do?

A And I'd -- I'd like to answer that question.

Q Please do.

A So the reason I started the firm was because I wanted to help other residents that were in positions like me. I felt very powerless because of everything that occurred, and I didn't want what happened to me to happen to others, especially because I think that there's possible resolutions much earlier on that sometimes get missed, there's off-ramps that are missed, to find equitable solutions for everybody.

And I didn't want what happened to me to occur

other physicians that I had heard of through the grapevine, like other medical students would talk where when you're being bullied you might hear. And I had heard that there were other physicians that had taken their lives or, you know, lost careers, going into debt and taking their lives.

So I wanted to do something to -- to prevent that from

to anybody else. And particularly I was worried about

Page 585

1 MR. NOLAN: Yeah. 2 MR. ELSTER: Okay. 3 MR. RUTTER: You can answer that question. But any information beyond whether it's contingent or 4 5 hourly is privileged and I'm instructing you not to answer. 6

THE WITNESS: Okay. I -- I would say my representation is at a blended rate.

(Reporter clarification.)

THE WITNESS: I believe -- I believe the legal term of art would be that the representation is -- is at a blended rate depending upon -- I -- I just want to make sure I say this accurately, but I have a retainer agreement, and I -- I believe it should say that it's at a blended rate.

Again, this I have not looked at in a -- in a long period of time. I'm trying -- I'm trying to think back, because it was an original agreement. So there were multiple retainer agreements is, I guess, what I'm saying, and I want to make sure that I'm accurate as far the retainer agreement.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q Okav.

A But I -- I believe there was -- there was -- I just want -- I -- I have not looked at the retainer agreement recently, but there was a retainer agreement, I happening to anybody else if possible.

So -- so that was my reasoning to start the firm is I didn't want bad things to happen. And, yes, I know the -- the irony and my greatest regret is that I wasn't able to help my good friend Gary Hammen.

And I won't rehash that here. But that was, as I said, the greatest irony and my greatest regret in doing this to help others but not ending up being to help one of my close friends.

Q I'm also curious as to why Mr. Weisman would agree to give you half of the firm.

A Oh, Mr. Marek, sir.

Q What's that?

I'm sorry, Mr. Malek. Marsek. Marek.

15 MR. RUTTER: All right. Hang on, Jeff.

16 Objection, calls for speculation.

> THE WITNESS: You know, again, that -- that's something that, you know, that's something I -- I would have to speculate on as far as his reasoning and logic behind entering that agreement with me. I -- I -- so --BY MR. NOLAN:

> > Q Go ahead.

A So that -- that's, you know, that's all I was just saying is that I'd have to speculate.

Q Please do.

61 (Pages 584 to 587)

	Page 588	Page 590
1	A I wouldn't be able to speculate on his	1 okay.
2	reasoning and logic on that.	2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record
3	Q He just gave you 50 percent of the firm?	3 at approximately 3:07 p.m.
4	MR. RUTTER: Can you hang on, Dr. Weisman.	4 (Thereupon, a recess was taken, after which
5	Can you, Mr. Nolan, explain where the line of questioning	5 the following proceedings were had:)
6	refers to lost career opportunities or earning capacity?	6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on record at
7	MR. NOLAN: Well, I'm trying to understand	7 approximately 3:18 p.m.
8	this very unique arrangement in trying to determine whether	8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	or not he does obtain some remuneration or value for the	9 BY MR. RUTTER:
10	work that he does at Marek Weisman.	10 Q Dr. Weisman, do you remember yesterday
11	MR. RUTTER: Well, I think that's been asked	11 Mr. Sullivan, counsel for Washington University's
12	and answered, not just today by Dr. Weisman but also on	defendants, handed you a few documents in which you used
13	January 5th, 2022, which your client has a copy of and you	the term donate in reference to your lab? Do you remember
14	guys have produced. And, again, is this related to his	14 that?
15	lost earning capacity?	15 A Yes, I do.
16	MR. NOLAN: Are you telling him not to answer?	16 Q Can you explain why you used that specific
17	MR. RUTTER: I'm just asking you, can you	17 term donate in relation to your lab?
18	MR. NOLAN: No, no, please don't do that.	18 A Okay.
19	MR. RUTTER: can you articulate?	19 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, form, leading.
20	MR. NOLAN: Please don't do that. If you want	20 THE WITNESS: Okay. So the documents that
21	to make an objection, make it, otherwise I'm not speaking	21 Mr. Sullivan showed me that used the word donate, I
22	with you. I'm trying to ask the witness questions.	22 included that term because I was advised to by my mentors
23	MR. RUTTER: I'm going to object to the extent	23 in academia. They told me that I shouldn't say that the
24	that you're asking him to pontificate on why Sherman Marek	24 lab was stolen or taken from me or it would cause bad blood
25	would be motivated to do something.	
	, and the second	25 or a problem with me getting a future job.
	Page 589	Page 591
1	But if you know, Jeff.	1 They told me to be polite and professional as
2		
	THE WITNESS: I mean, again, I I mean, I	2 I was job hunting, and not to not to use the term stolen
3	THE WITNESS: I mean, again, I I mean, I don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I	2 I was job hunting, and not to not to use the term stolen 3 or taken. So they said to use donated.
3 4		
	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I	or taken. So they said to use donated.
4	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual.	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that
4 5	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents.
4 5 6	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I
4 5 6 7	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time.	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where
4 5 6 7 8	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN:	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant
4 5 6 7 8 9	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the
4 5 6 7 8 9	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC?	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up.	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge.	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge. MR. RUTTER: That's fine.	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job. BY MR. RUTTER:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge. MR. RUTTER: That's fine. MR. NOLAN: Play the tape about how he wasted	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job. BY MR. RUTTER: Q Okay. Did you donate your lab that you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set upset up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge. MR. RUTTER: That's fine. MR. NOLAN: Play the tape about how he wasted half the day giving nonresponsive answers.	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job. BY MR. RUTTER: Q Okay. Did you donate your lab that you brought here to Saint Louis with you from Louisiana?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set upset up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge. MR. RUTTER: That's fine. MR. NOLAN: Play the tape about how he wasted half the day giving nonresponsive answers. MR. RUTTER: That's I understand that	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job. BY MR. RUTTER: Q Okay. Did you donate your lab that you brought here to Saint Louis with you from Louisiana? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, leading.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set upset up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge. MR. RUTTER: That's fine. MR. NOLAN: Play the tape about how he wasted half the day giving nonresponsive answers. MR. RUTTER: That's I understand that that's what you think.	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job. BY MR. RUTTER: Q Okay. Did you donate your lab that you brought here to Saint Louis with you from Louisiana? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: I did not donate my lab. I did
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge. MR. RUTTER: That's fine. MR. NOLAN: Play the tape about how he wasted half the day giving nonresponsive answers. MR. RUTTER: That's I understand that that's what you think. MR. NOLAN: All right. Okay.	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job. BY MR. RUTTER: Q Okay. Did you donate your lab that you brought here to Saint Louis with you from Louisiana? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: I did not donate my lab. I did not intend to donate my lab. It was a transfer under
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge. MR. RUTTER: That's fine. MR. NOLAN: Play the tape about how he wasted half the day giving nonresponsive answers. MR. RUTTER: That's I understand that that's what you think. MR. NOLAN: All right. Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Signature?	And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job. BY MR. RUTTER: Q Okay. Did you donate your lab that you brought here to Saint Louis with you from Louisiana? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: I did not donate my lab. I did not intend to donate my lab. It was a transfer under duress in my opinion, and, in fact, it was.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't know why Sherman thinks the way he does on things. I can only speculate. He's certainly a unique individual. But he seemed to think this was a good idea to go set up set up the new venture in that manner, and he he was okay with doing that at that time. BY MR. NOLAN: Q Do you have an agreement with Mr. Marek that you will basically work for free at his firm in exchange for him making you a 50 percent owner in the LLC? MR. RUTTER: Don't answer that question. Your five hours is up. MR. NOLAN: Okay. Go back and talk to the judge. MR. RUTTER: That's fine. MR. NOLAN: Play the tape about how he wasted half the day giving nonresponsive answers. MR. RUTTER: That's I understand that that's what you think. MR. NOLAN: All right. Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Signature? MR. RUTTER: We've got some follow-up	or taken. So they said to use donated. And then, additionally, in some of my that would probably relate to my CV and some of the documents. And in other in some of the other documents, I believe I was being relatively sarcastic on a grant document where they were asking for information about me for the NIH grant that department had. And I believe I might have used the term there in sarcasm and frustration where I was talking about I did all of this work and this and this. That was all put in there. But I did not mean it in a legal sense of donated. I was using it as I advised to facilitate transfer to another residency and to get another job. BY MR. RUTTER: Q Okay. Did you donate your lab that you brought here to Saint Louis with you from Louisiana? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: I did not donate my lab. I did not intend to donate my lab. It was a transfer under duress in my opinion, and, in fact, it was. BY MR. RUTTER:

62 (Pages 588 to 591)

	Page 592	Page 59
1	A Okay. Yes, I believe I have that up in front	1 variety of individuals, but I think most notably Nicole
2	of me.	2 Erter in January of 2018. And I told Nicole Erter, as I
3	Q Okay. That's the original complaint that you	3 had also mentioned the similar thing to other Wash U and
4	filed in this lawsuit, correct?	BJC officials, I told Nicole Erter that I was concerned
5	A Yes, it is.	5 about discrimination and harassment ongoing to myself an
6	Q And in that complaint is there a demand for	to another resident, a disabled veteran, Gary Hammen.
7	your lab?	7 And I told them about things that were going
8	MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading.	8 on and harassment and events, that I was concerned about
9	THE WITNESS: I believe there is a demand for	9 things that included disability discrimination, veteran
10	my lab in that complaint.	10 discrimination. And I made that report.
11	BY MR. RUTTER:	And I believe Nicole Erter was so concerned
12	Q And on what date did you make that demand?	about what I said that she contacted the chief medical
13	A I believe that date was when this document was	officer for the hospital to make sure there would be no
14	filed, January 18th of 2019.	14 retaliation against me for the things that I said. And I
15	Q And then I want to turn your attention to	believe defendants noted that contact in the
16	Exhibit A. If you'll pull that up in front of you. Do you	interrogatories that we received from them, that CMO
17	recall earlier today counsel for Defendant Barnes-Jewish	17 received that for retaliation
18	Hospital and BJC Healthcare asking you about the	18 (Reporter clarification.)
19	allegations in Paragraph 1?	19 THE WITNESS: Oh, from the defendants. It was
20	A Yes, I recall them asking me about Paragraph 1	20 noted that Nicole Erter contacted the chief medical officer
21	earlier today.	21 to prevent retaliation. And I believe, in fact, that as
22	Q And do you know when this second amended	this lawsuit has been progressing, we've uncovered recent
23	complaint was filed?	and new evidence of retaliation for those statements this
24	A I believe this second amended complaint was	24 year .
25	filed October 30th of 2020.	25
	Paga 503	Page 50
	Page 593	Page 59
1	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of	1 BY MR. RUTTER:
2	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that
2	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission?	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of
2 3 4	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint?
2 3 4 5	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis.	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading.
2 3 4 5 6	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed?	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic]	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination or retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date.	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination or retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that — I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or — I — I recall that they — I	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or I I recall that they I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a the past few months.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that — I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or — I — I recall that they — I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that — I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or — I — I recall that they — I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022.	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently at the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or I I recall that they I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant defendants BJH	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently at the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or I I recall that they I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination or retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or I I recall that they I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination?	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recor at approximately 3:25 p.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or I I recall that they I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination? A I named defendants BJH and Wash U as	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently at the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recor at approximately 3:25 p.m. THE COURT REPORTER: Orders and exhibits, s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that — I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or — I — I recall that they — I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant — defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination? A I named defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination.	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently at the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recor at approximately 3:25 p.m. THE COURT REPORTER: Orders and exhibits, s let's talk about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or I I recall that they I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination? A I named defendants BJH and Wash U as	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently at the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recor at approximately 3:25 p.m. THE COURT REPORTER: Orders and exhibits, s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or I I recall that they I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination? A I named defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination. Q Did you file that charge of discrimination with the EEOC because you believe that you had been	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recor at approximately 3:25 p.m. THE COURT REPORTER: Orders and exhibits, s let's talk about that. MR. ELSTER: We'll do a scrunch copy. THE COURT REPORTER: E-tran?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that — I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or — I — I recall that they — I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant — defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination? A I named defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination. Q Did you file that charge of discrimination	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recor at approximately 3:25 p.m. THE COURT REPORTER: Orders and exhibits, s let's talk about that. MR. ELSTER: We'll do a scrunch copy. THE COURT REPORTER: E-tran? MR. SULLIVAN: I have a standard order. I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that — I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or — I — I recall that they — I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant — defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination? A I named defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination. Q Did you file that charge of discrimination with the EEOC because you believe that you had been retaliated against by both defendants Wash U and defendant	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recor at approximately 3:25 p.m. THE COURT REPORTER: Orders and exhibits, s let's talk about that. MR. ELSTER: We'll do a scrunch copy. THE COURT REPORTER: E-tran? MR. SULLIVAN: I have a standard order. I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that — I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or — I — I recall that they — I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant — defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination? A I named defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination. Q Did you file that charge of discrimination with the EEOC because you believe that you had been retaliated against by both defendants Wash U and defendant BJH?	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination of retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there — that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently a the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recor at approximately 3:25 p.m. THE COURT REPORTER: Orders and exhibits, s let's talk about that. MR. ELSTER: We'll do a scrunch copy. THE COURT REPORTER: E-tran? MR. SULLIVAN: I have a standard order. I'll handle the exhibits. We're going to give you the exhibits,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Have you recently filed a complaint of retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? A Yes, I believe we've recently filed a complaint with the EEOC in Saint Louis. Q Do you know on or about what date that complaint was filed? A I believe that — I believe that date [sic] was filed in 2022. I'm trying to remember the exact date. If there's a document or — I — I recall that they — I recall that Wash U, Joseph Sklansky had responded, I believe, the second week of August to that complaint of August 2022. Q And did you name defendant — defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination? A I named defendants BJH and Wash U as respondents in that complaint of discrimination. Q Did you file that charge of discrimination with the EEOC because you believe that you had been retaliated against by both defendants Wash U and defendant BJH? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading.	BY MR. RUTTER: Q And recent uncovering of retaliation, is that why you did not include a complaint of discrimination or retaliation in this October 30th, 2020 complaint? MR. NOLAN: Objection, leading. THE WITNESS: The reason that there that those complaints of retaliation were not included in this October 30th, 2020 complaint is that many of those incidences and additional information on suspected incidences were uncovered this year in 2022, as recently at the past few months. MR. RUTTER: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. ELSTER: We'll read the transcript. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the recornat approximately 3:25 p.m. THE COURT REPORTER: Orders and exhibits, so let's talk about that. MR. ELSTER: We'll do a scrunch copy. THE COURT REPORTER: E-tran? MR. SULLIVAN: I have a standard order. I'll handle the exhibits. We're going to give you the exhibits, and then you're going to share them with Amy Victoria.

63 (Pages 592 to 595)

	Page 596	Page 598
1	THE COURT REPORTER: E-tran for you?	1 I, JEFFERY WEISMAN, JD, M.D., do hereby certify:
2	MR. NOLAN: Yeah, E-tran and also TXT.	2 That I have read the foregoing deposition;
3	(Thereupon, the deposition was concluded at	3 That I have made such changes in form and/or
4	3:25 p.m.)	4 substance to the deposition as might be necessary to render
5	5:20 p,	5 the same true and correct;
6		6 That having made such changes thereon, I
7		7 hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.
8		8 I declare under penalty of perjury that the
9		9 foregoing is true and correct.
10		10
11		11 Executed the day of,
12		12 20 , at
13		13
14		14
15		15 JEFFREY WEISMAN, JD, M.D.
16		16
17		17 My Commission Expires:
18		18 Notary Public:
19		19
20		20
21		21
22		22
23		23
24		24
25		25
		23
	D 507	
	Page 597	Page 599
1	Page 597 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	1 Errata Sheet
1 2	_	Errata Sheet Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D.
	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	Errata Sheet Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al.
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be
2 3 4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto,
2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read:
2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read:
2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: 12 Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Reason assigned for change: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: 12 Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Reason assigned for change: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Page Line Should read: 17 Reason assigned for change: 18 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Page Line Should read: 17 Reason assigned for change: 18 Page Line Should read: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: 12 Page Line Should read: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Page Line Should read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Page Line Should read: 18 Reason assigned for change: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Reason assigned for change: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Reason assigned for change: 12 Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Reason assigned for change: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Reason assigned for change: 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 15 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 17 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Reason assigned for change: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Reason assigned for change: 12 Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 15 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Page Line Should read: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Reason assigned for change: 19 Reason assigned for change: 19 Reason assigned for change: 20 Page Line Should read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Page Line Should read: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 20 Page Line Should read: 21 Reason assigned for change: 22 Reason assigned for change: 23 Reason assigned for change: 24 Reason assigned for change: 25 Reason assigned for change: 26 Reason assigned for change: 27 Reason assigned for change: 28 Reason assigned for change: 29 Reason assigned for change: 20 Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: 8 Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: 12 Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Page Line Should read: 18 Reason assigned for change: 18 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 20 Page Line Should read: 21 Reason assigned for change: 22 Page Line Should read: 23 Reason assigned for change: 24 Page Line Should read: 25 Reason assigned for change: 26 Page Line Should read: 27 Reason assigned for change: 28 Page Line Should read: 29 Reason assigned for change: 20 Page Line Should read: 21 Page Line Should read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Page Line Should read: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: 12 Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Page Line Should read: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 20 Page Line Should read: 21 Reason assigned for change: 22 Reason assigned for change: 23 Page Line Should read: 24 Reason assigned for change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Jo Ann Dickson, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	1 Errata Sheet 2 Witness: Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D. 3 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. 4 Upon reading the deposition and before subscribing thereto, the deponent indicated the following changes should be made: 6 Page Line Should read: 7 Reason assigned for change: 8 Page Line Should read: 8 Reason assigned for change: 9 Page Line Should read: 10 Reason assigned for change: 11 Page Line Should read: 12 Reason assigned for change: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 16 Reason assigned for change: 17 Page Line Should read: 18 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 19 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 10 Page Line Should read: 11 Reason assigned for change: 12 Page Line Should read: 13 Reason assigned for change: 14 Page Line Should read: 15 Reason assigned for change: 18 Page Line Should read: 19 Reason assigned for change: 20 Page Line Should read: 21 Reason assigned for change:

64 (Pages 596 to 599)

Page 600	
Lexitas Legal 711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 Phone (314) 644-2191 * Fax (314) 644-1334 October 11, 2022 Henry P. Elster Elster Law Office LLC 225 South Meramec Avenue Suite 325 St. Louis, Missouri 63105 In Re: Jeffery Weisman, et al. v Barnes-Jewish Hospital, et al. Dear Mr. Elster: Please find enclosed your copy of the Videotaped Deposition of Jeffery Weisman, JD, M.D., taken on September 14, 2022 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheets and notarized signature page to Lexitas Legal for filing prior to trial date. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Jo Ann Dickson CC: Kevin Anthony Sullivan Michael P. Nolan	
22 Michael P. Nolan	

65 (Page 600)